Exhibit B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

AT SPOKANE

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

SULEIMAN ABDULLAH SALIM, et al,

Plaintiffs,

Case Number:

vs.

2:15-cv-286-JLQ

JAMES E. MITCHELL and JOHN JESSEN,

Defendants.

Videotaped Deposition of Jose Rodriguez
Washington, D.C.
Tuesday, March 7, 2017
10:00 a.m.

Job No. 302803

Reported by: Laurie Bangart, RPR, CRR



- 1 tracked down the contractor and asked if he would
- 2 accompany a team of CTC officers to the black site
- 3 where we hoped Abu Zubaydah would be
- 4 interrogated."
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q First of all, the reference to "AZ" is
- 8 Abu Zubaydah, correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 O And the reference to "the contractor" is
- 11 Dr. Mitchell; is that correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q Okay. So how did you reach him within
- 14 two days of AZ's capture?
- 15 A Well, I assume that he was at
- 16 headquarters. Somebody, you know, somebody
- 17 reached him. I did not reach him myself.
- 18 Somebody in the Counter-Terrorism Center reached
- 19 him.
- 20 Q Did you know him at that time?
- 21 A I did not know him.
- 22 Q So that was the first time that you had
- 23 met Dr. Mitchell?
- A I met him, yes, for the first time.
- Q Mm-hmm. Ultimately, though, you were



- 1 the one who made the decision to hire him at CTC?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Why did you think he was qualified?
- 4 A Because of his experience with SERE and
- 5 because we needed to do something different than
- 6 what had been done before, and he looked like the
- 7 right person to do it.
- 8 Q Why did he look like the right person to
- 9 do it?
- 10 A Because he had a tremendous expertise,
- and he had a good vision for what needed to be
- 12 done.
- 13 Q What did he have "tremendous expertise"
- 14 in?
- 15 A In SERE.
- 16 Q What was his SERE experience, to your
- 17 knowledge, at that time?
- 18 A He had spent many years with the Air
- 19 Force working on SERE.
- 20 Q Did he have -- was there any other
- 21 source of his tremendous expertise?
- 22 A The expertise I was interested in was
- 23 SERE.
- Q When you said "he had a good vision for
- 25 what needed to be done, " what was that good



- 1 vision?
- 2 A That good vision was the use of enhanced
- 3 interrogations to get Abu Zubaydah to cooperate
- 4 with us.
- 5 0 Was that his idea?
- 6 A It was a recommendation. I don't
- 7 remember exactly who the recommendation came from,
- 8 but I assume he was part of that recommendation.
- 9 Q I'm sorry. He was -- you're saying that
- 10 he was recommended to you?
- 11 A That was a recommendation from him
- 12 regarding the use of the enhanced interrogation
- 13 techniques.
- 14 Q I see, okay, and that's -- so his -- the
- 15 recommendation from him to use enhanced
- 16 interrogation techniques was what you mean when
- 17 you said he had "a good vision"?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay.
- 20 A He had a good vision for how to get this
- 21 person to tell us about the pending attacks on the
- 22 US.
- 23 Q Other than Dr. Mitchell's experience at
- 24 SERE, did he have any other qualifications that
- 25 you were aware of at that time?



- 1 A Well, he came with a Ph.D., highly
- 2 regarded, and then the SERE experience is the one
- 3 that I was interested in.
- 4 Q How did you know he was highly regarded?
- 5 A I was told.
- 6 O The Senate Select Committee On
- 7 Intelligence report, which I know you have some
- 8 concerns about, says that "neither Dr. Mitchell
- 9 nor Dr. Jessen, "quote, "had any experience as an
- 10 interrogator, nor did either have specialized
- 11 knowledge of Al-Qaeda, a background in
- 12 counter-terrorism, or any relevant cultural or
- 13 linguistic experience."
- 14 You've read that before, right?
- 15 A I've read that before.
- 16 Q And what's your response to that?
- 17 A My response to that is that at some
- 18 time -- sometimes it is important to do something
- 19 different, because what's traditionally been done
- 20 hasn't worked, and this was something different,
- 21 and it worked very well.
- 22 Q So Dr. Mitchell was proposing --
- 23 "recommending" was your word -- something
- 24 different, right?
- 25 A Yes.



- 1 training program that trains our people how to
- 2 withstand interrogation tactics. They had
- 3 knowledge and background on Islamic extremism."
- 4 What knowledge and background on Islamic
- 5 extremism do you believe that Drs. Mitchell and
- 6 Jessen had?
- 7 A Well, first of all, their knowledge of
- 8 psychology, human behavior was one that, as he
- 9 points in his paper here, translates into all
- 10 cultures. I saw him, how he dealt with the Arab
- 11 culture, and I thought, you know, this is a person
- 12 who understands it and can deal with it.
- 13 Q So your belief that they had knowledge
- 14 and background on Islamic extremism came about as
- 15 a result of your observations of them during the
- 16 course of interrogations; is that correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Do you have any other knowledge with
- 19 regard to their knowledge and background on
- 20 Islamic extremism?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Okay. Does it -- how do you feel about
- 23 the fact that Dr. Mitchell and Dr. Jessen in
- 24 their, what I just read to you, say that they
- 25 didn't have knowledge about -- and background on



- 1 Islamic extremism?
- 2 A I have no feeling about it.
- 3 Q I want to ask you for your response to a
- 4 couple other statements that have been made about
- 5 Dr. Mitchell and Dr. Jessen's background.
- In her book, The Dark Side, Jane Mayer
- 7 says that "according to one colleague who is an
- 8 interrogator, Mitchell had not even observed an
- 9 interrogation, "referring to prior to, to this,
- 10 this assignment.
- Do you know whether that's true or not?
- 12 A I do not.
- 13 Q And Ali Soufan from the FBI says the
- 14 same thing.
- To your knowledge, is it true that
- 16 Dr. Mitchell had never even observed an
- interrogation prior to his assignment?
- 18 A I do not know.
- 19 Q Okay. I want to ask you to turn to
- 20 paragraph 42 of your declaration, and that's on
- 21 page 7, Mr. Rodriguez.
- 22 A Okay.
- 23 Q In paragraph 42(a) you say, "Before
- 24 September 11, 2001, the CTC had no resident
- 25 expertise in interrogation"; is that correct?



Page 47 1 Α True. 2 When I say "is that correct," it's not 3 just that you said it; that was a true fact? 4 Α True. 5 Okay, and it says in (b), "To be used effectively, interrogation skills must be 6 7 developed over years" and that "interrogation was 8 not a part of the CTC's core counter-terrorism mission." 9 10 Is that true? 11 Α True. 12 So were you -- did you have expertise in 13 interrogation? 14 Α No. That is not something that you had done 15 0 in your prior assignments with the CIA? 16 17 А No. 18 And were you in a position to evaluate then whether somebody was doing a good job at 19 20 interrogation or not? 21 Only in terms of results. 22 But it's not an area that you had any 23 training or experience in? 24 Α At the CIA, many times we take on new jobs, and we don't have any training or 25



- 1 experience. Like myself, I came to CTC. I had
- 2 never done any CTC work. You come and you learn
- 3 it, and you very quickly become pretty
- 4 knowledgeable about it.
- 5 Q Okay. I really want to focus here on
- 6 paragraph 42(c), the next, the next subparagraph
- 7 down.
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And that says, "Having been referred to
- 11 the CTC by the OTS, Drs. Mitchell and Jessen were
- 12 eminently qualified to assist the CTC in
- 13 developing and applying EITs."
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 O The fact that Drs. Mitchell and
- 17 Jessen -- well, first of all, it says -- strike
- 18 that. Let me start over, try to ask a decent
- 19 question.
- 20 As you point out, that they were
- 21 referred -- Drs. Mitchell and Jessen were referred
- 22 to the CTC by the OTS; is that correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 O Is it true that Dr. Jessen was referred
- 25 to the CTC by the OTS?



- 1 A Jessen was -- Mitchell was referred.
- 2 Mitchell was referred. Jessen was referred by
- 3 Mitchell.
- 4 Q So is the fact that they were referred
- 5 to the CTC by the OTS one of the reasons why you
- 6 believe they were, quote, "eminently qualified to
- 7 assist the CTC in developing and applying EITs"?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q What about the reference from the OTS
- 10 led you to conclude that they were eminently
- 11 qualified?
- 12 A I just took it for granted that they
- 13 knew what they were doing.
- 14 Q And you took it for granted based upon
- 15 the referral from the OTS; is that right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q You mentioned a few minutes ago that,
- 18 that Dr. Jessen was referred to you by
- 19 Dr. Mitchell; is that right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q What -- did you make the decision to
- 22 hire Dr. Jessen?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q What did you do to vet him? Anything?
- 25 A Nothing.



Page 58 Do you see that? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 So is it correct that you asked 4 Dr. Mitchell if he would take charge of creating 5 and implementing a program? А Yes. 7 And that program was the program of enhanced interrogation techniques; is that right? 8 9 Α Correct. 10 And you were under instructions at that 11 time from Director Tenet to develop a, an 12 interrogation program; is that right? 13 Α Correct. 14 So I just want to make sure I understand 15 what happened then, and I direct your attention for purposes of that to paragraph 46 of your 16 17 declaration, which is Exhibit 36, on page 8 of the 18 declaration. 19 Α Yes. 20 0 Do you see that? 21 So this refers to a meeting on July 8, 22 2002, at headquarters with Drs. Mitchell and 23 Jessen, if you look at paragraph 44. 24 Do you see that? 25 Α Yes.



- 1 Q In paragraph 46 it says, "At the
- 2 conclusion of this meeting, I requested that
- 3 Drs. Mitchell and Jessen provide me with a written
- 4 list identifying the potential EITs, describing
- 5 how they would be implemented and identifying
- 6 their intended effects upon Zubaydah."
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And they, in fact, did that, correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 O If you look at Exhibit J to your, to
- 12 your declaration, is that the list of EITs that,
- 13 that they provided as a result of your request?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And that -- let me just withdraw it.
- 16 If you go to the next page, paragraph 49
- of your declaration, page 9, paragraph 49. Sorry.
- 18 Thanks.
- I want to ask you about paragraph 49.
- 20 It says, "During July 2002, with Drs. Mitchell and
- 21 Jessen's input only as requested, the CTC began
- 22 devising an interrogation plan for Zubaydah
- 23 utilizing some or all of the EITs (hereinafter,
- 24 the 'EIT Program')."
- 25 So was the EIT program based upon the



Case 2:15-cv-00286-JLQ Document 182-2 Filed 05/22/17 Page 60 list that Dr. Mitchell had provided to you? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 0 And you discussed in many places the 4 fact that, however, you wouldn't implement that 5 until you got approval --6 Correct. I'm sorry. 7 No, no, that's okay, but you sought permission for all of those techniques, correct? 8 9 Α Correct. 10 Okay, and just so that the record is 11 clear, the techniques for which you sought 12 approval were -- and we can follow along, if you 13 want to, on Exhibit J -- were the attention grasp, walling, facial hold, facial slap, cramped 14 15 confinement, wall standing, stress positions, 16 sleep deprivation, waterboard, use of diapers, 17 insects, and mock burial. 18 Now, I'm not asking what got approved. I'm asking whether those were the techniques for 19 20 which you requested approval. 21 Α Yes.



Yes.

Α

22

23

24

25

MAGNA

are set forth in the list that was provided by

Dr. Mitchell and Dr. Jessen, correct?

And again those are the techniques that

Page 61 Did you request approval for techniques 1 2 other than those that were set forth on the list provided by Drs. Mitchell and Jessen? 3 4 I don't recall that. Α 5 Okay, and this became, this became the formal interrogation -- ultimately when there was 6 approval granted for at least some of them, this 7 became the formal interrogation plan of CTC; is 8 9 that correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 MR. JAMES SMITH: Objection. 12 THE REPORTER: Did you object? 13 MR. JAMES SMITH: Yes. BY MR. LUSTBERG: 14 Okay, and in particular, if you look at, 15 0 16 in your declaration --17 MR. BENNETT: Don't worry about it. 18 MR. LUSTBERG: Yeah, don't worry 19 about that. 20 THE WITNESS: I'm just asking. 21 MR. LUSTBERG: Oh, about the objection? 22 23 THE WITNESS: The objection, yeah. MR. BENNETT: I have no idea. 24 25 MR. LUSTBERG: To be honest,



- neither do I, but he knows. That's good.
- 2 BY MR. LUSTBERG:
- 3 Q If you look at paragraph 58 on page 10
- 4 of your declaration.
- 5 A Mm-hmm.
- 6 Q This talks about the Zubaydah formal
- 7 interrogation plan, and there's a cable, which is
- 8 Exhibit M, if you could pull out Exhibit M. "M"
- 9 as in Mary.
- 10 In your declaration you state that the
- 11 cable constituted Zubaydah's formal interrogation
- 12 plan, and just referring to that exhibit, if you
- 13 look at the second page, paragraph 4, do you see
- 14 where it says "Background"?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Do you see the list of enhanced
- 17 interrogation techniques that are listed there?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q It's a fact, isn't it, that those are
- 20 the same interrogation techniques -- let me try
- 21 that again. They're the same enhanced
- 22 interrogation techniques as are set forth in
- 23 Dr. Mitchell and Dr. Jessen's memo to you, other
- 24 than the mock burial, right?
- 25 A I believe that's right.



```
Page 63
               Okay. It's important, it's an important
 1
          0
     fact, so if you could take a look and see if
 3
     that's --
 4
               I mean mock burial was definitely out,
 5
     and I think that's the only one.
               So is it fair to say, Mr. Rodriguez,
 7
     that Drs. Mitchell and Jessen's proposal became
     the enhanced interrogation techniques program for
 8
 9
     the CIA?
10
          Α
               Yes.
               And if you look at Exhibit I to your
11
12
     declaration, what is that? What is Exhibit I?
13
          Α
               Are you asking me?
14
          0
            Yes.
              A cable? A cable, do you mean?
15
          Α
16
          Q
              Mm-hmm.
               I have to read it.
17
          A
18
          Q Take your time.
19
                    (Witness peruses document.)
20
    BY MR. LUSTBERG:
21
               I'm going to eventually direct your
22
     attention to paragraph 5, which is on the second
    page of the cable, which has a list of pressure
23
24
     techniques.
                    (Witness peruses document.)
25
```



```
Page 64
                    THE WITNESS: No date?
 1
 2
     BY MR. LUSTBERG:
               Well, it says "date" -- 7 with no date,
 3
          0
 4
     2002, so July 2002?
 5
               I don't know if it's July.
 6
               Right.
 7
               The date matters, but . . .
          Α
               Okay. Well, let me ask you this: Where
 8
          0
 9
     it says here --
               Let me finish here.
10
          Α
11
               I'm sorry. I apologize. Take as much
12
     time as you need.
13
                     (Witness peruses document.)
14
    BY MR. LUSTBERG:
15
               Take your time. Let me know when you're
          0
16
     ready.
17
               Yeah, what's your question?
18
               My question is: Under 5 it says, "The
     below techniques are the menu of the preapproved
19
20
     interrogation techniques."
21
               When it says "preapproved," who
22
     preapproved them?
23
                    MR. JOHNSON: Objection.
                    MR. LUSTBERG: Okay, let me -- I'll
24
25
          withdraw the question.
```



Page 70 the techniques that have been proposed by 1 Drs. Mitchell and Jessen, right? 3 Α Yes. 4 I want to show you Exhibit 38. 0 5 (Exhibit 38 was marked for identification.) 7 BY MR. LUSTBERG: Mr. Rodriguez, let me know when you've 8 Q had a chance to take a look at this. 9 10 Α Read the whole thing? 11 Well, just -- I'll ask you -- I'll 12 direct you to certain places. 13 Α Okay. 14 So let's start here. It says -- it's dated January 31, 2003, right? 15 16 Α Correct. Do you recognize this, by the way? 17 0 18 Α No. It says "DCI Guidelines for the Conduct 19 20 of Interrogations." 21 What does "DCI" stand for? 22 Director of Central Intelligence. 23 Okay. Given -- and you can take a look at the content of it. The Director of Central 24 25 Intelligence at that time was Mr. Tenet; is that



```
Page 71
     right?
 1
 2
          Α
               Yes.
 3
               Okay. Would he have issued this
          0
 4
     directly, or would you have been involved in that?
 5
          Α
               He would have issued it based on our
 6
     input.
 7
               And if you look at the third, at the
     second and third pages, do you see where it --
 8
 9
     bless you -- where it says "Permissible
10
     Interrogation Techniques"?
11
               Yes.
          А
12
               And it has a paragraph there about
13
     "standard techniques."
14
               Do you see that?
15
          Α
               Yes.
               And then if you go to the next page,
16
     which for the record is Bates 1172, it has a list
17
     of "enhanced techniques"?
18
19
          Α
               Yes.
20
               And if you look at that list of enhanced
          0
21
     techniques, which are described as "techniques
     that do incorporate physical or psychological
22
23
     pressure beyond standard techniques, " it has, down
24
     below, the same list, right?
25
               So again -- I'm sorry. I don't mean to
```



Page 72 be mysterious. These techniques are attention 1 2 grasp, walling, facial hold, facial slap, abdominal slap, cramped confinement, wall 3 4 standing, stress positions, sleep deprivation 5 beyond 72 hours, use of diapers for prolonged periods, use of harmless insects, the waterboard, 6 7 and this says "and such other techniques as may be specifically approved." 8 9 Do you see that? 10 Α Yes, I see that. 11 0 That's the same list as was developed --12 Α Yes. Let me --13 Q 14 Α I'm sorry. Yes. Let me make it clear. Those are the 15 0 16 same techniques as were developed by Drs. Mitchell and Jessen, right? 17 18 Α Yes. And if you go to the first page, you can 19 20 see that this was sent around to other, to other 21 black sites, right? 22 Only one. 23 To Cobalt? Q 24 Α Yes. 25 Q Okay. Cobalt was a -- so these



- 1 techniques were applied at Cobalt; is that right?
- 2 A I assume so.
- 3 Q And when you say you "assume so," if
- 4 this went to Cobalt and these were the approved
- 5 techniques for Cobalt, then they would have been
- 6 the ones that would have been allowed to be used
- 7 there, correct?
- 8 A I just don't know if they were used in
- 9 that precise location.
- 10 Q Okay. You don't know if they were used,
- 11 but you know that they were approved for use
- 12 there?
- 13 A They were approved for use, yes.
- 14 Q Okay. So just to make it clear, the
- 15 techniques that Dr. Mitchell and Dr. Jessen had
- 16 proposed were formalized in certain documents,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 O And this is one of those documents that
- 20 formalized the use of those techniques, right?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And, and then they were approved for use
- 23 at Cobalt, correct?
- 24 A And beyond.
- Q Okay, but for purposes of -- you can



Page 74 tell that, from this, that they were used for, 1 they were approved for Cobalt, correct? 3 Α Correct. 4 And you say they were also approved for 5 other sites? Once the enhanced interrogation 7 techniques were approved, we used them at 8 different sites. Okay. That's because that was -- that Q 10 became the enhanced interrogation program for the 11 CIA, right? 12 Α True. 13 You don't know -- you are aware that two 14 of the plaintiffs here are Salim and Soud. Do you know those names? 15 16 Α Yes. You know that just from, by virtue of 17 this case? 18 By virtue of this case, yes. 19 20 Do you know whether these techniques 0 21 were used on Salim -- any of these techniques were used on Salim and Soud? 22 23 They were not. Α 24 Q They were -- you know that they were



25

not?

```
Page 84
 1
     correct?
 2
          Α
               No.
               You weren't there?
 3
 4
          Α
               Correct.
 5
          Q
               And, and you have no idea what actually
     occurred with regard to them?
 7
               Correct.
          Α
 8
          0
               Okay.
               My question, if I can -- or my statement
          Α
          It doesn't look like this is part of this
10
11
     document. Something added to it from somewhere.
12
          0
               Okay, thank you.
               For the record, it's a redacted
13
14
     spreadsheet, but we can deal with that later.
15
               Okay.
                      I'm going to move on. Paragraph
     38 -- I just want to explore some confusion I have
16
17
     with regard to one issue. In paragraph 38 of your
     declaration, you're describing a meeting that took
18
     place at headquarters the first week of July 2002?
19
20
          Α
               Mm-hmm.
               Correct?
21
          0
22
          Α
               Yes.
23
               And Dr. Mitchell attended that meeting.
24
     Do you see that?
25
          Α
               Yes.
```



- 1 Q And in paragraph 38 you write,
- 2 "Dr. Mitchell explained that the particular goal
- 3 of EITs would be to dislocate the subject's
- 4 expectations and overcome his resistance and
- 5 thereby motivate him to provide the information
- 6 the CIA was seeking. Dr. Mitchell further
- 7 explained that in working to achieve this goal,
- 8 the interrogation could produce a range of mental
- 9 states in the subject, including, but not limited
- 10 to, fear, learned helplessness, compliancy, or
- 11 false hope."
- 12 My question to you is: What did you
- mean by the term "learned helplessness" there?
- 14 A I do not know. All I heard was
- 15 Dr. Mitchell explaining these psychological terms.
- 16 Frankly, my interest was in getting results, not
- in, you know, the psychological state of people.
- 18 Q So, so when you, when you signed this
- 19 declaration that it's all true, what you were
- 20 saying is that Dr. Mitchell used that phrase
- 21 "learned helplessness"; is that right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay, and I guess my question is -- in
- 24 paragraph 45, which is two pages later, you say,
- 25 "I do not recall a specific discussion about



- 1 'learned helplessness' during this period, and it
- 2 was not something I focused on, " which is what you
- 3 just said, "though I may have heard the term." So
- 4 I'm trying to understand how those two paragraphs
- 5 fit together.
- 6 Did Dr. Mitchell, in fact, use the
- 7 phrase "learned helplessness"?
- 8 A I assumed that he did.
- 9 MR. BENNETT: Don't assume.
- 10 THE WITNESS: He did, he used it,
- and I didn't pay much attention to it.
- 12 BY MR. LUSTBERG:
- Q Okay, so what you're saying is he used
- 14 it, but there was not -- there was no real
- 15 discussion of it?
- 16 A There may have been a discussion. I did
- 17 not focus on it.
- 18 Q Okay. Do you understand what the, what
- 19 "learned helplessness" is?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q You've never heard of a psychologist
- 22 named Martin Seligman?
- 23 A No.
- Q And you have no knowledge of experiments
- 25 in the --



```
Page 87
 1
          Α
               No.
 2
               -- area of learned helplessness?
          0
 3
          Α
               No.
 4
          0
               Thank you.
 5
               Okay. I want to -- I want to move on to
     the issue of, that you've discussed a few times,
 6
     about how these techniques got authorized.
 7
 8
          Α
               Okay.
 9
               You have written on a number of
          0
     occasions and said that you wanted to make sure,
10
     before any of this happened, that it was legal,
11
12
     right?
13
          Α
               Correct.
14
               And let me ask you -- yeah, that's a
15
     memo. The -- why were you so concerned about
16
     that?
17
               Because I had worked in other programs
     where we came back -- they came back to haunt us
18
     regarding the legality and the authorities, and I
19
20
     wanted to make sure that that did not happen
21
     again.
22
               Did you have particular doubts as to
23
     whether this program was legal?
24
          Α
               No, no.
25
          0
               So when you were -- and as you write
```



- 1 A No, it didn't give me any concern at
- 2 all. It was just bureaucracy working slowly
- 3 through the process.
- 4 Q Same, same with regard to the tapes?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q So from your perspective, the reason
- 7 that it took so long to make a decision with
- 8 regard to both EITs and then the tapes was because
- 9 in each case, there was just -- it was the slow
- 10 pace of bureaucracy?
- 11 A Correct.
- 13 might as well do it this way.
- 14 What was the reason why you felt that it
- 15 was important to have the tapes destroyed?
- 16 A I felt it was important to have the
- 17 tapes destroyed, because I needed to protect the
- 18 people who were there on the black sites, and they
- 19 were not just my people, but they were also people
- 20 from other directorates that were involved with
- 21 our team conducting the enhanced interrogation
- 22 program.
- 23 Q And when you say "protect" them, you
- 24 wanted to make sure that their identities did not
- 25 get released, because that could endanger them; is



- 1 that right?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q Was there any consideration given to the
- 4 fact that, you know, there's technology that can
- 5 pixelate the, you know, the photographs or
- 6 otherwise obscure who the identities of the people
- 7 on the tapes are?
- 8 A I was not about to take that chance.
- 9 Q So you thought that it would be too
- 10 risky to try some other technology, that the only
- 11 safe way to do it was to actually destroy the
- 12 tapes?
- 13 A True.
- 14 Q Was there any other reason at all that
- 15 you wanted the tapes destroyed?
- 16 A Well, that was the primary reason.
- 17 Q Was there a secondary reason?
- 18 A Well, a secondary reason, as I have said
- 19 publicly, was that the public, the media would not
- 20 make a distinction, once the tapes were released,
- 21 between a legally approved program, that this was,
- 22 and the Abu Ghraib scandal that involved illegal
- 23 activity.
- 24 O So let me make sure I understand that.
- 25 You were concerned that the media would, would use



- 1 the tapes in a way that would make the CIA look
- 2 bad?
- 3 A It would make the CIA look bad, and it
- 4 would actually, in my view, you know, almost
- 5 destroy the clandestine service because of it.
- 6 O Do you recall whether Dr. Mitchell
- 7 recommended to you that the tapes be destroyed?
- 8 A All of us were concerned about the
- 9 tapes. I'm sure that Mitchell and Jessen were
- 10 concerned, as I was and everybody else who worked
- 11 around me, we were very concerned about it, and
- 12 had been trying to get them destroyed for years.
- 13 Q Okay. So let me just unpack that a
- 14 little.
- So first of all, with regard to
- 16 Drs. Mitchell and Jessen, do you have a
- 17 recollection as to whether they discussed the
- 18 destruction of the tapes with you?
- 19 A I don't have a recollection of them
- 20 discussing it with me.
- 21 Q You said that they were concerned about
- 22 it?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q How do you know that?
- 25 A They talked to other people that I know.



Page 94 Okay, but, but they did not talk to you 1 0 about it? 2 I don't recall. 3 Α 4 Okay. They may have? 5 Α By that time I was on the seventh floor, and I was out of the chain of command. 6 7 I mean do you recall Dr. Mitchell Okay. recommending to you that the tapes be destroyed 8 9 because of how, how ugly they were? 10 Α No. 11 When you say you don't, is that because you don't recall or because that's --12 I don't recall him ever talking to me 13 about that. 14 If he had talked to you about that, do 15 0 16 you think you would recall it? 17 А Maybe not. So it's possible that you had that 18 conversation and you just don't remember it? 19 20 MR. BENNETT: Object. I think he's 21 answered your question. 22 MR. JAMES SMITH: Objection. 23 BY MR. LUSTBERG: 24 Just back to the question of the 25 legality of the enhanced interrogation techniques,



Page 97 Mm-hmm. Let's -- in your -- if you go 1 0 2 to your declaration and turn, if you would, to Exhibit L. 3 4 MR. BENNETT: Can we take a minute? 5 MR. LUSTBERG: Absolutely, yes. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7 12:24 p.m. Off the record. (Whereupon, the lunch recess was 8 9 taken.) 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11 1:03 p.m. We're back on the record. 12 MR. LUSTBERG: Thank you. 13 BY MR. LUSTBERG: Mr. Rodriguez, before the lunch break, 14 0 we were discussing the process whereby you sought 15 16 and obtained legal authorization for the, for the 17 enhanced interrogation technique program. 18 Do you remember that? 19 Α Yes. 20 And when you sought that, that approval, 0 21 it was based upon what you had learned from Drs. Mitchell and Jessen with regard to the SERE 22 23 program, correct? 24 Α Correct. 25 0 Okay, and what exactly were you told



Page 98 about the applicability of the SERE program to 1 2 these, to these techniques? 3 MR. JAMES SMITH: Objection. 4 BY MR. LUSTBERG: 5 Let me be clear -- the question is 6 withdrawn. It's a good objection. 7 What were you told by Drs. Mitchell and Jessen about the applicability of the SERE program 8 9 to these techniques? 10 That there was a good chance it could Α 11 work. 12 Were you told -- was there any discussion of whether the differences between the 13 14 SERE program which is applied to students, what 15 the differences would be between that program and 16 applying these to detainees in captivity? 17 Well, I don't remember a particular discussion about that, but I'm sure that it was 18 19 considered --20 MR. BENNETT: You answered the 21 question. 22 BY MR. LUSTBERG: You don't remember a discussion of that? 23 I don't remember a discussion about 24



that.

25

```
Page 99
          O Okay. So --
 1
 2
                    MR. BENNETT: Don't speculate.
          Don't assume. He's entitled to full answers
 3
 4
          but not speculation or guesswork.
 5
                    MR. LUSTBERG: I'm happy with
          speculation or guesswork.
 7
                    MR. BENNETT: I know you are.
     BY MR. LUSTBERG:
 8
               Let me know when you've had a chance to
          Q
     look at that (Exhibit 18).
10
11
          Α
               Okay.
12
                    (Witness peruses document.)
13
    BY MR. LUSTBERG:
               I'm actually just going to ask you about
14
    a sentence on the first and into the second page,
15
16
    but feel free to read the whole document if you
17
     want.
18
          Α
              Okay.
19
               Just let me know when you're ready.
20
          A
               Okay.
21
                    (Witness peruses document.)
22
                    THE WITNESS: Okay.
     BY MR. LUSTBERG:
23
24
               Just directing your attention to the
    bottom of the first page -- well, first of all,
25
```



- 1 problematic?
- 2 A No, because we also -- the agency played
- 3 a role in assessing their effectiveness.
- 4 O The agency also assessed their
- 5 effectiveness?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Were you involved in that?
- 8 A Not formally, but in, in measuring their
- 9 accomplishments I was.
- 10 O Later on -- let me see if I have the
- 11 right numbers here. On page 48, same series, if
- 12 you look at conclusion 17 on the top of page 48,
- 13 it says, "The CIA improperly used two private
- 14 contractors with no relevant experience to
- develop, operate and assess the CIA detention
- 16 interrogation program. In 2005 the contractors
- 17 formed a company specifically for the purpose of
- 18 expanding their detention and interrogation work
- 19 with the CIA. Shortly thereafter, virtually all
- 20 aspects of the CIA detention interrogation program
- 21 were outsourced to the company. By 2006 the value
- 22 of the base contract with the company, with all
- 23 options exercised, was in excess of \$180 million.
- 24 In 2007 the CIA signed a multi-year
- 25 indemnification agreement protecting the company



Page 133 and its employees from legal liability." 1 2 That's the language from the SSCI 3 report, right? 4 This is from the CIA response. Α 5 So they're, they're responding to that? Α Right. 7 Q And on the next page it says, "We acknowledge that the agency erred in permitting 8 the contractors to assess the effectiveness of 9 10 enhanced techniques." 11 Do you see that? 12 Α The next --Next page. 13 Q 14 Α Page 49? 15 0 49, yes, at the very top. 16 "They should not have been considered for such a role, given their financial interest in 17 continued contracts with the CIA." 18 19 Do you agree with that? 20 Α Yes. 21 During the time period that the enhanced interrogation techniques were being used, were 22 they being evaluated? 23 24 Α The techniques or --25 0 Yeah, the effectiveness of them.



Page 134 1 Α Yes, they were. 2 And was -- were Drs. Mitchell and Jessen involved in that evaluation? 3 The evaluation was based on results. 4 Α 5 0 And the results were -- and you felt that the results were positive and so that 6 therefore the techniques were good? 7 The results was incredible, very 8 Α 9 valuable intelligence that came to us that we didn't have before. 10 11 And in assessing the results, was there 12 any consideration at all given to the physical or 13 psychological harm that was being inflicted upon the detainees? 14 15 Α We didn't think that any was, was being 16 inflicted. 17 My question is: So that was, so that 18 was evaluated as part of the program? 19 Α No. 20 It was not? 0 21 Α No. I was reading through the cables from 22 Abu Zubaydah's interrogation, and time after time 23 24 they talk about how the result is "no new threat



information." I can show those to you if you

25

- 1 A Upon capture -- I don't know. I don't
- 2 know if there was a label that was put on that
- 3 says, okay, this is it, you know, but we, we knew
- 4 who they were, and they immediately were sent to a
- 5 black site.
- 6 O As between medium-value and low-value
- 7 detainees, you said those were in two other
- 8 categories. Who made the decision as to whether
- 9 somebody was a medium-value versus a low-value
- 10 detainee?
- MR. JOHNSON: Just note, we're not
- waiving the question itself, but no names or
- identifying information.
- MR. LUSTBERG: Right.
- 15 BY MR. LUSTBERG:
- 16 Q Just so it's clear, did you make the
- 17 decision as to who was a medium-value versus
- 18 low-value detainee?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Somebody else at the CIA did?
- 21 A I think the definition was if they had
- 22 information that was threatening to the US
- 23 government or persons, that that was the standard.
- 24 Q But somebody would have to assess that,
- 25 and so I'm asking whether that person was you.



- 1 A The CTC is a huge vast place with a lot
- 2 of people making decisions like this, made
- 3 somewhere else.
- 4 O Dr. Mitchell and Dr. Jessen did not
- 5 select which detainees were high-value --
- 6 A No.
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q So they designed a program for the CIA
- 10 to get prisoners to talk, but the CIA would decide
- 11 which prisoners to apply it to; is that right?
- 12 A That is correct.
- 13 O And Dr. Mitchell and Dr. Jessen
- 14 consulted continuously for the CIA the entire time
- 15 that enhanced interrogation techniques were used
- 16 by the CIA, right?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q And they continued to consult on the
- 19 EITs for years after Abu Zubaydah, right?
- 20 A Yes. There were a couple times when
- 21 they were stopped altogether because of legal
- 22 action or because of whatever, so there were a
- 23 number of times when there was a hiatus in the use
- 24 of any techniques.
- 25 Q Okay. Hiatus in the use of any enhanced



- 1 interrogation --
- 2 A Yes. For example, the 2004 Office of
- 3 Inspector General report came out. Because of the
- 4 allegations in that report, I think a decision was
- 5 made to stand down until we were able to get
- 6 clarification from Justice Department, and then
- 7 when the '05 -- there was the Hamden case, and
- 8 there was something else in 2005 in December where
- 9 again we had to suspend it, because we felt that
- 10 the legal, the legal ground that we had was being
- 11 eroded, and we were concerned that our officers
- 12 were not being protected.
- 0 Okay. So there were times when the
- 14 program was suspended because there was concern
- 15 with its legality later on?
- 16 A Because of the OIG report and because of
- 17 the, the watering down of the legal authorities
- 18 that we had received back in 2002.
- 19 Q When you say "watering down," what do
- 20 you mean?
- 21 A The solid legal ground that we had in
- 22 2002, that memo that we received from Justice
- 23 Department in August of 2002, telling us that the
- ten techniques were legal, they began to erode
- 25 legally.

