EXHIBIT 59

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Lee Gelernt* Judy Rabinovitz* Anand Balakrishnan* AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS PROJECT 125 Broad St., 18th Floor New York, NY 10004 T: (212) 549-2660 F: (212) 549-2654 Igelernt@aclu.org jrabinovitz@aclu.org abalakrishnan@aclu.org Attorneys for Petitioners-Plaintiffs *Admitted Pro Hac Vice	ACLU DIEGO P.O. Bo San Die T: (619 F: (619 bvakilit) Stepher Spence AMER UNION IMMIO 39 Drur San Fra T: (415 skang@	Vakili (SBN 247783) FOUNDATION OF SAN D & IMPERIAL COUNTIES D X 87131 Ego, CA 92138-7131 398-4485 232-0036 D Acclusandiego.org In Kang (SBN 292280) In E. Amdur (SBN 320069) ICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES IN FOUNDATION OF GRANTS' RIGHTS PROJECT IN Street Incisco, CA 94111 D 343-1198 D 395-0950 D Acclu.org D Calculorg D Calculo
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	Ms. L. et al.,		Case No. 18-cv-00428-DMS-
14	Petitioners-Plaintiffs,		MDD
15 16	V. U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") et al.		Date Filed: July 28, 2018
17			
18	Pagnondonta Defe	n danta	DECLARATION OF STEPHEN W. MANNING
19	Respondents-Defendants.		STEPHEN W. MANNING
20			CLASS ACTION
21			CLASS ACTION
22			
23			J
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1

1. I, Stephen W. Manning, make the following declaration based on my

personal knowledge and declare under the penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

- 3 § 1746 that the following is true and correct:
- 4 2. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of Oregon and am a
- 5 member in good standing of the bars of the United States District Court for the
- 6 District of Oregon, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and the
- 7 Supreme Court of the United States. I am a member of the American Immigration
- 8 Lawyers Association ("AILA"), a former member of the Board of Governors of
- 9 AILA, and a former Chair of the Oregon Chapter of AILA.
- 10 | 3. I am the Executive Director of the Innovation Law Lab ("the Law Lab"), a
- 11 nonprofit that I founded to improve the legal rights of immigrants and refugees in
- 12 the United States. In my role at the Law Lab, I led the organizing of the Dilley Pro
- Bono Project in 2015, a detention-based project that provides representation to
- detained families in rapid removal proceedings.
- 15 | 4. The Dilley Pro Bono Project, which continues to operate at the South Texas
- Family Residential Center, has represented more than 40,000 noncitizens during
- proceedings since its inception in 2015.
- $18 \parallel 5$. When a family is detained in a family detention center and placed into
- expedited removal proceedings, every member of the family is entitled to a separate
- 20 credible fear interview, including the children. If one person in the family passed
- 21 the credible fear interview, the practice is to issue Notices to Appear for the entire
- 22 family, placing them in regular removal proceedings under INA Section 240, even
- those individual family members who did not pass a credible fear interview.
- 24 | 6. This is the procedure that would have applied to families had they not been
- separated. Thus, even if a parent had failed a credible fear interview, the parent's
- children would have been entitled to their own credible fear interviews. And if they
- passed those interviews, the entire family would have been referred for regular
- removal proceedings under INA Section 240.

7. In other words, parents would not have been forced to choose between staying with their child, or allowing their child to pursue an asylum claim – the choice they are facing now. Instead, the parent would have been allowed to remain in the United States with their child while they pursued their asylum applications before the immigration judge.

I declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my knowledge the above facts are true and correct. Executed this 28th day of July, 2018, in Portland, Oregon.

/s/ Stephen W. Manning STEPHEN W. MANNING