Exhibit 22

28 January 2003

•	•		•
	MEMORANDUM FOR: De	eputy Director for Operations	
	VIA: As	ssociate Deputy Director for perations/Counterintelligence	
• •	FROM:		
	·		
	SUBJECT: De	eath Investigation - Gul RAHMAN	J
	•		:
	SCOPE OF INVESTIGAT	PION	• •
	determine the cause	scope of this investigation was to e of the November 2002 death of Gu f Hezbi Islami, who was being detaine	ıl ed at
OBALT	prison facility	known to CIA	
	personnel as interrogation by Cl	RAHMAN had been undergoing IA personnel,	
COBALT	as well	Informate properties of the packground of as the treatment of detainees at its ided for background and context as its	
·	relates to the invented in the invented in the intended in the intended in the intended in the invented in the	estigation of the death of Gul RAHMAN to be a comprehensive review, survey operational procedures at	ĭ.
		COBALT	
			<u> </u>
·	•		
•		•	.
		TOP SECRET//X1	A24-

TOP SECRET / / X1

	SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN	
	COBALT	-
	BACKGROUND ON	
	COBALT	
	2. (TS) is a prison located	
		• •
	This prison, which became operational on September 2002, is designed to house high value terrorist targets during the screening and interrogation phase of their detention, and is viewed by Station as critical to Station's efforts to exploit these targets for intelligence and	CODALT
	imminent threat information. ^{2 3 4} was set up with isolation of the detainee being the primary goal. Each	COBALT
	detainee's interaction with the outside world was intended to be limited to brief contact with the guards and more	•
	extensive contact with his CIA interrogators. This allows CIA personnel to control almost all aspects of the detainees' existence.	
	3. (TS) The construction of the prison was funded by	•
·ſ	CIA	
	·	
Ì		
-	There are 20 cells located inside the prison The cells are	
_	stand-alone concrete boxes.	
. [All cells have a metal ring	
	Director 492873, Sep 2002 (Attachment 1) 2 27054, Sep 2002 (Attachment 2) 3 21743, May 2002 (Attachment 3) 4 22975, Jun 2002 (Attachment 4)	
	22773, Jun 2002 (Attachment 4) 23587, Jun 2002 (Attachment 5) Director 297415 Jun 2002 (Attachment 6) 27 27768, Oct 2002 (Attachment 7)	
	2	. 2

TOP SECRET//X1

Salim v. Mitchell - United States Bates #001113 Re-Processed: April 11, 2017

SUBUECT:	(3)	Death	Investigation	-	Gul	RAHMAN	
			•				

attached low to the wall to which prisoners are secured. Four of the cells have high bars that run between two walls to which prisoners can be secured. These four cells are designed for sleep deprivation.
The cellblock windows are covered with two coats of black paint and heavy curtains making the cellblock completely dark. Stereo speakers in the cellblock play constant music to prevent communications between detainees. 8
guard force. 10 guards protected by guards facility guards are stationed in the interior of the building and handle the prisoners.
are present almost constantly.
Station personnel, although the prison guards lack significant training, all are very professional in their duties. No station officer has ever witnessed or documented an instance of prisoner mistreatment by guard or witnessed any animosity by the guards toward the prisoners. No interrogator has ever seen or documented signs of physical abuse on any of the prisoners.
22682, May 2002 (Attachment 8) 28246, Oct 2002 (Attachment 9) 24021, Jun 2002 (Attachment 10) 27365, Sep 2002 (Attachment 11) 12 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 12) 13 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 13) 14 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 14)

3 TOP SECRET//X1

	SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
•	According to COS the guards are very professional
•	FIGURE
	Pow the
CIA Staff Officer	For the most part, the guards are unaware of the identities of the prisoners. According to in some instances the prisoners have told the guards their identities
,	15 According to the
;. ·	guards are not privy to information derived from the interrogations of the prisoners. 16
	COBALT
	5. (TS) Since the establishment of Station has made an effort to provide training to the guards
	·With no
COBALT	exception, individuals interviewed stated that the guards treated prisoners well and "by-the-book," following all directions regarding the treatment and handling of prisoners. On June 2002, two and a half months prior to receipt of its first prisoner, Station cabled
	Headquarters outlining the need to provide comprehensive training to the guards in regard to their safe and secure handling of the prisoners,
	June 2002, Headquarters concurred in principle with the need to adequately train
	, and the same of
	On June 2002, Station sent a cable
•	15 Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)
,	Nov 2002 (Attachment 13) 17 27768 Oct 2002 (Attachment 7) 18 23587, Jun 2002 (Attachment 5)
) -, ·	¹⁹ Director 320797,Jun 2002 (Attachment 16)
1 1	

TOP SECRET//X1

A24-S

SUBULCT: (S) Death investigation - Gul RAHMAN
to Headquarters requesting that Headquarters identify staff personnel or independent contractors who could provide the training
Request update on the
status of BOP personnel TDY to train the guards and prison staff. Station believes this
training will be essential. given the near certainty
that we will be called to account for our efforts at
some future date; either within the USG or to the
international community (through the ICRC.)" 22
Some time between September and the arrival of the first prisoner on September 2002, Station utilized its own resources to provide initial training for the interior guards. Station provided training to the guards on how to handle, move, restrain prisoners, lock them in cells, and handle
them safely and securely.
Between August and September 2002,
Headquarters was able to make arrangements with the BOP to
provide training in guard force at On September 2002, cabled
Headquarters and noted that they looked forward to
receiving a timeline for the TDY of BOP personnel
•
23637, Jun 2002 (Attachment 17)
²⁰ 23637, Jun 2002 (Attachment 17) ²¹ Director 344348, Jul 2002 (Attachment 18)
and the state of t

COBALT

5 -TOP SECRET//X1

Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)

Aug 2002 (Attachment 19)

25994.

	SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN	
•		
	indicating "sooner is better."24 On November 2002,	_
•	BOP officers arrived in and trained the guards from November. BOP instructors trained	
	guards from November. BOP instructors trained guards in restraint techniques, escort procedures,	
	security checks, entrance procedures, cell searches, watch	
• •	calls, and patdown searches. BOP also made a number of	
•	recommendations to improve the security of the prison. 25	
	6. (TS) prison guards are	1
	7	1
	cooperative with personnel.	٦.
	28 The guards	-
•	do whatever they are told to do by	-
	personnel, and often will not do anything until	
1 1	told to do so by personnel.29 All activities that	,
	Station officers wish to undertake at the facility are	
CIA Staff	fully supported and rapidly carried out by the guard force. stated, that although they will do anything he asks	
Officer	of them, nothing prevents the guards from taking	
	independent action. If a guard noticed that a prisoner was	
	cold, he could give the prisoner a blanket. 30 That said,	
•	believed that the	
· CIA Staff	guards would take no independent action at that prison	
Officer	without permission from	1
	the guards does not want any	1
	of the prisoners to die, no matter how good or bad they	
CIA OL CC	are. He told the guards that this (ensuring the well being	
CIA Staff Officer	of the prisoner) was their responsibility. 31 According to Station has recently made an effort to instill this	
Officer	responsibility in the guard force by appointing one of the	
	respondential in our agent renes of appointaing one of the	
,•		
	24 26908, Sep 2002 (Attachment 20) 25 30589 Nov 2002 (Attachment 21)	
	25 30589, Nov 2002 (Attachment 21) 26 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 13)	
,	27 Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)	
	28 27365 Sep 2002 (Attachment 11)	
3 :	²⁹ Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 13)	
ia. I	30 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 13)	
WK/	Nov 2002 (Attachment 22)	
	mon cropus.//v.	ایہ
	TOP SECRET//XI	~ 1 ~

 \mathbb{R}^{d}

and the second	SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN	
	guards responsible for detainee safety. This particular	
	guard, has been identified by Station personnel	
• •	and BOP personnel as one of the best guards. 32	
•		
•	7. (S) Heating and cooling are problematic at the	
•	prison facility. There is no insulation in the building	•
	and no central heating or cooling.	
•	The facility is hot in the	
	summer and cold in the winter. There are ceiling fans that	CIA Staff
, ,	help cool the facility in the summer. According to in late September 2002, Station purchased 10 electric	Officer
	heaters that were delivered in early October 2002. Five of	
	the electric heaters were placed in the administrative	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	section of the prison and five were placed in the quard	•
•	shacks. They could not place any of the electrical heaters	
	in the prisoner housing area	
•		•
, ,		
1		
		•
	In mid-October 2002, five gas heaters	
	were purchased and delivered sometime shortly thereafter.	•
	All five gas heaters were placed in the guard towers. In	
•	early November 2002, five more gas heaters were purchased	•
	and delivered at a later date. These heaters were placed in the housing area of the prison. These heaters were in	
	place prior to RAHMAN's death. On November 2002, the	•
	day of RAHMAN's death, five more gas heaters were ordered	
	and set up in the housing area circa November 2002. On	
CIA Staff	November 2002, 15 more gas heaters were ordered and set	
Officer —	up sometime in December 2002. Some were used to replace	•
. •	broken heaters. According to there are approximately 15 gas heaters currently set up in the	
CIA Staff	prisoner housing area. 35 has now placed a	•
Officer ·	thermometer inside the housing area	
<i>:</i>		
	•	
		•
	³² Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)	
•	Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)	
×5) .	Nov 2002 (Attachment 23) 35 Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)	
	7	ø.
	TOP SECRET//XI	0
. *	R & Y	•
. :		•

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN CIA Staff Officer
stated that he has requested that the guards record the temperatures in the housing area each day. 36 COBALT
8. (S) From the conception of Headquarters and Station have made efforts to ensure the physical health of the detainees. On June 2002, Station cabled Headquarters and stated the following: "Station can support initial, non-emergency medical treatment with use of Station medics.
Station has requested that a small medical room be constructed , so that detainees may receive medical care via visiting medical personnel within the facility." 37
36 Interview of 19 Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) 37 22722. Jun 2002 (Attachment 24) 38 Jul 2002 (Attachment 25) 8 TOP SECRET//X1

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
Note: CIA was already funding the operation of the
facility to include all prison expenses.
10. (S) According to a CIA medical
officer TDY to, at the end of August 2002,had agreed to providephysician to examine the
50. As a result, Station assumed by default the
responsibility of taking care of the prisoner's health care
needs. stated that he first visited on COBALT
November 2002, shortly after his arrival for his second TDY to stated that if a prisoner
Journal of the control of the contro
and treat them. Stated that his guidelines for
treating the prisoners were vague and needed to be further
derined. stated that he called the Acting Chief
of the Office of Medical Services. (OMS and asked for guidance.
(OMS and asked for guidance. was told, the Hippocratic Oath states that if someone is sick, you treat
them."40
11. (5) dated November 2002,
provides a detailed outline of Station's medical support to
the detainees at The cable is quoted below in its entirety: COBALT
TES ENCIFELY. CODALI
SUBJECT: STATION MEDICAL SUPPORT TO
DETAINEES
REF: NONE
REF: NONE
TEXT:
1. ACTION REQUIRED: NONE, FYI ONLY.
•
Aug 2002 (Attachment 26)
40 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 27)
TOP SECRET//X1
u gr

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
2. STATION MEDICAL PERSONNEL PROVIDE SUPPORT TO
CIA RENDITIONS AND
DETAINEE PROGRAMS, MEDICAL PERSONNEL
ARE ALL PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS OR NURSE
PRACTITIONERS. ONE TO TWO PERSONNEL ARE ASSIGNED
TDY AT ANY GIVEN TIME.
AI ANI GIVEN IIME.
3. STANDARD RENDITION PROCEDURE REQUIRES THAT
ONE MEDICAL OFFICER PARTICIPATE IN ALL RENDITIONS. THE
REASON FOR THIS IS THREEFOLD. FIRST, TO ENSURE THAT THE
DETAINEE DOES NOT HAVE ANY ITEMS CONCEALED ON HIS PERSON
WHICH MIGHT BE USED AS A WEAPON (THROUGH A COMPLETE FULL-
BODY AND CAVITY SEARCH). SECOND, TO DETERMINE THE INITIAL
MEDICAL CONDITION OF THE DETAINEE; AND THIRD, TO STABILIZE
THE CONDITION OF THE DETAINEE DURING THE RENDITION -
INCLUDING SEDATION IF NECESSARY.
industrial distriction in the state of the s
•
STATION MEDICAL PERSONNEL ALSO
PROVIDE SUPPLEMENTAL MEDICAL SUPPORT ON AN AS-NEEDED BASIS
THIS TYPICALLY CONSISTS OF TREATMENT FOR ACUTE MEDICAL
PROBLEMS AND FOLLOW-UP TREATMENT FOR PRE-EXISTING MEDICAL
TIMBER WAS EARTH OF TIMITY THE FAIL TOTALLE SUPPLEMENT

10 TOP SECRET//X1

CONDITIONS.

and Specific	SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN	
		, '
· COBALT	LAST REGULAR ASSISTANCE VISIT TO WAS CONDUCTED FROM NOVEMBER 2002. THE NEXT PLANNED VISIT WILL BE DURING WEEK OF NOVEMBER 2002. BASED ON THE LAST VISIT, FOLLOW-UP CARE WAS PROVIDED TO SEVERAL	COBALT
•	INMATES FROM NOVEMBER 2002.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
COBALT	SCHEDULED VISIT TO DURING THE MOST RECENT DURING THE MOST RECENT DETAINEES WHO PREVIOUSLY	
	IDENTIFIED THEMSELVES AS DIABETICS WERE TESTED FOR BLOOD SUGAR LEVELS (WHICH WERE NORMAL), DETAINEE WITH A	•
COBALT	VARIETY OF PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS WAS PRESCRIBED FIVE DIFFERENT MEDICATIONS, AND SEVERAL DETAINEES WERE PRESCRIBED MILD PAIN RELIEVERS. URINE TESTING OF THE	
COBALT	PRESCRIBED MILD PAIN RELIEVERS. URINE TESTING OF THE INMATES INDICATED ALL OF THE DETAINEES WERE RECEIVING SUFFICIENT NOURISHMENT AND HYDRATION. ALL OF THE	
	DETAINEES AT WITH THE EXCEPTION OF GUL RAHMAN) HAVE BEEN FULLY COOPERATIVE WITH THE MEDICAL PERSONNEL IN	•
	RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS ABOUT THEIR HEALTH AND WELFARE. THE ONE EXCEPTION, GUL RAHMAN, WOULD ONLY STATE THAT "THANKS TO GOD, ALL IS WELL" IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONING.	•
	12. (S) Additionally, prisoners with significant health problems are not accepted at During a	COBALT
	proposed rendition of a detainee with a condition, Station provided the following guidance: "If Subject	
COBALT	does have a significant condition, Subject should not be transferred to Appropriate specialized	•
·	medical care is not available No unlawful enemy combatant with pre-existing medical	•
j	conditions can be brought to If there is reason COBALT	
negar.	11	
•	TOD GROUPS (4:4	

	SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN	•
	to believe that Subject has a condition, he should be	
	TREATMENT OF PRISONERS	
	13. (S) was constructed as a result of shortcomings in the handling of detainees	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	,	
•		
	was designed to	COBALT
CIA CA-EE '	isolate and enhance control over the prisoners. 42	
CIA Staff Officer	14. (S) a Officer is	•
· -	Officer, is	
d /	responsible for detainee affairs at Station, and is viewed by Station management and personnel as the site	
CIA Staff	manager." arrived in on August 2002.	O1 2 01 55
Officer .	Prior to his arrival in did not know he would	_ CIA Staff
	be responsible for detainee affairs. stated that he	Officer
	learned that he would have this responsibility	
CIA Staff	approximately three days after his arrival in	•
Officer	had no prior experience in interrogation or prison	
,	operations prior to his arrival in aside from four days as a detainee during Survival, Evasion, Resistance,	
	Escape (SERE) training	CIA Staff
	According to this training provided	Officer
	him with some understanding as to how prisoners would react	
	to various handling, treatment, and interrogation methods.	
. COBALT	was approximately one month short of being	CIA Staff
	operational at the time of arrival. In addition	Officer
COBALT	to assuming control over the final construction details of was also responsible for coordinating	
CIA Staff	interrogations and coordinating renditions of	
Officer	high and medium value terrorist targets throughout	
	In conjunction with his	
1 .		
	41 28914 Oct 2002 (Attachment 28)	
1. A. P.	42 21147 Apr 2002 (Attachment 29)	•
	. 12	
	TOP-SECRET//X1	~ y-13
	\(\rangle	24-13

· /	SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
	CODALT
	CIA Staff Officer
	duties as site manager," was responsible
	COBALT COBALT
•	procedures concerned the handling and treatment of
•	prisoners and the operation of the facility.43
	15. (S) John B. Jessen (known by the name Bruse)
	15. (S) John B. Jessen (known by the name Bruce), a Psychologist who works for CIA as an independent
·.·	contractor, and is involved in the use of enhanced
COBALT.	INTERFORMATION FACENCIAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A
·	LWO and a half weeks at life
COBALT	November 2002. Jessen worked directly with
	RAHMAN and other detainees at
	Ph.D. in Clinical Psychology, and spent 20 years on action
	ducy with the US Air Force as a Psychologist After him
	retirement from the Air Force, Jessen spent eight verse
	a bob civilian Psychologist. During his tenure with the
	Air Force and DOD, Jessen worked on captivity related issues. While on active duty, he served as a Bourbalagist
•	issues. While on active duty, he served as a Psychologist with the Joint Personnel Recovery Agency. While employed
}	as a civilian with DOD, Jessen was the Senior Psychologist
CODALT	for the SERE program. Jessen was able to observe
COBALT	operations at and had discussions with CIA staff
•	regarding methods of handling, treating, and interrogating Officer
	prisoners. Jessen also made some recommendations to
CIA Staff	to improve operations at the facility. Jessen stated collections
Officer	did a great job setting up Jessen
Officer	described as being very bright, motivated, and CIA Staff
•	possessing good intellition. besself said was doing a
•	was very level
	headed and acted in a measured manner. Jessen said the atmosphere of the facility was excellent for the type of
CIA Staff	prisoners kept there - "nasty, but safe." Jessen commented
Officer	that although had never worked in this line of
	business prior to arriving in he did not see any
	"hiccups" in security or prisoner safety. Jessen commented CIA Staff
	that he would be pleased to work with in the future. Officer
	and believed that should be a member of
•	CIA Staff
,	Officer
	43 Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)
4.1 **	43 Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003 (Attachment 15) 44 Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003 (Attachment 30)
	13
	TOP SECRET / /X1-

A 24-14

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

COBALT

CIA Staff Officer

16. (S) Although does not have a written set of Standard Operating Procedures (a flaw noted by Jessen), has established a standard method of operation. For security reasons, prisoners are brought to the facility with their hands and feet shackled. Blindfolds are placed over their eyes and a hood is placed over their heads. Ear plugs are also placed in their ears. This is done so that prisoners have no knowledge of where they are being housed, cannot hear what is being said around them, and have no idea if they are alone or with other prisoners. Additionally, it prevents any form of communication between prisoners. Prisoners are handled by guards in complete silence. Hand signals are used by the guards to communicate with each other. Prisoners are dressed in sweatsuits and adult diapers. The diapers are used for sanitary reasons during transportation, and as a means to humiliate the prisoner. When prisoners are delivered to their cell, one hand or foot is shackled to the wall. This is done for the safety of the guard. Later, the manner in which a prisoner is shackled is based on his level of cooperation and the danger he presents to the guards. However, all prisoners are shackled in some manner. If they are not shackled to the wall, their hands and feet may be shackled. If a prisoner is uncooperative, or presents a significant physical threat to the guards, he may be shackled in a "short chain" position. This method was taught to the guards by BOP instructors as a safer alternative to hog-tying prisoners. Hog-tying prisoners has resulted in a number of deaths in the US, and the "short chain" method is safer for the prisoners while still providing a higher degree of safety and security for the guards. In the "short chain" method, the prisoner's hands are shackled together as are his feet. Then a short chain is used to shackle the hands to the feet. This keeps a prisoner's hand shackled within several inches of his feet. The prisoner's feet are then shackled to the wall. This provides for the maximum degree of control over the prisoner while allowing for prisoner safety.45

CIA Staff Officer

Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)
14
TOP SECRET//X1

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

17. (S) Prior to the guards' departure from the cell, the hood, blindfold, and ear plugs are taken from the prisoner. Prisoners are housed in total darkness. stated that this is done for a couple of reasons. stated that he wanted to disorient prisoners so they didn't know if it was day or night.

CIA Staff Officer

Additionally, music is played in the prisoner housing area 24 hours a day. This is done to prevent prisoners from communicating with each other. 46

Sleep deprivation is also used to enhance (S) successful interrogation. The decision to use sleep deprivation is made by the individual CIA officer who is working with a particular prisoner. When sleep deprivation is utilized, the prisoner is chained by one or both wrists. to a bar running across the ceiling of the cell. This forces the prisoner to stand: stated that he consulted with Jessen and was told that no prisoner should undergo more than 72 hours of sleep deprivation because lucidity begins to decline and questioning become ineffective.47 During our interview with Jessen, he stated that sleep deprivation could be used indefinitely without harming the prisoner; however, you could not chain him overhead indefinitely.

CIA Staff Officer

19. (S) Often, prisoners who possess significant or imminent threat information are stripped to their diapers during interrogation and placed back into their cells wearing only diapers. This is done solely to humiliate the prisoner for interrogation purposes. When the prisoner soils a diaper, they are changed by the guards. Sometimes the guards run out of diapers and the prisoners are placed back in their cells in a handcrafted diaper secured by duct tape. If the guards don't have any available diapers, the prisoners are rendered to their cell nude. 48

CIA Staff Officer

46 Interview of
47 Interview of
48 Interview of
48 Interview of
49 Interview of
40 Interview of
41 Interview of
42 Interview of
43 Interview of
44 Interview of
45 Interview of
46 Interview of
47 Interview of
48 Interview of
49 Interview of
49 Interview of
40 Interview o

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

20. (S) Prisoners' cells are austere. A prisoner begins his confinement with nothing in his cell except a bucket used for human waste. Prisoners are given rewards for cooperation. Rewards can consist of a light, "foamies" for the prisoners' ears (blocks out the music), a mat to sleep on, extra blankets, etc. Additionally, a luxury room has been built which has a light, a rocking chair, a table, and carpeting on the floor. Prisoners are not punished for lack of cooperation. Instead, rewards that they have received for cooperation are taken from them if they become uncooperative.⁴⁹

21. (S) When guards move prisoners from their cell to the interrogation room, usually guards enter the cell with a flashlight. A hood is placed over the prisoner's head and he is lead to the interrogation room in shackles. The guards do not speak to the prisoners and all communication between the guards is completed with hand signals. Once the detainee is placed in the interrogation room the guards depart, and the hood is removed by personnel. Every effort is made to ensure that the only person a detainee communicates with is his CIA interrogator. 50

DEATH OF GUL RAHMAN

22. (S) Gul RAHMAN was a Hezbi Islami official from Wardak province, Afghanistan, who was known to interact with and support Al Qa'ida. He was known to be a close associate of Gulbuddin Hekmatyar and Abu Abd Al-RAHMAN Al-Najdi.

Jessen stated that

Station

29520

CIA Staff Officer

49 Interview of 50 Interview of 50 Interview of 51 Alec 189493. 52 Alec 191539, Nov 2002 (Attachment 15) Nov 2002 (Attachment 31)

Nov 2002 (Attachment 33)

16

TOP SECRET//X1

SUBJECT: (S) D	eath Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
was very optimis have some good i	tic that they had somebody who was going to nformation. 54
23. (8) R Pakistan on C	AHMAN was apprehended in Islamabad, October 2002, during an early morning raid

TOP SECRET//X1

54 Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003 (Attachment 30)
Oct 2002 (Attachment 34)
17

.....

A 24-18

SUBJECT:		TOP SE						
SUBJECT:				• .	• • •	· :		
	1m2 2					•		
	(S) Death	. Investi	gation	- Gul	RAHMAN			
		<u> </u>	<u></u>		***************************************			
						•		
							-	
		•						
								•
							İ	
27.	(S) On []	Morranhar	2002 -	Wood on				
		ALCOHOLD TO THE		пеации	arters	adreed 1	n	
ransferri	na him to						COR	ALT
							000	, , , ,

			•			•		
								•
							}	
	•							
								•
			164					
	0-100	30 / 44444						
	Oct 200	02 (Attachm	nent 34) ant 351			, •		
Alec	Nov 200	2 (Attachme	ent 35)			. •		
Alec	Oct 200 Nov 2002 Nov 2002 (Att	2 (Attachme lachment 3	ent 35) 6)			.•		
Alec Alec 190864,	Nov 200: Nov 2002 (Att	2 (Attachme lachment 3 lachment 3	ent 35) 6)		,	.•	الماد	•
							СОВ	ALT

<u>}</u>	SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN	•
* •		
•		
· ·		
•		
COBALT	29. (S) Later that day, RAHMAN were flown from where they were subsequently	
CUBALI	transferred to 61 Upon RAHMAN's arrival at	
COBALT	he was given a physical examination and all of	
į	his personal clothes and effects were removed. He was	
IA Staff	dressed in standard prison garb and placed in a single	
Officer	cell. 2 described the standard prison garb as a	
•	sweatshirt and sweat pants. RAHMAN was also wearing an	
	adult diaper that was placed on him in This is	
	done because prisoners are not allowed to use bathroom	
• •	facilities on the airplane during rendition, and later as a means of humiliation. According to standard operating	
	procedures, one of RAHMAN's hands or feet would have been	
CIA Staff	shackled to the wall when he was placed in his cell.	
Officer	According to the physical examination of RAHMAN	·
	took place in . stated that there are a	
	number of reasons for the physical examination. One reason	•
	is so that Station can conduct a body cavity search to	
	ensure the prisoner is not carrying a weapon or some other	
	substance. The second reason is so that Station can ensure	
COBALT	that the prisoner is in good enough condition to travel and	CIA Staff
	be housed at Lastly, indicated that the physical examination serves to document if a prisoner has	Officer
	been beaten or traumatized. The person conducting the	
	peen peacen or crammerrage. The berson conducting the	
		•
	Nov 2002 (Attachment 38)	
•	61 36124 Nov 2002 (Attachment 39)	
٠٠	62 30211, Nov 2002 (Attachment 40)	
	19	_
•	TOP SECRET//X1	20

Asyro

Z	
1	SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
" dell'	(o, Doddi Intootigation - Gui Ramman
	CIA Staff Officer
,	physical exam would note such observations.
	indicated that the prisoner would be photographed. A
•	search of cable traffic related to RAHMAN found no record
	of any reporting indicating that any injuries or health
CIA Staff "	conditions were noted. stated that they keep no
Officer	medical records on the prisoners and the digital
•	photographs taken of RAHMAN at rendition have long been
•	overwritten. 63 64 COBALT
	,
	TILL
• . •	early November 2002, in conjunction with the interrogations of a few other prisoners. Although Jessen's recollections
	were fuzzy, Jessen recalled that he might have been present
CIA Staff	during the first interrogation of PARMAN at
Officer	Jessen recalled that approached him, and they
•	discussed strategies to use during his interrogation.
CIA Staff	Jessen stated that he believes conducted the first
Officer	interrogation, and he watched from behind the lights.
. ,	Jessen stated that they talked afterwards and collaborated
	on some approaches he might want to take. 55
CIA Chaff	31. (S) Cable traffic reflects that on and
CIA Staff - Officer	November 2002, and Jessen interrogated RAHMAN.
Officer	The cable goes on to state that despite 48 hours of sleep
	deprivation, auditory overload, total darkness, isolation,
	a cold shower, and rough treatment, RAHMAN maintained a
•	high interrogation resistance posture and continued to deny
	that he was RAHMAN, despite overwhelming evidence to the
• • •	contrary. His resistance posture suggested a sophisticated
٠.	level of resistance training. The cable cited several examples of his interrogation resistant behavior:
	examples of his interrogacion resistant behavior;
	o Remained steadfast in outright denials (ignored
•	obvious facts).
	o Was unresponsive to provocation.
	o Claimed inability to think due to conditions
	(cold)
•	o Complained about poor treatment.
•	
	CIA Staff Officer
,	
:	63 Lotus Note from to Jan 2003 (Attachment 41) 64 Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)
	64 Interview of
	20
	TOP SECRET//X1
•	D24"

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

- Complained about the violation of his human rights.
- o Remained consistently unemotional, calm, and composed.
- o Blatantly lied while attempting to appear sincere in his desire to cooperate.
- o Consistently used his cover story.
- o Displayed no anxiety (calmly picked at his skin/nails during confrontations with damning evidence against him.
- o Was unfazed by physical and psychological confrontations. 66

COBALT CIA Staff Officer	32. (5) Cable traffic reflects that sleep deprivation for RAHMAN began almost immediately after his arrival at Jessen stated that he believed that RAHMAN's sleep deprivation started from the beginning. According to, RAHMAN's clothes were taken from him at this point, and he was left wearing a diaper. During the period of sleep deprivation, RAHMAN's arms were shackled to a bar that ran between the walls of the cell. This prevented RAHMAN from sitting down. 67 68
COBALT	33. (S) During the first few days of RAHMAN's incarceration at cable traffic also reflects
CIA Staff Officer	that he received a cold shower. During our interview with he indicated that RAHMAN received a cold shower because the water heater was not working. Jessen stated that he was deliberately given a cold shower as a deprivation technique. Cable traffic tends to support Jessen's statements. Jessen stated that after RAHMAN received the cold shower, he saw RAHMAN standing with the guards. Jessen stated that RAHMAN was shivering and showing early signs of hypothermia. Jessen instructed the guards to provide RAHMAN with a blanket, which they did. 69

34. (S) Cable traffic also reflects that during his first two days of incarceration, RAHMAN underwent "rough

٩	29520	- 1	Nov 2002 ((Al	ltachment 30	3)	1
---	-------	-----	------------	-----	--------------	----	---

CIA Staff Officer

67 Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003 (Attachment 30)
68 Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)

69 Interview of ______ Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)

70 Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003 (Attachment 30)

21 TOP SECRET//X1

SUBJECT: Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

CIA Staff Officer

treatment." stated that they occasionally pushed and shoved RAHMAN while he had a hood over his head to disorient him and scare him. Jessen described witnessing what he termed "a rough takedown." Jessen stated that when a detainee is strong and resilient, you have to establish control or you are not going to get anywhere. So you try different techniques to try to get him to open up. One of . them is rough threatening treatment. The treatment is never to the point that you hurt the prisoner physically, you simply want to instill fear and despair in the prisoner. came up with the idea of the hard takedown and asked Jessen for his thoughts. While Jessen has not used this technique at facilities at which he has worked, and had never seen one conducted, he thought it was worth trying. According to Jessen, there were approximately CIA officers from the team. Each one had a role during the takedown and it was thoroughly planned and rehearsed. They opened the door of RAHMAN's cell and rushed in screaming and yelling for him to "get down." They dragged him outside, cut off his clothes and secured him with Mylar tape. They covered his head with a hood and ran him up and down a long corridor adjacent to his cell. They slapped him and punched him several times. Jessen stated that although it was obvious they were not trying to hit him as hard as they could, a couple of times the punches were forceful. As they ran him along the corridor, a couple of times he fell and they dragged him through the dirt (the floor outside of the cells is dirt). RAHMAN did acquire a number of abrasions on his face, legs, and hands, but nothing that required medical attention. (This may account for the abrasions found on RAHMAN's body after his death. RAHMAN had a number of surface abrasions on his shoulders, pelvis, arms, legs, and face.) At this point, RAHMAN was returned to his cell and secured. Jessen stated that may have -CIA Staff Officer spoken to RAHMAN for a few moments, but he did not know said. Jessen stated that after something like what this is done, interrogators should speak to the prisoner to

CIA Staff Officer

CIA Staff

Officer

"give them something to think about." 71 72

71 Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)

72 Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003 (Attachment 30) 22

TOP SECRET//X1

	SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN	•
. •		
	35. (S) On November 2002, Station forwarded	
	a cable to Headquarters indicating that to	
	Province No intermediation in the intermediation in the contraction in	
	refused to admit his true name was Gul RAHMAN. He appeared	,
COBALT	somewhat fatigued relative to his appearance upon arrival and remained resolutely defiant as	
	interrogators attempted to obtain information from the	
	ocacion believed that physical pressure was unitimized.	
	change RAMMAN'S attitude: but alternative psychological	
• •	pressures may have more success.	
•		
•		
•		
CIA Staff	36. (S) On November 2002, Station Officers	
Officer	Jessen,	
	with RAHMAN. "RAHMAN had spent the days since his last	
	session with Station officers in cold conditions with minimal food or sleep. RAHMAN appeared incoherent for	
j	portions of this session, but was completely lucid by mid-	
ingst of t	session. During this session, RAHMAN finally admitted	•
	that he was indeed Gul RAHMAN.	\neg
•		
,		
•		
•		
	·	
•		
•		
	74 70404 []	
	⁷³ 29626, Nov 2002 (Attachment 42) ⁷⁴ 29770, Nov 2002 (Attachment 43)	
-	Fig. 1.04 Lizes 500% (Vingelittelit 40)	

23
TOP-SECRET//X1

Salim v. Mitchell - United States Bates #001134 Re-Processed: April 11, 2017

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
follows: cable detailing this session reads as
"Assessment: RAHMAN was finally showing the results of his stay at during this session. While he was still clearly resisting, we believe he may have chosen to compromise somewhat in exchange for improve conditions. However, it was also possible that RAHMAN was so fatigued that he was unable to consistently stay with his cover story even if he wished to do so. During portions of interrogation, RAHMAN was confused as to his location, and the passage of time. At othe times he would forget what he had been asked would have to recapture his attention. It is difficult to know precisely how much of his behavior was feigned and how much was a result of his physical and psychological condition; however, IC Jessen's impression was that he continues to use 'health and welfare' behaviors and complaints as a major part of his resistance posture. After the session, RAHMAN wa afforded some improvement in his conditions. Interrogators plan to reinterview RAHMAN on November."
CIA Staff Officer
37. (S) and Jessen both attributed this smal
interrogation breakthrough to the pressure techniques used on RAHMAN. Jessen stated that he believed RAHMAN would
have never made the admission without the pressures placed on him. Jessen stated that he considered RAHMAN's
admission of his identity as a breakthrough but did not believe that RAHMAN had been "broken." Jessen stated that he believes RAHMAN made a compromise. He knew he was in trouble and knew we had a lot of evidence that he was RAHMAN. Jessen believes that RAHMAN knew that he could give up his identity and possibly get a little better treatment, but still protect the information that was important to him. 76 77
29770. Nov 2002 (Attachment 43) Nov 2002 (Attachment 43) Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003 (Attachment 30) Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) 24

TOP SECRET / X1

A 24-25

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

That psychologist ICs Jessen and James E. Mitchell conduct a psychological assessment exam of RAHMAN to determine which interrogation measures would be required to render RAHMAN compliant. The cable stated that Headquarters was motivated to extract any and all operational information on Al-Qa'ida and Hezbi Islami from RAHMAN. The cable noted that it was the assessment of the debriefers that RAHMAN may need to be subjected to enhanced interrogation measures to induce him to comply.

Headquarters requested that the results of the examination be sent to Headquarters where a determination on the course of action could be made. The service of the service of action could be made. The service of the service of action could be made. The service of the service of action could be made. The service of action could be serviced to act of action co

39. (5) On that same day November 2002), Jessen conducted a psychological captivity assessment of RAHMAN. Jessen found that RAHMAN was able to accurately describe the circumstances, time, and location of his capture he was able to identify those captured with him. He was slow to answer some questions, which Jessen attributed to fatigue and active resistance. He was able identify all members of his family, their ages, and places of birth. Questions that were non-sensitive to his resistance posture were answered quickly and accurately. Sensitive questions yielded stalling and prevarication. Throughout this evaluation and the six interrogation sessions Jessen participated in up to that point, Jessen saw no signs of psychopathology. RAHMAN did feign incoherence and profound confusion at times, but would immediately revert to a coherent dialogue when it was in his best interest. Jessen assessed RAHMAN as being of above average intelligence. Jessen stated that RAHMAN was a mentally stable individual exhibiting extraordinary resilience in his ability to withstand the vicissitudes of captivity and persist in

78 Alec 191539, Nov 2002 (Attachment 32) 25 TOP_SECRET//X1-

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

an effective resistance posture. There was no indication that RAHMAN suffered from any psychopathology nor that he would be profoundly or permanently affected by continuing interrogations, to include HVT enhanced measures. 79

40. (5) In the last paragraph of Jessen's mental examination report, Jessen recommended an interrogation plan for RAHMAN. The last paragraph of the cable reads as follows:

"Interrogation Plan Recommendation: Because of his [RAHMAN's] remarkable physical and psychological resilience and determination to persist in his effective resistance posture, employing enhanced measures is not the first or best option to yield positive interrogation results. In fact, with such individuals, increasing physical pressures often bolsters their resistance. The most effective interrogation plan for Gul RAHMAN, is to continue environmental deprivations he is experiencing and institute a concentrated interrogation exposure regimen. This regimen would consist of repeated and seemingly constant interrogations (18 out of 24 hours per day). These interrogations should be coordinated and present with the same set of key subject areas. Interrogators should have the flexibility and insight to deviate with the Subject when he begins to move in a desired direction. It will be the consistent and persistent application of deprivations (sleep loss and fatigue) and seemingly constant interrogations, which will be most effective in wearing down this Subject's resistance posture. It will be important to manage the deprivations so as to allow Subject adequate rest and nourishment so he remains coherent and capable of providing accurate information. The station physician should collaborate with the interrogation team to achieve this optimum balance. It is reasonable to expect two weeks or more of this regimen before significant movement occurs."80

79 29909. Nov 2002 (Attachment 44) 80 29909, Nov 2002 (Attachment 44)

TOP SECRET//X1

A2427

SECRET//X1

	SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN	,
	41. (S) On November 2002, Station Medical Officer, examined Gul RAHMAN and found no health problems.	•
CIA Staff Officer	42. (S) The afternoon of November 2002, was the last time saw RAHMAN alive. At that time, assessed RAHMAN to be in good overall health. noted that RAHMAN had small abrasions on his wrist	,
CIA Staff	restraints were loosened, and his hand restraints were removed when RAHMAN was returned to his cell. 82 According	
Officer .	RAHMAN had complained that he was cold, so gave him a sweatshirt.	•
	43. (8) According to Guard RAHMAN was fed at 2100 on November 2002. Because prisoners are fed one large meal a day, and because of RAHMAN's actions on the following day, this is the last meal RAHMAN consumed prior to his death. 84	
<i>J</i>	44. (S) According to RAHMAN was fed again at 1500 on November 2002. RAHMAN was fed again at 1500 on November 2002. According to numerous sources, when the guards gave RAHMAN his food, he threw the plate, waterbottle, and waste bucket at the guards. He began yelling at the guards, repeating his threat, last stated approximately one week prior, that he knew their faces and	
	he would kill them when he got out of the prison. As a result of his violent behavior, ordered that the guards put RAHMAN's hand restraints back on to prevent him from taking any other violent actions. The guards proceeded to shackle RAHMAN to the wall of his cell in a short chain position. (In the "short chain" method, the prisoner's hands are shackled together as are his feet. Then a short chain is used to shackle the hands to the	CIA Staff Officer
•	Nov 2002 (Attachment 27) 82 3021 Nov 2002 (Attachment 40)	
.) .)	Basinterview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) Basinterview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 45) Basinterview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 45)	,
••	30211 Nov 2002 (Attachment 40) 27	428
*	TOP SECRET//X1	· <i>J</i>

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
feet. This keeps a prisoner's hand shackled within several inches of his feet. The prisoner's feet are then shackled to the wall). The only clothing being worn by RAHMAN at this point was the sweatshirt given to him by the CIA Staff Officer day before. RAHMAN was nude from the waist down. RAHMAN had been nude, with the exception of a diaper for most of his incarceration. There is uncertainty as to when RAHMAN's diaper had been removed. As of approximately 1500 on November 2002, RAHMAN was shackled in a sitting position on bare concrete while nude from the waist down. The manner in which he was shackled prevented him from standing upright.
45. (S) The guards made their normal rounds to check on the prisoners on November 2002, at 2200 and 2300. The guards did not enter RAHMAN's cell, but visually inspected him from the outside using a flashlight. The and checked RAHMAN's cell at 0400 on November 2002. Stated that they looked into his cell and whistled. RAHMAN was sitting in his cell, alive and shaking. At 0800, guards made the rounds to check on the prisoners. According to the guards, RAHMAN was alive, sitting on the floor and shaking. Noted that RAHMAN's eyes were open and blinking. Said RAHMAN's shaking did not seem unusual because all of the prisoners shake. According to guard he checked RAHMAN's cell at 1000. He noted that the prisoner was lying on his side. tapped the door with his nightstick; however, the prisoner did not move. At that point, sought out a CIA TDY'er who was at to debrief other detainees. COBALT
46. (S) According to interviews conducted with Agency personnel present at when RAHMAN's body was discovered, were
30211 Nov 2002 (Attachment 40) 88 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 45) 89 Interviews of Nov 2002 (Attachment 45) 90 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 45) 28 TOP SECRET//X1

41.75t	SUBJECT: (5) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
,	COBALT
•	all at to interrogate other priceses at
	The state of the s
•	approximately 1000 on November 2002, one of the guards
	walked up to and informed him that one of the
•	prisoners was not moving. The officers went with the
٠.	guard to RAHMAN's cell. The guard unlocked the cell and
	opened the door. RAHMAN was lying motionless on his right
	side with his hands and feet shackled together and his feet
•	shackled to the wall. There was a small amount of blood
	coming from his nose and mouth. RAHMAN was clothed in a
•	sweatshirt but had no pants. noted that the only
	things in his cell were an empty red waste bucket, and a
•	food tray with a small piece of bread on it. stated
•	that there was rice strewn all over cell. entered the
	cell and checked RAHMAN's pulse. When he could not find a
	pulse, he began CPR chest compressions. With each chest
•	compression, noted that more blood would come from his mouth and mucous from his nose. returned to the area
	where interrogations are conducted and called one of the
	Station medics on the radio. also tried to contact
· `}	Station medics on the radio. also tried to contact but he could not find him. Station medic
	stated that he received the radio call, but it was very
SODALT.	cryptic. stated that he did not know why he was
COBALT :	being summoned to stated that he and
	(the other Station medic) grabbed
COBALT _	their medical bags, obtained transportation, and traveled
COBALI _	to 91 92 93 94
	47. (S) When noted that CPR was unsuccessful in
	reviving RAHMAN, he ordered that the cell be sealed until
	the doctor arrived. arrived 30-45
	minutes later. Upon arrival, Station personnel greeted
	and informed him that a prisoner was dead.
•	went to RAHMAN's cell and found him
	lying on his side. examined RAHMAN's body and
	rolled it on both sides. stated that there was no
•	evidence that the prisoner had been abused and no evidence
. '	of a cause of death noted that the blood coming
•	91 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 14)
•	12 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 46)
	⁹³ Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 47)
	% Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 27)
	29
	TOP-SECRET//X1
	እ ሳ ት -

Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

	•	•		
from the nose	and mouth	was dark a	nd inconsis	tent with a
wound to that	t area.	esti	mated that	
died within	the past fe	w hours. 95	,	•

SUBJECT:

CIA Staff Officer

48. (S) noted that they found it
unusual that the guard commander was not present at the
prison at the time of RAHMAN's death. The interior prison
guards live inside the prison and rarely leave. When
questioned the guards about the Commander's absence
he was told that the Commander was at
said he heard second hand that the guards told or
that the Commander had a family emergency. 97 98

49. (S) It is important to note that during this investigation several officers made reference to an unexpected temperature drop immediately prior to RAHMAN's death. The following are the Accuweather temperatures during the month of November 2002:

50. (S) No photographs were taken at the scene of	
RAHMAN's death. Later that evening,	
delivered a freezer to the facility and RAHMAN's body was	
frozen until investigating personnel could arrive to	
conduct an autopsy. 99	

95 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 14)
96 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 27)
97 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 12)
98 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 46)
99 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 12)
30

TOP SECRET / X1

SUBJECT: (5) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
A FIMA DOLL
AUTOPSY
51. (S) Dr. conducted an autopsy on RAHMAN on November 2002. His findings are presented in his report entitled, "Final Autposy Findings, CASE # which is attached to this report. In summary, Dr. listed the cause of death as "undetermined."
stated, however, that it was his clinical impression
that RAHMAN died of hypothermia. 100
stated that hypothermia is a diagnosis of exclusion. In essence, other potential causes are ruled out one by one until you are left with no other possibility. stated that he conducted a full anterior neck dissection. found no evidence of hemorrhage in the tissue, muscles, and cartilage around the neck and no evidence of damage to the Hyoid bone. Injuries such as these are common in cases of strangulation. examined the soft tissue on the inside of the mouth and found no evidence that pressure was placed over the mouth as is common in cases of smothering. There was no trauma to the teeth. The head and skull were examined and displayed no evidence of facial or skull fractures and no blood in the anterior chambers of the eyes. examined the chest, trunk, abdomen, and genitals and found no evidence of trauma. RAHMAN had abrasions to both wrists and ankles, but there was no evidence of infection. RAHMAN had a number of scrapes on his shoulders, legs, and hips; however, there was no bruising around the abrasions suggesting that there was no blunt force trauma.
53. (S) The toxicology was conducted by the
The toxicology included testing
for all of the classic poisons to include cyanide. Additionally, they tested for substances used in truth serums and found no evidence of toxic substances. During the autopsy, specifically looked for injection marks on the body and searched for pill fragments in the mouth and stomach and found no indication that he had ingested any pills or received any injections. 102
100 Final Autopsy Findings. (Attachment 48)
Dec 2002 (Attachment 49)
Dec 2002 (Attachment 49)
Dec 2002 (Attachment 49) 31. TOP SECRET//X1

SUBJECT:	(3)	Death	Investigation	_	Gul	RAHMAN

- 54. (5) In making the clinical diagnosis of death by hypothermia, based his conclusion and the clinical environment in which RAHMAN was found and the information compiled during the investigation. based his conclusions on the following factors
 - o RAHMAN's urine had high catecholamine levels, which is consistent with hypothermic deaths.
 - o RAHMAN was seen shivering for a number of hours immediately prior to his death.
 - o The environment in which he was housed was extremely cold. On the night of his death, the outside temperature was 31 degrees. The prison facility is not insulated.
 - o RAHMAN had not eaten in approximately 36 hours. No food was found in his stomach during the autopsy. RAHMAN's glycogen levels would have been depleted. Glycogen is a fuel source used by the body to stay warm.
 - o RAHMAN was unclothed from the waist down and was in direct contact with cold concrete. Direct conduction is a significant cause of heat loss in the body.
 - o RAHMAN was chained in a short chain position. This prevented him from standing up and moving around to warm his body.
 - o RAHMAN was dehydrated which is a contributing factor to hypothermia. 103

103 Interview of Dr.	Dec 2002 (Attachment 49)
	32
•	TOP SECRET//X1

SUBJECT: (5) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

CONCLUSIONS

The evidence developed during the course of this investigation suggests the following:

- O There is no evidence to suggest that RAHMAN's death was deliberate.
 - o There is no evidence to suggest that RAHMAN was beaten, tortured, poisoned, strangled, or smothered.
 - Hypothermia was the most likely cause of death of Gul RAHMAN.
 - o His death was not deliberate, but resulted from his incarceration in a cold environment while nude from the waist down, and shackled in a position that prevented him from moving around to keep warm. Additionally, this kept him in direct contact with the cold concrete floor leading to a loss of bodyheat through conduction.
- o Gul RAHMAN's actions contributed to his own death. By throwing his last meal he was unable to provide his body with a source of fuel to keep him warm. Additionally, his violent behavior resulted in his restraint which prevented him from generating body heat by moving around and brought him in direct contact with the

	ete floor ction.	leading	to a loss	of bodyheat	through
Condu					
ttachment As state					
no state	ų.	1			

33
TOP SECRET//X1

Case 2:15-cv-00286-JLQ Document 176-22 Filed 05/22/17 UNCLASSIFIED // FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

TOP SECRET//X1

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

Distribution:

Original & 1 - Addressee

1 - ADDO/CI

36 TOP SECRET//X1

ATT	ACHMENTS	•
		•
1.	DIRECTOR 492873, Sep 2002	
2.	27054. Sep 2002	
3.	21743, May 2002	
4.	22975, Jun 2002	•
5.	23587, Jun 2002	•
6.	DIRECTOR 297415, Jun 2002	
7.	27768, Oct 2002	•
8.	22682, May 2002	
9.	28246, Oct 2002	
10.	24021, Jun 2002	
11.	27365, Sep 2002	•
12.		
13.		
14.	2002	•
15.		
16.		
	DIRECTOR 320797, Jun 2002	
17.	23637. Jun 2002	
18.	DIRECTOR 344348, Jul 2002	• • •
19.	25994, Aug 2002	•
20.	26908, Sep 2002	
21.	30589, Nov 2002	
22.	·	
23.		
24.	22722, Jun 2002	
25.	Jul 2002	
26.	Aug 2002	•
27.		
28.	28914, Oct 2002	•
29,	21147, Apr 2002	•
30.	Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003	
31.	ALEC 189493, Oct 2002	
32.	ALEC 191539, Nov 2002	
33.	29520. Nov 2002	
34.	Oct 2002	
35.	Nov 2002	• .
36.	ALEC 190842, Nov 2002	
37.	ALEC 190864, Nov 2002	1
38.	Nov 2002	•
39.		
40.	30211, Nov 2002	•
41.	SOZIII BOV ZOZ	
42.	20626 Nov 2002	
	29626, Nov 2002	
43.	29770, Nov 2002	
	34	1.3
	TOP SECRET // X1	٠ ﻣــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ

TOP SECRET / / X1

29909, Nov 2002	
	Nov 2002
Interview of	Nov 2002.
Interview of	Nov 2002
Final Autopsy Findings,	
Interview of Dr.	Dec 2002
Interview of	Nov 2002
Gul Rahman Autoney Photos	

35
TOP SECRET//X1