### In The Supreme Court of the United States

GLOUCESTER COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD, Petitioner,

v.

G.G., BY HIS NEXT FRIEND AND MOTHER, DEIRDRE GRIMM, Respondent.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

BRIEF AMICUS CURIAE OF FOUNDATION FOR MORAL LAW IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER

PHILLIP L. JAUREGUI

Counsel of Record

JUDICIAL ACTION GROUP

1015 15<sup>th</sup> St. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 216-9309

plj@judicialactiongroup.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIA	E1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	1
ARGUMENT	2
I. If schools are required to all of one sex as determined at facilities assigned to the o the number of students clarights is likely to increase.	birth to use pposite sex, aiming such
II. Acting on the illusion that a change one's sex can b consequences.	oring tragic
III. The policies urged by G.G. a Department of Education rights of many America exercise of religion	violate the ns to free
CONCLUSION	17

#### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page Cases
Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483 (1954)
G.G. v. Gloucester Cnty. Sch. Bd. 132 F. Supp. 3d 736 (E.D. Va. 2015)3
Girouard v. United States 328 U.S. 61 (1946)13
Obergefell v. Hodges 135 S. Ct. 2584 (2015)16
Zorach v. Clauson 343 U.S. 306 (1952)13
Constitutions, Statutes, and Regulations
United States Constitution First Amendment passim
United States Code, 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a)2, 12
United States Department of Education, Opinion Letter, January 7, 20152, 14, 16, 17
United States Department of Education Regulation, 34 C.F.R. § 106.33

#### Other Authority

American Psychiatric Association, Diagnostic	
and Statistical Manual of Mental	
Disorders	6
Autotomous Womyn's Press, Blood and	
Visions: Womyn Reconciling with Being	
Female	12
Cecilia Dhejne, et al., An Analysis of All	
Applications for Sex Reassignment	
Surgery in Sweden, 1960-2010:	
Prevalence, Incidence, and Regrets, Arch.	
Sex. Behav. 43(8), May 2014	7
<i>、</i>	
Cecilia Dhejne et al., "Long-Term Follow-Up	
of Transsexual Persons Undergoing Sex	
Reassignment Surgery: Cohort Study in	
Sweden," PLOS/ONE, Feb. 22, 2011	8, 9
Richard P. Fitzgibbons, M.D., et al., The	
Psychopathology of "Sex Reassignment"	
Surgery, Nat'l Catholic Bioethics Q. (April	
2009)	6
Walt Heyer, Gender, Lies and Suicide (2013)	12
Paper Genders (2011)	
Perfected with Love (2009)	
A Transgender's Faith (2015)	
SexChangeRegret.com	

"Transgender Regret Is Real Even if
the Media Tell You Otherwise,"
TheFederalist.com, Aug. 19, 20158
, 8 ,
<i>The Holy Bible</i> 14, 15
S.E. James et al., The Report of the 2015 U.S.
Transgender Survey, National Center for
Transgender Equality (2016)3, 4, 9, 10, 11
Transgenuer Equanty (2010)
Janice C. Raymond, The Transsexual Empire
(1979)
(1979)
Starshine Roshell, "The Sudden Surge of
Transgender Teens: Trying to
Understand Why So Many Young People
Are Challenging Traditional Identities,"
Santa Barbara Independent, November
30, 20164, 5
3 Joseph Story, Commentaries on the
Constitution of the United States (1833)13, 14
TWT, "Regret Rates' Are Not the Sole
Measure of Outcomes,"
ThirdWayTrans.com, June 29, 2015
Eugene Volokh, "You Can Be Fined for not
Calling People 'Ze' or 'Hir,' If That's the
Pronoun They Demand That You Use,"
Washington Post, May 17, 201616
Nicholas Weiler, "Transgender Kinds:
'Exploding' Number of Children, Parents

Seek Clinical	Help,"	California	News	
June 5, 2015				.4
Margaret Wente,	"Transg	ender Kids:	Have	
We Gone Too F	'ar?", <i>Th</i>	e Globe and	l Mail,	
February 15, 20	014		4,	5

#### INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE<sup>1</sup>

Amicus Curiae Foundation for Moral Law ("the Foundation"), is a national public-interest organization based in Montgomery, Alabama, dedicated to the defense of religious liberty and the strict interpretation of the Constitution as written and intended by its Framers.

The Foundation has an interest in this case because it believes Appellee G.G. is attempting to force the Appellant, the Gloucester County Board of Education ("the Board"), to adopt a policy that the Constitution does not require and that could result in great long-term harm to students and to society as a whole.

#### SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Believing that the Constitution should be interpreted strictly according to its plain meaning as understood by its Framers, the Foundation fully endorses the legal and constitutional arguments of the Board and the amici who have filed in support of the Board. The Foundation agrees that the Constitution is silent on the issue of transgender

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to this Court's Rule 37.3, all parties have consented to the filing of this brief. Pursuant to Rule 37.6, *amicus curiae* states that no party's counsel authored this brief in whole or in part; no party or party's counsel contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting this brief; and no person other than the *amicus curiae*, its members, or its counsel, contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting this brief.

identification and does not guarantee anyone the right to use facilities that are assigned to those of the opposite sex as determined from birth. The Foundation further agrees that the January 7, 2015 opinion letter of the U.S. Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights conflicts with the Department of Education's regulations (34 C.F.R. § 106.33) implementing Title IX (20 U.S.C. § 1681(a)) and is therefore not entitled to the force of law.

However, rather than restating the legal arguments of the Board and other amici, the Foundation will focus instead upon the practical effects, short-term and long-term, of adopting the policies favored by G.G. The Foundation contends that those policies will encourage more young people to question their gender identity, likely causing confusion, trauma, turmoil, and other unfortunate consequences. At a time when so much turmoil, confusion, and uncertainty exists, not only among the general public but also among experts and policymakers, courts should not force school boards and other governmental entities to adopt policies that encourage students to identify with other than their gender at birth.

#### **ARGUMENT**

At least since *Brown v. Board of Education*, 347 U.S. 483 (1954), this Court has recognized that in deciding a case, the Justices must take into account the practical effects of their decision on the policies at

issue in the case. If this Court decides that students who reject their own sex as determined at birth ("birth sex")<sup>2</sup> are legally or constitutionally entitled to use facilities assigned to the opposite sex, the practical effects would be substantial and could be disastrous.

I. If schools are required to allow students of one sex as determined at birth to use facilities assigned to the opposite sex, the number of students claiming such rights is likely to increase.

No one knows how many students in the United States reject their birth sex, but the recent focus on such individuals has been accompanied by an increase in reported cases of such behavior.

The National Center for Transgender Equality recently released its 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey examining the lives of people in the United States who reject their birth sex. The survey was conducted online by means of a website that was made known to all such people. A total of 27,715 people from all fifty states, the District of Columbia, and U.S. territories took part in the survey—more than four times the

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The District Court used this term. "For the sake of brevity occasionally in this opinion the term 'birth sex' may be used to describe the sex assigned to individuals at their birth."  $G.G.\ v.$  Gloucester Cnty. Sch. Bd., 132 F.Supp.3d 736, 739 n.1 (E.D. Va. 2015).

number (6,456) who had participated in a similar survey conducted in 2008-09.3

Oakland, California developmental psychologist Diane Ehrensaft says her practice has seen a fourfold increase in the number of gender-questioning youths in recent years.<sup>4</sup>

Bren Fraser, a therapist who works with such clients age seven and up, says, "It's become a specialty for me. ... I've seen much more growth in the last two years—even more in the last year." 5

Margaret Wente, a Canadian newspaper columnist, wrote about the growing prevalence of such behavior:

A condition that used to be vanishingly rare, perhaps one in 10,000 children or less, now seems common. In a random sampling of 6th- to 8th-graders in San Francisco, kids were asked if they identified as male, female or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S.E. James et al., *The Report of the 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey*, National Center for Transgender Equality (2016), at 4, 18, 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Quoted in Nicholas Weiler, "Transgender Kinds: 'Exploding' Number of Children, Parents Seek Clinical Help," California News June 5, 2015, updated August 12, 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Quoted in Starshine Roshell, "The Sudden Surge of Transgender Teens: Trying to Understand Why So Many Young People Are Challenging Traditional Identities," *Santa Barbara Independent*, November 30, 2016.

transgendered—1.3 per cent checked off the transgendered box.<sup>6</sup>

Granted, the increase in youths who openly reject their birth sex does not necessarily mean that the number of youths who experience such urges has increased. In earlier times, youths who felt such impulses were possibly more likely to keep quiet about them. Starshine Roshell, a California journalist, asks:

Were there always children who felt antsy in their assigned gender —but never safe saying so in a pre-Caitlyn Jenner world? Could the explosion of social awareness be enticing some angsty adolescents to "trv out" gender nonconformity as an option they wouldn't considered before? have Andisinsensitive to even ask that?7

But it seems very likely that the attention which has recently been focused upon such behavior has caused many young people to muse, "Maybe I'm really a girl in a boy's body," or the reverse. And many for whom rejection of their birth sex may have been at most a fleeting thought a generation earlier, might now start taking such thoughts very seriously and decide to act on them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Margaret Wente, "Transgender Kids: Have We Gone Too Far?", *The Globe and Mail*, February 15, 2014. It should be noted that the proportion in San Francisco may not be representative of the nation as a whole.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Roshell, "Sudden Surge," supra n.5.

Government policies, especially federally-mandated government policies, that recognize, sanction, and provide special legal protection for such behaviors, may cause some individuals, who otherwise would not have entertained the idea, to conclude that rejecting one's birth sex is an acceptable lifestyle legally, morally, socially, and medically.<sup>8</sup>

In fact, however, even surgical alteration of one's sexual organs does not and cannot change the basic DNA with which a person was born. "It is physiologically impossible to change a person's sex, since the sex of each individual is encoded in the genes—XX if female, XY if male. Surgery can only create the *appearance* of the other sex." Dr. George Burou, a surgeon who has performed over 700 sexual reassignment surgeries, stated, "I don't change men into women. I transform male genitals into genitals that have a female aspect. All the rest is in the patient's mind." 10

Legislators, school board members, and other policymaking officials may therefore reasonably conclude that publicizing these issues and adopting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Until 2013, the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* of the American Psychiatric Association classified a desire to be the opposite sex as a "disorder," i.e., a mental illness. In May 2013 the softer term "dysphoria" was adopted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Richard P. Fitzgibbons, M.D., et al., *The Psychopathology of "Sex Reassignment" Surgery*, Nat'l Catholic Bioethics Q. (April 2009), at 118.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Quoted in Janice C. Raymond, *The Transsexual Empire* 10 (1979).

policies that facilitate rejection of one's birth sex could have the effect of substantially increasing the number of students who seriously question their own gender identity and take steps to act on those thoughts.

## II. Acting on the illusion that a person may change one's sex can bring tragic consequences.

Advocates of the illusion that a person may change one's sex do not want to acknowledge that some who act on those thoughts later have regrets or unpleasant results. They often cite a Swedish study that found that only 2.2 percent of such persons suffered from sex change regret.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Cecilia Dhejne, et al., An Analysis of All Applications for Sex Reassignment Surgery in Sweden, 1960-2010: Prevalence, Incidence, and Regrets, Arch. Sex. Behav. 43(8), May 2014. One commentator observes: "This study shows a 'regret rate' of 2.2%. However what are they actually measuring? What they are actually measuring is the rate of 'legal detransition.' They measure what percentage of people who undergo a legal name and gender change then undergo a second legal name and gender change. They don't measure people who have regrets but don't detransition legally, or don't detransition at all. It is also possible to detransition and not regret the original transition." The author continues: "Because I transitioned 20 years ago, I know many MTF (male-to-female) transitioners that were in my cohort or even 5-10 years before. What I see is concerning. I am the only one of them that has detransitioned, and most of them would not say they regret their transition and continue to go by feminine pronouns and feminine names. In terms of life outcomes, I would say economically they are mostly doing well. However, socially they are struggling. Most of them are alone. I see a lot of social anxiety, people being unwilling to leave the house. In addition, they still continue to deal with dysphoria

Other studies, however, show that the percentage who experience regret is much higher. The *Guardian*, after reviewing one hundred studies of persons who rejected their birth sex, concluded that 20% of such persons regretted their actions, and that many remain severely distressed and even suicidal. As early as 1979 Dr. Charles Ihlenfeld, who had administered hormone therapy to about 500 such persons, said simply: "There is too much unhappiness among people who have had the surgery. Too many of them end as suicides." 13

While accepting an ESPY Award for exceptional athletic performance in 2015, Bruce/Caitlyn Jenner told the audience that 41 percent of persons who attempt to become the opposite sex also attempt suicide.<sup>14</sup>

#### Consider other evidence:

A Swedish study of all 324 persons who had been sex-reassigned between 1973-2003 found that "[p]ersons with transsexualism, after sex-reassignment, have considerably higher risks for

and have emotional difficulties." TWT, "Regret Rates' Are Not the Sole Measure of Outcomes," ThirdWayTrans.com, June 29, 2015, https://thirdwaytrans.com/2015/06/29/regret-rates-are-not-the-sole-measure-of-outcomes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Cited in Walt Heyer, "Transgender Regret Is Real Even if the Media Tell You Otherwise," *TheFederalist.com*, Aug. 19, 2015, TheFederalist.com/2015/08/19/transgenderregret.

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$  *Id*.

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$  *Id*.

mortality, suicidal behaviour, and psychiatric morbidity than the general population."<sup>15</sup>

A 2009 study conducted by the Case Western Reserve University Department of Psychiatry concluded that "90 percent of these diverse [transgendered] patients had at least one other significant form of psychopathology."<sup>16</sup>

A 2003 Dutch survey of board-certified Dutch psychiatrists concluded that, of 359 patients treated for cross-gender identification, 61 percent had other psychiatric disorders and illnesses, notably personality, mood, dissociative, and psychotic disorders.<sup>17</sup>

In 2013 the University of Louisville conducted a study of 351 individuals who sought to be the opposite sex and found that the rates of depression and anxiety "far surpass the rates of those for the general population." <sup>18</sup>

The 2015 Report of the U.S. Transgender Survey, cited earlier, revealed

disturbing patterns of mistreatment and discrimination and startling disparities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Cecilia Dhejne et al., "Long-Term Follow-Up of Transsexual Persons Undergoing Sex Reassignment Surgery: Cohort Study in Sweden," PLOS/ONE, Feb. 22, 2011. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3043071/.

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$  *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> *Id*.

 $<sup>^{18}</sup>$  *Id*.

between transgender people in the survey and the US. population when it comes to the most basic elements of life, such as finding a job, having a place to live, accessing medical care, and enjoying the support of family and community. Survey respondents also experienced harassment and violence at alarmingly high rates.<sup>19</sup>

Survey respondents reported that 10 percent experienced family violence because of their gender presentation, 54 percent were verbally harassed in school, 24 percent were physically attacked in school, 13 percent were sexually assaulted in school, and 17 percent left school because of this treatment. Thirty percent reported having been fired, denied a promotion, or otherwise mistreated at work because of their gender identity. Twenty-nine percent were living in poverty, compared to 14 percent of the population. Fifteen general percent unemployed, compared with 5 percent of the general population. Thirty percent have experienced homelessness; 39 percent have experienced serious psychological distress during the previous month compared to 5 percent of the population; and 40 percent have attempted suicide during their lifetime— nearly nine times the attempted suicide rate in the general population (4.6 percent). 1.4 percent reported living with HIV, compared with only 0.3 percent of the general population.<sup>20</sup> Twenty percent have participated in the "underground

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The Report of the 2015 Transgender Survey, National Center for Gender Equality (2016), at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> *Id.* at 4-6.

economy" for income at some time, including "sex work, drug sales, and other currently criminalized work," nine percent during the past year.<sup>21</sup>

These tragic consequences appear to accompany the desire to be the opposite sex and reflect the general discomfort of the public with behavior the American Psychiatric Association formerly termed the manifestation of a mental disorder.

The 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey results should be approached with some degree of caution. Although the number of respondents (27,715) is impressive, one may question whether those who volunteer to participate in an online survey are representative of the target population as a whole. That persons who actively reject their birth sex experience violence, suicide, and other problems on a level disproportionate to the general population does not necessarily mean that their attempt at gender reidentification is the cause of their troubles. Theoretically, it is possible that those persons would have experienced problems regardless of their attempts to imitate the opposite sex. And the Survey seems to reflect the belief of many of its participants, that society's attitudes toward such persons, rather than their own behavior, are the cause of the problem. But even though the cause-and-effect relationships may be disputed, the correlation between a person acting like the opposite sex and experiencing this host of problems is a legitimate cause for concern.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> *Id*. at 158.

Nevertheless, the fact remains: Rejecting one's birth sex has many undesirable side effects. Courts and other governmental agencies should carefully consider this reality when deciding whether to recognize, and give encouragement to a lifestyle that has no constitutional sanction and could result in tragic consequences for many.

Of the twenty percent (by some estimates) who regret their excursion into life as the opposite sex, most are intimidated into silence, but some have spoken out. Walt Heyer, who underwent a male-to-female sex-change operation at age 42, became known as Laura Jensen for eight years and then readopted his birth identity. His website is titled SexChangeRegret.com. He speaks regularly and has authored several books including *Gender*, *Lies and Suicide; Paper Genders; Perfected with Love;* and *A Transgender's Faith*. <sup>22</sup> Coming from a different perspective, ten women who halted their attempt to become men joined to tell their stories in *Blood and Visions: Womyn Reconciling with Being Female*, published in 2015 by Autotomous Womyn's Press. <sup>23</sup>

This Court should avoid making sweeping pronouncements that have no basis in the Constitution, common law, or Title IX, and that may encourage behavior that has been demonstrated to be harmful.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> SexChangeRegret.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> autotomouswomyn.tumblr.com (spellings are as they appear).

# III. The policies urged by G.G. and the U.S. Department of Education violate the rights of many Americans to free exercise of religion.

Religious liberty is the first right guaranteed by the Bill of Rights to the United States Constitution. It is the foremost right because our relationship to God transcends all human relationships, and because God is the Source of all human rights. As Justice Douglas stated in *Zorach v. Clauson*, 343 U.S. 306, 313 (1952), "We are a religious people whose institutions presuppose a Supreme Being." And as he stated for the Court in *Girouard v. United States*, 328 U.S. 61, 68 (1946):

The victory for freedom of thought recorded in our Bill of Rights recognizes that in the domain of conscience there is a moral power higher than the State. Throughout the ages, men have suffered death rather than subordinate their allegiance to God to the authority of the State. Freedom of religion guaranteed by the First Amendment is the product of that struggle.

Nearly 200 years ago, Supreme Court Justice Joseph Story made the same point: "The rights of conscience," he wrote, "are, indeed, beyond the reach of any human power. They are given by God, and cannot be encroached upon by human authority, without a criminal disobedience of the precepts of natural, as well as of revealed religion." 3 Joseph

Story, Commentaries on the Constitution of the United States § 1870 (1833).

A right as basic as free exercise of religion should not be subordinated to a so-called right to gender preference. This Court has never recognized a "right" to choose one's gender, probably because it is not possible to do so. The Constitution together with its amendments confers no such right, and the concept was utterly foreign to the Framers. Sex-change activists have created this "right" out of thin air. The Opinion Letter of the U.S. Department of Education directs local schools to accommodate gender preferences, but even this letter does not purport to elevate gender preference to the status of a constitutional right.

Any conflict between this purported right to gender identity and the God-given right to free exercise of religion expressly guaranteed by the First Amendment must be resolved in favor of free exercise of religion.

These policies do pose conflicts with the Free Exercise Clause. Americans have historically believed that God created us male and female (Genesis 1:27), commands that marriage is to be between opposite-sex persons only (Genesis 2:23-24), forbids same-sex relations (Leviticus 18:22; Romans 1:24-27), and prohibits both men and women from wearing clothing that pertains to the opposite sex (Deuteronomy 22:5). Additionally, one is to practice sexual modesty in the presence of persons of the opposite sex (1 Timothy 2:9-10; Genesis 3:7, 3:21;

Hosea 2:9; Leviticus 20:17). Not only Christianity but also Islam, Orthodox Judaism, and many other religions hold these beliefs as well some who profess no religion. The monotheistic faiths teach that sexual identify is fixed by God at conception ("male and female created he them," Genesis 5:2) and cannot be changed by surgery, hormones, or a decision to identify with the opposite sex.

Allowing students to self-identify as the opposite sex and thus to use restrooms, dressing rooms, lockers, and other facilities assigned to the opposite sex violates the free exercise rights of students who have religious objections to sharing facilities in that manner.

A religious teacher or school staff person who believes that such policies encourage sexual immodesty which may lead to sexual promiscuity, may consider it a violation of his/her religious beliefs to be forced to assign a biological boy to a girls' restroom or locker room.

A teacher or staff person who believes gender identity is fixed by God at birth may consider it a violation of his/her religious beliefs if forced to identify as female a student whom God has created male or if forced to address a child who was born female by a male name. That issue is raised even by the caption of this case which identifies the Respondent as "G.G., by *his* next friend and mother" (emphasis added), even though G.G. was born female and has taken hormones but has not undergone sex reassignment surgery. An enactment of the New

York City Commission on Human Rights now forbids addressing people by anything but their pronoun of choice—under penalty of law. <sup>24</sup> Such laws, which result from the creation of novel rights to redefine one's sex, violate rights of religious speech and practice.

In the context of the invention of a right to samesex marriage, Justice Samuel Alito uttered a warning that is also relevant to the growing pressure for recognition of a right to present as the opposite sex: "I assume that those who cling to old beliefs will be able to whisper their thoughts in the recesses of their homes, but if they repeat those views in public, they will risk being labeled as bigots and treated as such by governments, employers, and schools." *Obergefell* v. Hodges, 135 S. Ct. 2584, 2642-43 (2015) (Alito, J., dissenting).

In contradicting the teaching of their faith and in a host of other ways, the policies demanded by G.G. and mandated by the U.S. Department of Education may force people to violate their religious beliefs. As Justice Clarence Thomas recently warned, recognition of new rights that have no basis in the Constitution and offend basic religious precepts creates an inevitable conflict between those new forms of legal compulsion and the religious beliefs they contradict. Obergefell, 135 S. Ct. at 2638 (Thomas, J., dissenting). Again, a conflict between the free exercise of religion as granted by God and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See Eugene Volokh, "You Can Be Fined for not Calling People 'Ze' or 'Hir,' If That's the Pronoun They Demand That You Use," Washington Post, May 17, 2016.

guaranteed by the First Amendment and the asserted right to present oneself as the opposite sex in all places and circumstances, must be resolved in favor of religious liberty. Because of the potential conflict between the Department of Education's Opinion Letter and the religious rights of many Americans, this Court should not allow the Fourth Circuit to require the District Court to give "deference" to the Opinion Letter.

#### CONCLUSION

Because the legal and constitutional arguments have been capably presented by the Board and other *amici*, the Foundation concluded that it could better assist the Court, not by duplicating arguments that have already been presented, but by focusing upon the potentially troubling consequences of the Court's decision.

Courts cannot blind themselves to the practical consequences of their decisions and should be most reluctant to make sweeping pronouncements about a subject that has no grounding in the Constitution or in federal statutes. Nor should courts recognize a right, stated in neither the Constitution nor relevant statutes, for one sex to use the bathrooms of the other in defiance of the express religious rights stated in the First Amendment. Instead, state and local agencies, such as the Gloucester County School Board, should be allowed to apply their best wisdom and common sense to the situation to tailor policies that fit the needs and values of the communities they represent.

Respectfully submitted,

PHILLIP L. JAUREGUI

Counsel of Record

JUDICIAL ACTION GROUP

1015 15<sup>th</sup> St. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 216-9309

plj@judicialactiongroup.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae