1 THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 No. 2:17-cv-00094-RAJ ABDIQAFAR WAGAFE, et al., on behalf 9 of themselves and others similarly situated, DECLARATION OF SAMEER AHMED 10 Plaintiffs, IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL DOCUMENTS WITHHELD 11 UNDER THE LAW ENFORCEMENT v. AND DELIBERATIVE PROCESS 12 DONALD TRUMP, President of the **PRIVILEGES** United States, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 I, Sameer Ahmed, hereby declare: 16 1. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated below and am competent to testify 17 regarding the same. I am one of the attorneys for Plaintiffs in this matter, Wagafe v. Trump, No. 18 17-cv-00094 RAJ. 19 On July 20, 2018, counsel for both Plaintiffs and Defendants met and conferred 20 regarding reproducing documents without redactions asserting the law enforcement privilege. 21 But the parties agreed that they were at an impasse. 22 3. Plaintiffs then culled 38 documents asserting the law enforcement privilege that 23 appeared particularly relevant to Plaintiffs' claims from the hundreds of withheld or redacted 24 documents asserting the law enforcement privilege. 25 26

- 4. On October 5, 2018, Plaintiffs sent to Defendants the list of 38 documents that Plaintiffs intended to move to compel on. On October 15, 2018, the parties met and conferred. During the meet and confer, Defendants agreed to review and potentially reproduce the 38 documents with fewer or no redactions.
- 5. On December 5, 2018, Defendants finished reproducing the 38 previously identified documents with fewer, but still significant, redactions. Many of the redacted sections appear relevant to Plaintiffs' claims.
- 6. After reviewing the documents and Defendants' privilege logs, Plaintiffs culled the list of squarely relevant documents to 26 and asked Defendants to produce unredacted versions of those documents. After exchanging various communications and holding another telephonic meet and confer on March 29, 2019 Plaintiffs reduced their list of documents to 25.
- 7. On April 5, 2019, Defendants wrote to Plaintiffs, declining to produce unredacted versions of the remaining 25 documents. The parties reached another impasse.
- 8. Participants in the final meet and confer on March 29, 2019 included Andrew Brinkman, Joseph Carilli, and Jesse Busen for the Defendants, and Nicholas Gellert, Cristina Sepe, Heath Hyatt, and myself for the Plaintiffs.
- 9. Three of the 25 reproduced redacted documents contain redactions asserting the deliberative process privilege. First, with respect to DEF-0094235, Defendants did not change the scope of redactions in this document in the reproduced version. Defendants' reproduced version now asserts the deliberative process privilege and the law enforcement privilege. Plaintiffs' previously moved to compel DEF-0094235 without deliberative process privilege redactions and that motion is currently before the Court. Second, with respect to DEF-0094269, Defendants did not change the scope of redactions in this document in the reproduced version either. Their log asserts both the law enforcement privilege and the deliberative process privilege but their redactions only claim the deliberative process privilege. Third, with respect to DEF-0094974, while Defendants unredacted parts of this document, a number of redactions remain,

21

22

23

24

25

26

all of which assert only the law enforcement privilege or both the law enforcement privilege and the deliberative process privilege. Plaintiffs move only to unredact the assertions of the law enforcement privilege at this time. Plaintiffs previously agreed to not move to compel the deliberative process privilege assertions on this document.

- 10. Defendants reproduced No. DEF-00011281 at DEF-00095290 and reproduced DEF-00016600 at DEF-0094974. Documents DEF-00011281 and DEF-00016600 are discussed in paragraph 76 of the Affidavit of Matthew D. Emrich in Support of Defendants' Assertion of the Deliberative Process Privilege at Dkt. 174-3.
- 11. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Defendants' Privilege Log for Production Volume 25. As to DEF-0094269, Defendants included the following privilege description:

Predecisional, deliberative draft document shared between agency officials that was intended to rescind, update, and consolidate all USCIS CARRP policy documents (the policy was not adopted). Disclosure of this predecisional, deliberative information would chill and distort the candid discussion needed for optimum decisionmaking. Parts of this document are also law enforcement privileged. The withheld information includes a discussion on certain information collected from law enforcement and/or intelligence partners and how that law enforcement and/or intelligence should be considered by USCIS in cases posing national security concerns. It contains specific information about the operation of the Terrorist Screening Database and methods and techniques involving terrorist watchlisting. Disclosure of this information would reveal screening and vetting techniques that are used by the broader law enforcement/intelligence partners, as well as USCIS's vetting techniques for cases involving national security concerns. This would reveal current law enforcement procedures for which individuals would have otherwise been unaware, which could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.

Please note that Plaintiffs' counsel made small adjustments to the row sizes of the spreadsheet to ensure text did not appear cut off in the exhibit.

12. During the meet and confer between the parties on March 29, 2019 and through subsequent correspondence, the parties agreed on document titles that could be filed publicly.

1	13. On April 10 and 11, 2019, Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants corresponded
2	about publicly filing Defendants' Privilege Log for Production Volume 25. Plaintiffs and
3	Defendants agreed that Plaintiffs would publicly file Defendants' Privilege Log for Production
4	Volume 25 after redacting the names in the "Author" field.
5	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
6	EXECUTED this 11th day of April, 2019, at Los Angeles, California.
7	/s/ Sameer Ahmed Sameer Ahmed
8	Sameer Annieu
9	
10	
l 1 l 2	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I certify that on the date indicated below, I caused service of the foregoing document via 2 the CM/ECF system, which will automatically send notice of such filing to all counsel of record. 3 4 DATED this 11th day of April, 2019, at Seattle, Washington. 5 /s/ Cristina Sepe Cristina Sepe, WSBA No. 53609 Perkins Coie LLP 6 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 7 Seattle, WA 98101-3099 Telephone: 206.359.8000 Facsimile: 206.359.9000 8 Email: CSepe@perkinscoie.com 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

EXHIBIT A

BEGIN BATES	END BATES	CUSTODIAN	DATE TIME SENT	AUTHOR	То	FROM	сс всс	FILENAME	SUBJECT	DATE TIME CRTD	PRIVILEGE	PRIVILEGE DESCRIPTION
												Predecisional deliberative draft policy memorandum shared between agency officials that was intended to rescind update and conso idate all USCIS CARRP policy documents (the policy was not adopted). Disclosure of this predecisional deliberative information would oil and distort the candid discussion needed for optimum decisionmaking. Paris of this document are also law enforcement privileged. The document outlines TESC codes that are used to indicate a possible national security concern. The withheld information includes a discussion on certain information collected from law enforcement and/or intelligence should be considered by USCIS in case posing national security concerns. It contains specific information about the operation of the Terrorist Screening Database and methods and techniques involving terrorist watchlisting. Disclosure of this information would reveal screening and vetting techniques that are used by the broader law enforcement/ladingence partners as well as USCIS; vetting techniques for cases involving national security concerns. Unique database codes describing highly sensitive law enforcement databases are also revealed and discussed in this document. This would reveal current just enforcement procedures for
DEF-0094235	DEF-0094252	USCIS FDNS ECN						CARRP Policy 11.22.16 SCOPS Reviewed.docx		11/30/2016 0:00	DP - Deliberative Process; LE - Law Enforcement	which individuals would have otherwise been unaware which could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
DEF-0094260	DEF-0094268	USCIS FDNS ECN						Additional Guidance on Issues Concerning the Vetting and Adjudication of Cases Involving NS Concerns (FOD - 2.6.2009).pdf		7/14/2016 0:00	LE - Law Enforcement	This document includes unique codes that exist in the Enforcement Communications System (TECS) to identify subjects of concern and the nature of the concern. Unique TECS codes describing highly sensitive law enforcement databases are also revealed and discussed in this document. The disclosure of this information could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
DEF-0094269	DEF-0094270	USCIS FDNS ECN						CARRP Exec Summary, docx		1/6/2017 0:00	DP - Deliberative Process, LE - Law Enforcement	redecisional deliberative dark document shared between agency officials that was intended to rectind update and consolidate all USCIS CARRP policy documents (the policy was not adopted, Disclosure of this predecisional deliberative information would chill and describe the actual discussion needed for optimum decisionmaking. Part of this document are also law enforcement privileged. The state of the document are also law enforcement privileged. The state of
								Case Prioritization Intelligence Assessment				Document was compiled for law enforcement purposes and contains internal case handling procedures for cases with national security concerns. Specific databases are referenced which reveal the types of information and indicators that are used during the process and which law enforcement agencies are involved. The withheld information also consists of substantive explanations of how USCS may analyze the risk level certain national security indicators may present and how national security rease should be prioritized based on their risk level. Disclosure of this information involving specific law enforcement procedures for which individuals would have otherwise been
DEF-0094271	DEF-0094274 DEF-0094277	USCIS FDNS ECN						CPIA.pdf SPC Watchlist Options 4-27-2016.doc			LE - Law Enforcement	unaware. The withheld information includes a discussion on certain information co lected from law enforcement and/or intelligence partners and how that law enforcement and/or intelligence should be considered by USCIS in cases posing national security concerns. It contains specific information about the operation of the Terrorist Screening Database and methods and techniques involving terrorist watchisting. Disclosure of this information would reveal screening and vetting techniques that are used by the broader law enforcement/fillegence partners as well as USCIS vetting techniques for cases involving antional security concerns. Unique database codes describing highly sensitive law enforcement databases are also revealed and discussed in this document. This would reveal current law enforcement procedures for which individuals would have otherwise been unaware which could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
DEF-0094295	DEF-0094348	USGIS SCOPS ECN						DomOps Final Instructor's Guide 050108 a.doc		5/1/2008 14:50	DLE - Law Enforcement	This training instructor guide on the CARRP policy was compiled for law enforcement purposes and contains information and procedures related to vetting and adjudicating cases with national security concerns. Here the information withheld includes specific law enforcement coordination instructions that ewould reveal how USCIS conducts the CARRP process without compromising other law enforcement investigations and initiatives. Further the guide provides examples of ways to obtain relevant information that may be used to assist immigration officers in identifying national security concerns or vetting those already identified. This document includes unique codes that exist in the Enforcement Communications System (TECS) to identify subjects of concern and the nature of the concern. Unique TECS code describing highly sensitive law enforcement databases are also revealed and discussed in this document. Unique trabase codes describing highly sensitive law enforcement databases are also revealed and discussed in this document. Unique trabase codes describing highly sensitive law enforcement databases are also revealed and discussed in this document. If disclosed this information owuld disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations that could reasonably be expected to risk circumention of the law. Individuals could be expected to change behavior or conceal facts or information if they were aware of these methods and techniques used to assess and evaluate autoinal security concerns.
DEF-0094351	DEF-0094535	FDNS DS Shared Drive						NS Indicator Training - FOD	CARRP		SLE - Law Enforcement	The withheld information in this training includes certain information collected from law enforcement and/or intelligence partners and how that law enforcement and/or intelligence should be considered by USCIs in cases posing national security concerns. It contains specific information about the operation of the Terrorist Screening batabase and methods and techniques involving terrorist watchisting. Disclosure of this information would reveal screening and vetting techniques that are used by the broader law enforcement/infeligence partners as well as USCIs's vetting techniques for cases involving national security concerns. The guidance out ines specific instructions on using the Enforcement Communications System (TECS) to identify subjects of concern and the nature of the concern. TECS codes that indicate a possible national security concern and thereby necessitating further investigation of the subject are detailed and explained. Unique codes describing highly sensitive law enforcement databases are also revealed and discussed in this document. Screenishots of law enforcement adabases that would provide insight into how to navigate these databases and the information that they contain is revealed in this document. Descriptions and cropies of information submitted by actual persons are included in this document and are described in sufficient detail to identify a specific individual who was considered a national security concern. If disclosed this informations that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. Individuals could be expected to change behavior or conceal facts or information in the ware varies where methods and techniques used to access and evaluator actional security concerns.
DEF-0094536	DEF-0094544	Mark C Freeman						CARRP-Attachment A to CARRP Operational Guidance 04-11-2008	Identifying National Security Cases	5/21/2008 1:41	LE - Law Enforcement	The attachment was compiled for law enforcement purposes and contains information and procedures related to processing cases involving a national security concern. Specifically the information withheld consists of examples of indicators used to identify cases that may include a national security concern. Additionally specific instructions are given related to the CARRP process so that adjudicators can ensure that internal and external vetting processes do not compromise or impede ongoing investigations or other law enforcement interests. Further specific databases are referenced which reveal the types of information and indicators that are used during the process and which law enforcement agencies are responsible for which steps of the process. If disclosed this information would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations that could reasonably be expected to risk incrumention of the law.
DEF-0094545	DEF-0094629	Christopher M Heffron						CARRP Training 12 17 2013	CARRP	11/15/2004 11:36	LE - Law Enforcement	The guidance out ines specific instructions on using the Enforcement Communications System (TECS) to identify subjects of concern and the nature of the concern. TECS codes that indicate a possible national security concern and thereby necessitating further investigation of the subject are detailed and explained. Unlique codes describing highly sensitive law enforcement databases are also revealed and discussed in this document. Screenboths of law enforcement databases that would provide insight into how to nowigate these databases and the information that they contain is revealed in this document. The attachment was compiled for law enforcement purposes and contains information and procedures related to processing cases involving a national security concern. Specifically the information withheld consists of examples of indicators used to identify cases that may include a national security concern. If disclosed this information would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations that could reasonably be expected to risk circumention of the law. Individuals could be expected to change behavior or conceal facts or information if they were aware of these methods and techniques used to assess and evaluate national security concerns.

DEF-0994630	DEF-0094792	Christopher M Heffron		NS Indicator Training - FOD (FDNS edits 9.5.17)	CARRP	11/15/2004.11-36	I.E Law Enforcement	The withheld information in this training includes certain information collected from law enforcement and/or intelligence partners and how that law enforcement and/or intelligence should be considered by USCIS in cases posing national security concerns. It contains specific information about the operation of the Terrorist Screening Database and methods and techniques involving terrorist watchlisting. Disclosure of this information would reveal screening and vetting techniques that are used by the broader law enforcement/indligence partners as well as USCIS's vetting techniques for cases involving national security concerns. The guidance out ines specific instructions on using the Enforcement Communications System (TECS) to identify subjects of concern and the nature of the concern. TECS codes that indicate a possible national security concern and thereby necessitating further investigation of the subject are detailed and explained. Unique code describing highly sensitive law enforcement databases are also revealed and discussed in this document. Screenshots of law enforcement databases that would provide insight into how to navigate these databases and the information that they contain is revealed in this document. Descriptions and copies of information submitted by actual persons are included in this document and are described in sufficient detail to identify a specific inclinidation who was considered a national security concern. If disclosed this information would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. Individual could be expected to change behavior or conceal facts or information if the were aware of these methods and techniques and procedures for we enforcement investigations that could reasonably be expected to change behavior or conceal facts or information if the were aware of these methods and techniques and procedures and anotal security concerns.
					USCIS Fraud Detection and National Security			This document contains unique codes from the Enforcement Communications System (TECS) describing highly sensitive law enforcement
DEF-0094793	DEF-0094803	Susan J Knafla		CPIA Update	Directorate Intelligence Branch	3/23/2010 6:41	LE - Law Enforcement	databases. If disclosed this information could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
DEF-0094804	DEF-0094966	Susan J Knaffa		NS Indicator Training - FOD (FDNS edits 9.5.17)	CARRP	11/15/2004 11:36	LE - Law Enforcement	The withheld information in this training includes certain information collected from law enforcement and/or intelligence partners and how that law enforcement and/or intelligence should be considered by USCS in cases posing national security concerns. It contains specific information about the operation of the Terrorist Screening Database and methods and techniques involving terrorist watchlisting. Disclosure of this information would reveal screening and vetting techniques that are used by the broader law enforcement/incelligence partners as well as USCS's vetting techniques for cases involving national security concerns. The guidance out ines specific instructions on using the Enforcement Communications System (TECS) to identify subjects of concern and the nature of the concern. TCS codes that indicate a possible national security concern and thereby necessitating further investigation of the subject are detailed and explained. Unlique codes describing highly sensitive law enforcement databases are also revealed and discussed in this document. Screenshots of law enforcement databases that would provide insight into how to navigate these databases and the information that they contain is revealed in this document. Descriptions and cipies of information submitted by actual persons are included in this document and are described in sufficient detail to identify a specific individual who was considered a national security concern. If disclosed this information would disclose techniques and or coverients for was enforcement investigations that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. Individual could be expected to change behavior or conceal facts or information if they were aware of these methods and techniques used to assess and evaluate national security concerns.
				EVIDENTIARY STANDARDS for NS concerns				This document contains unique codes from the Enforcement Communications System (TECS) describing highly sensitive law enforcement
DEF-0094968	DEF-0094973	Christopher M Heffron		Powerpoint	EVIDENTIARY STANDARDS	11/20/2014 4:53	LE - Law Enforcement	databases. If disclosed this information could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
DEF-0094974	DEF-0094978	Christopher M Heffron		CARRP Service Center Questions		2/29/2016 5:25	DP - Deliberative Process; LE - Law Enforcement	De iberative pre-decisional discussion between agency officials considering and deliberating as to how to interpret CARRP policy and considering non-final proposed changes to the CARRP policy, Disclosure of this predecisional de iberative information would chill and distort the candid discussion needed for optimum decisionanking. This document also is includes unique codes that exist in the Enforcement Communications System (TECS) to identify subjects of concern and the nature of the concern. Unique TECS codes describility highly sensitive law enforcement databases are also revealed and discussed in this document. Unique database codes describility highly sensitive law enforcement databases are also revealed and discussed in this document. The disclosure of this information could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
DEF-0094979	DEF-0094993	Susan J Knafla		CARRP Cross Section Final 040815		4/10/2015 3:52	LE - Law Enforcement	This document contains unique codes from the Enforcement Communications System (TECS) describing highly sensitive law enforcement databases. If disclosed this information could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
DEF-0094994	DEF-0095008	Markus K Montezemolo			USCIS Fraud Detection and National Security Directorate Intelligence Branch	, ,		Document was compiled for law enforcement purposes. The withheld information consists of substantive exp anat ons of how USCIS may analyze the risk level certain national security indicators may present and how national security cases should be prioritized based on their risk level. Dicksoure of this information how USCIS analyzer sisk levels for certain national security indicators would reveal current law enforcement procedures for which individuals would have otherwise been unaware and could reasonably be expected to risk circumention of the law.