

EXHIBIT 4

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of the Inspector General

A Review of the FBI's Involvement in and Observations of Detainee Interrogations in Guantanamo Bay, Afghanistan, and Iraq



Oversight and Review Division
Office of the Inspector General
May 2008

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
I. Introduction	1
II. The OIG Investigation	2
III. Prior Reports Regarding Detainee Mistreatment.....	3
IV. Methodology of OIG Review of Knowledge of FBI Agents Regarding Detainee Treatment	5
A. The OIG June 2005 Survey	5
B. OIG Selection of FBI Personnel for Interviews	7
C. OIG Treatment of Military Conduct	7
V. Organization of the OIG Report.....	8
CHAPTER TWO: FACTUAL BACKGROUND	11
I. The Changing Role of the FBI After September 11	11
II. FBI Headquarters Organizational Structure for Military Zones	12
A. Counterterrorism Division.....	13
1. International Terrorism Operations Sections.....	13
2. Counterterrorism Operations Response Section	14
B. Critical Incident Response Group.....	15
C. Office of General Counsel.....	15
III. Other DOJ Entities Involved in Overseas Detainee Matters	16
IV. Inter-Agency Entities and Agreements Relating to Detainee Matters.....	16
A. The Policy Coordinating Committee.....	16
B. Inter-Agency Memorandums of Understanding.....	18
V. Background Regarding the FBI's Role in the Military Zones	19
A. Afghanistan	19
1. Military Operations and Detention Facilities	19
2. The FBI's Mission	21

3.	FBI Deployments	22
4.	Organizational Structure of the FBI in Afghanistan	23
5.	FBI Activities in Afghanistan	24
B.	Guantanamo Bay, Cuba	27
1.	Military Operations and Detention Facilities	27
2.	The FBI's Mission	31
3.	FBI Deployments	32
4.	FBI Organizational Structure at GTMO	32
5.	FBI Activities at GTMO	33
C.	Iraq	35
1.	Military and CIA Operations and Detention Facilities	35
2.	The FBI's Mission in Iraq	36
3.	FBI Deployments to Iraq	37
4.	Organizational Structure of the FBI in Iraq	37
5.	FBI Activities in Iraq	38
CHAPTER THREE: BACKGROUND REGARDING INTERROGATION		
	POLICIES	47
I.	Pre-existing FBI Policies and Practices	47
A.	FBI Interview/Interrogation Techniques	47
B.	Working with Other Agencies	49
1.	FBI Interaction with Other Domestic Law Enforcement	
	Agencies	50
2.	FBI Interaction with Agencies of Foreign Governments ..	50
C.	FBI Duty to Report	51
II.	Department of Defense Interrogation Policies	53
A.	Legal Background: the Geneva Conventions, the Convention	
	Against Torture, and Related Statutes	54
B.	DOD Interrogation Policies Relating to GTMO	56
C.	DOD Policies Relating to Afghanistan	59
D.	DOD Policies Relating to Iraq	61
E.	Recent Changes to DOD Policy	63
III.	Reasons for the Differences Between the FBI and Military	
	Approaches to Interviews	63
CHAPTER FOUR: THE EARLY DEVELOPMENT OF FBI POLICIES		
	REGARDING DETAINEE INTERVIEWS AND INTERROGATIONS	67

I.	The Interrogation of Abu Zubaydah	67
A.	FBI Agents Interview Zubaydah and Report to FBI Headquarters on CIA Techniques	67
B.	FBI Assistant Director D’Amuro Meets with DOJ Officials Regarding the Zubaydah Interrogation	69
C.	D’Amuro Meets with the FBI Director, Who Decides that the FBI Will Not Participate	71
II.	Subsequent Decisions Regarding FBI Involvement with High Value Detainees	74
CHAPTER FIVE: FBI CONCERNS ABOUT MILITARY INTERROGATION AT GUANTANAMO BAY		77
I.	Background on Al-Qahtani	77
II.	Discovery of Al-Qahtani’s Links to September 11	78
III.	FBI Interviews of Al-Qahtani: August 2002	80
IV.	FBI Supervisory Special Agents Foy and Lyle Observe Military Interrogations of Al-Qahtani: Early October 2002	82
V.	The FBI’s MLDU Unit Chief and DOJ Counsel Nahmias Visit GTMO: October 15 to 18, 2002	85
VI.	FBI Continues Objecting to the Al-Qahtani Interrogation Plans: November 2002	86
VII.	Proposal To ██████████ Al-Qahtani To Be Interrogated Using an Alternative Debriefing Model of the Sort Used on Zubaydah	92
VIII.	The Military Proceeds with the Interrogation of Al-Qahtani, Over FBI Objections.....	102
IX.	Concerns about the Interrogation of Al-Qahtani and Other Detainees Are Elevated at FBI Headquarters.....	104
X.	Concerns Regarding Interrogations of Al-Qahtani and Others Are Elevated by the FBI to the DOJ Criminal Division.....	107
XI.	Concerns Regarding Efficacy of DOD Interrogations at GTMO Are Raised to the Attorney General	113
XII.	DOJ Efforts to Address Guantanamo Interrogation Issues in the Inter-Agency Process	115

XIII.	Al-Qahtani Becomes Fully Cooperative	117
XIV.	The May 30, 2003 Electronic Communication.....	120
XV.	Concerns Raised Regarding Slahi’s Interrogation	122
XVI.	Conclusion	128
CHAPTER SIX: THE FBI’S RESPONSE TO THE DISCLOSURE OF DETAINEE MISTREATMENT AT ABU GHRAIB PRISON.....		131
I.	Abu Ghraib Prison and the Development of the FBI’s May 2004 Detainee Policy	131
II.	The Horton Matter	135
III.	FBI Employees Raise Concerns About the FBI’s May 2004 Detainee Policy	137
A.	The Iraq On-Scene Commander and the Meaning of “Abuse”	137
B.	The FBI Counterterrorism Division’s Draft “Clarification” of the FBI’s May 2004 Detainee Policy	139
C.	FBI OGC Concerns Regarding the Meaning of “Participation”	140
D.	FBI OGC and CTD Respond to Agent Concerns Regarding a Facility in Iraq.....	142
IV.	OIG Assessment of the FBI Policies Regarding Detainee Treatment	144
A.	FBI-Approved Interrogation Techniques.....	145
B.	FBI Policy When Another Agency’s Interrogator Uses Non-FBI Techniques.....	146
C.	FBI Interrogation of Detainees After Other Agencies Use Non-FBI Techniques.....	147
D.	Reporting Abuse or Mistreatment	150
E.	Comparison: December 2002 CITF Guidance	152
V.	FBI Internal Investigations	154
A.	The Iraq Inquiry	154
B.	The GTMO Special Inquiry	156
C.	The Afghanistan Poll	158

D.	The GTMO Review of FD-302 Forms and Development of a Reporting Process for Detainee Abuse Allegations.....	159
CHAPTER SEVEN: TRAINING REGARDING DETAINEE TREATMENT PROVIDED TO FBI AGENTS IN THE MILITARY ZONES.....		
161		
I.	Training During the Period Before the FBI’s May 2004 Detainee Policy	161
A.	FBI Training for Overseas Deployments.....	161
B.	Training Topics Relating to Detainees.....	164
II.	Expanded Training Provided to FBI Agents After Issuance of the FBI’s May 2004 Detainee Policy	168
CHAPTER EIGHT: FBI OBSERVATIONS REGARDING DETAINEE TREATMENT IN GUANTANAMO BAY		
171		
I.	Introduction	171
II.	Observations Regarding Specific Techniques.....	174
A.	Beating or Physically Abusing a Detainee	174
B.	Prolonged Shackling and Stress Positions	179
C.	Sleep Deprivation or Sleep Disruption	182
D.	Extreme Temperatures.....	184
E.	Use of Working Dogs	185
F.	Isolation.....	185
G.	Mistreatment of the Koran	187
H.	Touching or Acting Toward a Detainee in a Sexual Manner ..	188
I.	Use of Bright Flashing Lights or Loud Music	190
J.	Use of Duct Tape on Detainees.....	191
K.	Forced Shaving	193
L.	Withholding Medical Care	193
M.	Forced Cell Extractions	195
N.	Placing Women’s Clothing on a Detainee	195
O.	Transfer to Another Country for More Aggressive Interrogation	196
P.	Threatened Transfer to Another Country	197
Q.	Threatening a Detainee’s Family.....	198

R.	Depriving a Detainee of Food or Water.....	199
S.	Depriving a Detainee of Clothing	199
T.	FBI Impersonation	200
U.	Other Conduct	201
III.	Disposition of FBI Agent Reports Regarding Detainee Treatment at GTMO	203
A.	Early Reports of Short-Shackling	204
B.	Agent Reports to Their On-Scene Commanders	205
C.	Detainee Allegations of Mistreatment.....	206
D.	Referral Back to the Military.....	208
IV.	Conclusion	209
CHAPTER NINE: FBI OBSERVATIONS REGARDING DETAINEE TREATMENT IN AFGHANISTAN.....		213
I.	Introduction	213
II.	Observations Regarding Particular Techniques	216
A.	FBI Knowledge of Detainee Beating Deaths.....	216
B.	Beating, Choking, Strangling, or Other Abusive Handling of Detainees	217
C.	Using Shackles or Other Restraints in a Harsh or Prolonged Manner	218
D.	Sexually Abusive or Humiliating Contact.....	220
E.	Abusive Body Cavity Searches.....	220
F.	Stressful or Painful Positions or Calisthenics.....	221
G.	Deprivation of Clothing	222
H.	Hooding or Blindfolding.....	223
I.	Sleep Deprivation or Interruption	224
J.	Undocumented “Ghost” Detainees	226
K.	Actual or Threatened Transfer to a Third Country	226
L.	Isolation of Detainees	227
M.	Impersonation of FBI Agents	228
N.	Other Techniques.....	229

III.	Disposition of FBI Agent Reports Regarding Detainee Treatment in Afghanistan.....	231
IV.	Conclusion	234
CHAPTER TEN: FBI OBSERVATIONS IN IRAQ		235
I.	Introduction	235
II.	Observations Regarding Particular Techniques	238
A.	FBI Knowledge Regarding Detainee Deaths.....	238
B.	Beating, Choking, Strangling, or Other Abusive Handling of Detainees	238
C.	Causing Burns by Placing Detainees on Hot Surfaces.....	241
D.	Use of Electric Shock	243
E.	Harsh or Prolonged Shackling	244
F.	Using Military Working Dogs	246
G.	Sexually Abusive or Humiliating Contact.....	247
H.	Using Water To Prevent Breathing by a Detainee or To Create the Sensation of Drowning	247
I.	Stressful or Painful Positions or Calisthenics.....	248
J.	Deprivation of Food and Water	250
K.	Depriving Detainees of Clothing	250
1.	Abu Ghraib Prison	251
2.	[REDACTED]	252
L.	Hooding or Blindfolding Detainees.....	253
M.	Sleep Deprivation or Interruption	255
N.	Unregistered "Ghost" Detainees.....	256
O.	Actual or Threatened Transfer to a Third Country	258
P.	Threats Against Detainee Family Members	258
Q.	Impersonation of FBI Agents by Other U.S. Government Personnel.....	259
R.	Other Findings Concerning Agent Observations.....	260
III.	Disposition of FBI Agent Reports Regarding Detainee Treatment in Iraq.....	261
IV.	Conclusion	264

CHAPTER ELEVEN: OIG REVIEW OF ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT BY FBI EMPLOYEES IN MILITARY ZONES	265
I. Alleged Mistreatment of Moazzam Begg.....	266
A. Background	266
B. Begg's Allegations	267
C. OIG Investigation	268
D. OIG Analysis of Begg's Allegations	268
1. Alleged Threats and Psychological Ploys in Afghanistan	269
2. Alleged Physical Abuse in Afghanistan	271
3. Alleged Threats and Coercion at GTMO	273
II. Allegations of Mistreatment of Saleh Muklif Saleh.....	276
A. Background and Allegations.....	277
B. OIG Investigation	281
1. FBI Special Agent Bennett	281
2. FBI Special Agent Howard.....	284
3. FBI Special Agent Rohr	285
4. FBI Special Agent Cisco	286
5. Other Witnesses	287
C. OIG Conclusions	288
1. Saleh's Allegations Regarding [REDACTED]	288
2. Alleged Mistreatment of Detainees at [REDACTED]	290
III. Allegations of FBI Mistreatment of Mohamedou Ould Slahi	295
A. Slahi's Allegations	295
B. OIG Analysis	296
1. Alleged FBI Participation in the "Boat Ride" Incident... ..	296
2. Alleged FBI Predictions of Harsh Treatment by Military.....	296
3. Alleged FBI Threat to Transfer Slahi to Afghanistan or Iraq	298
4. Alleged Threat by a Task Force Officer	299
IV. Misconduct Involving Zuhail Abdo Al-Sharabi.....	300
A. OIG Investigation	300
1. Contemporaneous FBI Documents.....	300
2. Interview of Al-Sharabi	301
3. Interview of FBI Special Agent Demeter	301

4.	Interviews of Other Agents	303
B.	OIG Analysis of the Allegations.....	304
1.	Analysis of Allegations of “Psychological Torture”	304
2.	Analysis of Demeter’s Conduct.....	305
V.	Allegations Regarding FBI Participation in Interrogation of Detainee Yousef Abkir Salih Al Qarani	306
A.	Background	306
B.	FBI Special Agents Brandon and Stephenson	307
C.	The Alleged Short-Chaining Incident	308
D.	Alleged Use of the “Frequent Flyer Program” on Al Qarani	312
E.	Allegations by Al Qarani Regarding “Clint”.....	313
F.	Allegations by Al Qarani Regarding “Daoud”	315
VI.	Alleged Mistreatment of Mohammad A. A. Al Harbi	316
VII.	Abuse Allegations Involving Abu Zubaydah.....	317
A.	The FBI Investigation of the Allegations against FBI Special Agent Gibson	317
B.	FBI Interviews of Landis and Morehead.....	318
C.	Gibson’s Statement to the FBI.....	320
D.	Gibson’s Polygraph Examinations	320
E.	Findings by the FBI Office of Professional Responsibility	321
F.	FBI Special Agent Gibson’s OIG Interview.....	321
G.	OIG Interviews of FBI Special Agent Thomas and Acting Deputy Assistant Director Frahm	323
H.	OIG Analysis.....	323
VIII.	Allegations of Abuse at the [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	328
A.	Background	329
1.	The Facility.....	329
2.	FBI Special Agent Adair	329
3.	The Team of FBI Agents	330
4.	FBI Special Agent Ryan.....	331
B.	Allegations by Ryan.....	332
C.	Prior Investigations	333

D.	OIG Investigation	335
E.	OIG Analysis of the Allegations.....	336
1.	Alleged Inhumane Physical Conditions.....	336
2.	Allegations Regarding Medical and Hygiene Conditions.....	337
3.	Alleged Deprivation of Food or Water	339
4.	Alleged Sleep Deprivation.....	340
5.	Allegations Regarding Harsh Interrogation Techniques.....	342
6.	Allegations Regarding Use of Restraints	344
7.	Allegations Regarding Blindfolding/Goggles	349
8.	Alleged Threats	350
9.	Allegations that Detainee Was Subjected to Electric Shock.....	350
10.	Allegation Concerning Abuse of a Female Detainee.....	351
F.	OIG Conclusions Concerning Allegations at [REDACTED]	351
CHAPTER TWELVE: CONCLUSIONS		353
I.	Background.....	353
II.	FBI Policies Regarding Detainee Interrogations	354
III.	Agent Observations Regarding Detainee Treatment	354
IV.	The Disposition of FBI Agents' Reports Regarding Detainee Mistreatment.....	357
V.	OIG Analysis	361
A.	FBI Conduct in the Military Zones.....	361
B.	FBI Guidance.....	363
1.	FBI-Approved Interrogation Techniques	363
2.	FBI Policy When Another Agency's Interrogator Uses Non-FBI Techniques	364
3.	FBI Interrogation of Detainees After Other Agencies Use Non-FBI Techniques.....	365
4.	Reporting Abuse or Mistreatment.....	365
C.	OIG Assessment of FBI Headquarters and DOJ Handling of Agents' Reports Regarding Detainee Mistreatment.....	367
VI.	Conclusion	369
APPENDICES		

CHAPTER FOUR

THE EARLY DEVELOPMENT OF FBI POLICIES REGARDING DETAINEE INTERVIEWS AND INTERROGATIONS

In this chapter we describe the early development of the FBI's policies governing the conduct of its agents who participated in interviews or interrogations in foreign military zones. This process began in 2002, when FBI Director Mueller decided that the FBI would not participate in interrogations involving aggressive techniques that were approved for other agencies in military zones. The issue came to a head when the FBI sought to participate in the interrogation of a high value detainee, Abu Zubaydah, who was under the control of the CIA.

I. The Interrogation of Abu Zubaydah

The first major incident involving the use of aggressive interrogation techniques on a detainee that was reported to senior executives at FBI Headquarters was the case of a detainee known as Abu Zubaydah. Zubaydah was suspected of being a principal al Qaeda operational commander. In late March 2002, he was captured in Faisalabad, Pakistan. There was a gunfight during the arrest operation and Zubaydah was severely wounded. He was then taken to a secret CIA facility for medical treatment and interrogation.

Initially, the FBI and the CIA planned a joint effort to obtain intelligence from Zubaydah regarding potential future terrorist attacks. The FBI selected SSAs Gibson and Thomas to travel to the CIA facility to interview Zubaydah.⁴¹ Gibson and Thomas were selected for the assignment because they were familiar with al-Qaeda and the Zubaydah investigation, were skilled interviewers, and spoke Arabic.

A. FBI Agents Interview Zubaydah and Report to FBI Headquarters on CIA Techniques

Gibson and Thomas were instructed by their FBI supervisor, Charles Frahm (Acting Deputy Assistant Director for the section that later became the Counterterrorism Division), that the CIA was in charge of the Zubaydah matter and that the FBI agents were there to provide assistance. Frahm told the agents that Zubaydah was not to be given any *Miranda* warnings. Frahm told the OIG that he instructed Thomas and Gibson to leave the

⁴¹ Thomas and Gibson are pseudonyms.

facility and call Headquarters if the CIA began using techniques that gave the agents discomfort.

Gibson said that he and Thomas initially took the lead in interviewing Zubaydah at the CIA facility because the CIA interrogators were not at the scene when Zubaydah arrived. Gibson said he used relationship-building techniques with Zubaydah and succeeded in getting Zubaydah to admit his identity. When Zubaydah's medical condition became grave, he was taken to a hospital and Gibson assisted in giving him care, even to the point of cleaning him up after bowel movements. Gibson told us he continued interviewing Zubaydah in the hospital, and Zubaydah identified a photograph of Khalid Sheik Muhammad as "Muktar," the mastermind of the September 11 attacks.

Within a few days, CIA personnel assumed control over the interviews, although they asked Gibson and Thomas to observe and assist. Gibson told the OIG that the CIA interrogators said Zubaydah was only providing "throw-away information" and that they needed to diminish his capacity to resist.

Thomas described for the OIG the techniques that he saw the CIA interrogators use on Zubaydah after they took control of the interrogation.

[REDACTED] Thomas said he raised objections to these techniques to the CIA and told the CIA it was "borderline torture."⁴² He stated that Zubaydah was responding to the FBI's rapport-based approach before the CIA assumed control over the interrogation, but became uncooperative after being subjected to the CIA's techniques.

During his interview with the OIG, Gibson did not express as much concern about the techniques used by the CIA as Thomas did. Gibson stated, however, that during the period he was working with the CIA, [REDACTED]

42 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Gibson said that the CIA personnel assured him that the procedures being used on Zubaydah had been approved “at the highest levels” and that Gibson would not get in any trouble. [REDACTED]

Thomas communicated his concerns about the CIA’s methods to FBI Counterterrorism Assistant Director Pasquale D’Amuro by telephone. D’Amuro and Thomas told the OIG that D’Amuro ultimately gave the instruction that Thomas and Gibson should come home and not participate in the CIA interrogation. However, Gibson and Thomas provided the OIG differing accounts of the circumstances of their departure from the CIA facility where Zubaydah was being interrogated. Thomas stated that D’Amuro instructed the agents to leave the facility immediately and that he complied.

However, Gibson said he was not immediately ordered to leave the facility. Gibson said that he remained at the CIA facility until some time in early June 2002, several weeks after Thomas left, and that he continued to work with the CIA and participate in interviewing Zubaydah. Gibson stated that he kept Frahm informed of his activities with the CIA by means of several telephone calls, which Frahm confirmed. Gibson stated the final decision regarding whether the FBI would continue to participate in the Zubaydah interrogations was not made until after Gibson returned to the United States for a meeting about Zubaydah.

Gibson stated that after he returned to the United States he told D’Amuro that he did not have a “moral objection” to being present for the CIA techniques because the CIA was acting professionally and Gibson himself had undergone comparable harsh interrogation techniques as part of U.S. Army Survival, Evasion, Resistance, and Escape (SERE) training. Gibson said that after a meeting with the CIA, D’Amuro told him that he would not be returning to the Zubaydah interview.

B. FBI Assistant Director D’Amuro Meets with DOJ Officials Regarding the Zubaydah Interrogation

D’Amuro said he discussed the Zubaydah matter with Director Mueller and later met with Michael Chertoff (then the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division), Alice Fisher (at the time the Deputy Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division), and possibly David Kelley (who was then the First Assistant U.S. Attorney for the Southern

District of New York), in Chertoff's office in the Justice Department. D'Amuro said his purpose was to discuss how the FBI could "add value" by participating in the interviews of "highvalue detainees" because the FBI already knew the subjects so well. D'Amuro told the OIG that during the meeting he learned that the CIA had obtained a legal opinion from DOJ that certain techniques could legally be used, including [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. D'Amuro stated that Chertoff and Fisher made it clear that the CIA had requested the legal opinion from Attorney General Ashcroft.

Based on DOJ and CIA documents, we believe that the meeting that D'Amuro described took place in approximately late July or August 2002. DOJ documents indicated that the CIA requested an opinion from the DOJ Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) regarding the proposed use of [REDACTED]

Fisher told the OIG that it is possible that she attended a meeting in Chertoff's office with Kelley, D'Amuro, and Chertoff, which concerned who would take the lead (FBI versus another agency) on the interviews of a high value detainee. However, she said she had no specific recollection of such a meeting. Fisher also stated that she did not recall discussing with the FBI specific techniques for use with detainees. Fisher said she vaguely remembered a meeting with then FBI General Counsel Kenneth Wainstein in which they discussed the FBI not being present at CIA interrogations, and she stated that the meeting would have related to interrogation tactics, but she said she did not recall any specific techniques being discussed.⁴³ Wainstein, who joined the FBI in July 2002, told us he recalled a number of discussions relating to the issue of FBI participation in CIA interrogations,

⁴³ Fisher stated that at some point she became aware that the CIA requested advice regarding specific interrogation techniques, and that OLC had conducted a legal analysis. She also said she was aware of two OLC memoranda on that topic, but they did not relate to the FBI. Fisher also told the OIG that Chertoff was very clear that the Criminal Division was not giving advice on which interrogation techniques were permissible and was not "signing off" in advance on any techniques.

but he did not recall this issue arising in connection with a particular detainee.

Kelley told the OIG that he had numerous conversations with Fisher, Nahmias, and other DOJ attorneys about topics relating to the September 11 investigation, but that he could not recall any specific meetings or conversations regarding the interrogation methods to be used on high value detainees. Kelley stated that D'Amuro was present during one or more of these discussions.

Chertoff told us that he could not recall specific conversations about Zubaydah, but that he did generally recall discussions about whether the FBI could preserve the admissibility of detainee statements by interviewing detainees some period after other agencies had completed their interrogations using non-FBI techniques. Chertoff also told us that he did not think this approach would successfully prevent the statement from being "tainted" by any prior enhanced interview techniques.

C. D'Amuro Meets with the FBI Director, Who Decides that the FBI Will Not Participate

D'Amuro told the OIG that after his meeting at Chertoff's office he met with Director Mueller and recommended that the FBI not get involved in interviews in which aggressive interrogation techniques were being used. He stated that his exact words to Mueller were "we don't do that," and that someday the FBI would be called to testify and he wanted to be able to say that the FBI did not participate in this type of activity. D'Amuro said that the Director agreed with his recommendation that the FBI should not participate in interviews in which these techniques were used. Based on D'Amuro's description of events and the dates of contemporaneous documents relating to the CIA's request for a legal opinion from the OLC, we believe that D'Amuro's meeting with Mueller took place in approximately August 2002. This time frame is also consistent with Gibson's recollection that the final decision regarding whether the FBI would participate in the Zubaydah interrogations occurred some time after Gibson left the location where Zubaydah was being held and returned to the United States in June 2002.

D'Amuro gave several reasons to the OIG for his recommendation that the FBI refrain from participating in the use of these techniques. First, he said he felt that these techniques were not as effective for developing accurate information as the FBI's rapport-based approach, which he stated had previously been used successfully to get cooperation from al-Qaeda members. He explained that the FBI did not believe these techniques would provide the intelligence it needed and the FBI's proven techniques would. He said the individuals being interrogated came from parts of the world

where much worse interview techniques were used, and they expected the United States to use these harsh techniques. As a result, D'Amuro did not think the techniques would be effective in obtaining accurate information. He said what the detainees did not expect was to be treated as human beings. He said the FBI had successfully obtained information through cooperation without the use of "aggressive" techniques. D'Amuro said that when the interrogator knows the subject matter, vets the information, and catches an interviewee when he lies, the interrogator can eventually get him to tell the truth. In contrast, if "aggressive" techniques are used long enough, detainees will start saying things they think the interrogator wants to hear just to get them to stop.

Second, D'Amuro told the OIG that the use of the aggressive techniques failed to take into account an "end game." D'Amuro stated that even a military tribunal would require some standard for admissibility of evidence. Obtaining information by way of "aggressive" techniques would not only jeopardize the government's ability to use the information against the detainees, but also might have a negative impact on the agents' ability to testify in future proceedings. D'Amuro also stated that using the techniques complicated the FBI's ability to develop sources.

Third, D'Amuro stated that in addition to being ineffective and short-sighted, using these techniques was wrong and helped al-Qaeda in spreading negative views of the United States. In contrast, D'Amuro noted, the East Africa bombing trials were public for all the world to see. He said they were conducted legally and above board and the world saw that the defendants killed not only Americans but also innocent Muslims. D'Amuro said he took some criticism from FBI agents who wanted to participate in interviews involving "aggressive" techniques, but he felt strongly that they should not participate, and the Director agreed.

Andrew Arena, the Section Chief of the FBI's International Terrorism Operations Section 1 (ITOS-1), confirmed that D'Amuro argued against the use of aggressive procedures. Arena told the OIG that he attended a meeting involving Mueller, D'Amuro, and other FBI employees in 2002 regarding the FBI's participation in aggressive interrogation techniques. Arena stated that the issue arose when FBI agents became aware that another government agency was using specific techniques on high value detainees. Arena stated that there were discussions within the FBI regarding "should we also go down that track?" Arena told the OIG that during the meeting D'Amuro predicted that the FBI would have to testify before Congress some day and that the FBI should be able to say that it did not participate. Arena said he was present when Director Mueller stated

that the FBI was not going to get involved with other agencies in using these techniques at any location.⁴⁴

We interviewed Director Mueller, who recalled that the FBI wanted to interrogate someone held by the CIA because the FBI's agents were knowledgeable about the detainee from prior investigations. Director Mueller told us he did not know what techniques the CIA would be using but that he understood they would go beyond techniques that FBI agents were authorized to use. He stated that he and D'Amuro discussed the fact that the FBI could not control the interrogation, and they decided that the FBI would not participate under these circumstances. Director Mueller told the OIG that although his decision initially did not contemplate other detainee interrogations, it was carried forward as a bright-line rule when the issue arose again.

Director Mueller's former Chief of Staff, Daniel Levin, told the OIG that in the context of the Zubaydah interrogation, he attended a meeting at the National Security Council (NSC) at which CIA techniques were discussed. Levin stated that a DOJ Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) attorney gave advice at the meeting about the legality of CIA interrogation techniques. Levin stated that in connection with this meeting, or immediately after it, FBI Director Mueller decided that FBI agents would not participate in interrogations involving techniques the FBI did not normally use in the United States, even though OLC had determined such techniques were legal. Levin stated he agreed with this decision because FBI agents were not trained to use such techniques, using such techniques might create problems for FBI agents who needed to testify in court, and other agencies were available to do it.

D'Amuro also described another meeting after the Zubaydah incident among himself, Director Mueller, a CIA agent, and CIA Director George Tenet. D'Amuro said that during this meeting, an effort was made to find a solution that would permit the FBI to interview detainees in CIA custody. D'Amuro proposed that the FBI be permitted to interview the detainees first, before the CIA would use its "special techniques." D'Amuro said that the FBI recognized that it would have a "taint problem" if the FBI conducted its interviews after the CIA had used the more aggressive techniques. However, no agreement was reached with the CIA at that time. Director Mueller told us that he did not specifically recall such a meeting, but that such a

⁴⁴ Arena stated that FBI Deputy Director Bruce Gebhardt also attended this meeting. Gebhardt told us he did not recall specific discussions regarding the use of non-FBI interview methods but stated that neither he nor the Director would have ever allowed agents to use such techniques.

discussion may have happened in connection with some lower-level detainees.

II. Subsequent Decisions Regarding FBI Involvement with High Value Detainees

The issue of whether the FBI would participate in interviews in which other agencies used non-FBI interrogation techniques arose again repeatedly, as new high value detainees were captured. For example, D'Amuro said that the FBI wanted to participate in the interrogations of these detainees because its agents had been investigating them for a long time and had a lot of knowledge and experience that would be useful in gaining information from the detainees. Each time, however, the result was the same: the FBI decided that it would not participate.

We determined that the issue arose again in late 2002 and early 2003, in connection with efforts to gain access to Ramzi Binalshibh. Binalshibh was captured in September 2002. According to the, Assistant Chief for the FBI's Counterterrorism Operational Response Section (CTORS), he and several agents, including Thomas, traveled to a CIA-controlled facility to conduct a joint interview of Binalshibh [REDACTED] with the CIA.

[REDACTED]


According to the notes of FBI General Counsel Valerie Caproni, Deputy Assistant Director T.J. Harrington told her that the FBI agents who went to the CIA site saw Binalshibh [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

The [REDACTED] matter indicates that a "bright line rule" against FBI participation in or assistance to interrogations in which other investigators used non-FBI techniques was not fully established or followed as of September 2002. FBI agents assisted others to question [REDACTED] during a period when he was being subjected to interrogation techniques that the FBI agents would not be allowed to use. According to former FBI General

Counsel Wainstein, the FBI ultimately decided that its agents could not interview detainees without a “clean break” from other agencies’ use of non-FBI techniques. Wainstein told us he thought this conclusion was reached in 2003.



As discussed in subsequent chapters of this report, the FBI continued to wrestle with interpreting the mandate not to “participate” in interrogations involving non-FBI techniques, particularly with respect to the circumstances under which FBI agents wanted to interview detainees who had previously been subjected to coercive interrogations by other agencies. The disagreements between the FBI and the military focused in particular on the treatment of another high value detainee, Muhammad Ma’ana Al-Qahtani, which we describe in the next chapter.