APR-02-2018 12:50 FROM:

TO:540 868 4391

P.2/14

LEGAL DEPARTMENT



April 2, 2018

Federal Bureau of Investigation Attn: FOI/PA Request Record/Information Dissemination Section 170 Marcel Drive

Winchester, VA 22602-4843 Fax: (540) 868-4391/4997

Re: Request Under Freedom of Information Act
(Expedited Processing & Fee Waiver Requested)

To Whom It May Concern:

The American Civil Liberties Union and the American Civil Liberties Union Foundation (together, the "ACLU") submit this Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") request (the "Request") for records pertaining to cooperation between federal, state, and local law enforcement entities and between federal law enforcement entities and private security companies around preparations for anticipated protests against the Keystone XL pipeline.

#### I. Background

On January 24, 2017, the White House released its Presidential Memorandum Regarding Construction of the Keystone XL Pipeline, which expedited the approval process for the Canada-to-Texas oil pipeline that President Barack Obama had previously rejected.<sup>2</sup> Two months later, President

AMERIÇAN ÇIVÎL LIBERTIÊS UNION FOUNDATION NATIONAL OFFICE 125 BROAD STREET. IBTH FL

NEW YURK NY 10004-2400 T/212 549 2500 WWW ACLU ORG

OFFIGERS AND DIRECTORS SUSAN N. HERMAN PRESIDENT

ANTHONY D ROMERO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

HÖBERT B. REMAR TREASURER

¹ The American Civil Liberties Union Foundation is a 26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(3) organization that provides legal representation free of charge to individuals and organizations in civil rights and civil liberties cases, educates the public about civil rights and civil liberties issues across the country, directly lobbies legislators, and mobilizes the American Civil Liberties Union's members to lobby their legislators. The American Civil Liberties Union is a separate non-profit, 26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(4) membership organization that educates the public about the civil liberties implications of pending and proposed state and federal legislation, provides analysis of pending and proposed legislation, directly lobbies legislators, and mobilizes its members to lobby their legislators.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Presidential Memorandum Regarding Construction of the Keystone XL Pipeline (Jan. 24, 2017), https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/presidential-memorandum-regarding-construction-keystone-xl-pipeline/; Elise Labott & Dan Berman, Obama Rejects Keystone XL Pipeline, CNN (Nov. 6, 2015), http://www.enn.com/2015/11/06/politics/keystone-xl-pipeline-decision-rejection-kerry/index.html.

Donald Trump announced that his administration had formally approved the pipeline.<sup>3</sup>

These decisions generated intense public controversy and debate. The president's approval of the Keystone XL Pipeline set the stage for renewed protest against oil pipelines, which activist groups say accelerate climate change, threaten clean water reserves, and invade cultural sites of American Indian tribes. In response to the president's announcement, then-chairman of the Standing Rock Sioux tribe, David Archambault II, stated, "We opposed Keystone before, and we'll oppose it again," Environmental groups, too, have made clear their intention to protest Keystone XL's construction; one prominent group has invited advocates to sign a "Pledge of Resistance," which includes a commitment to "participate in peaceful direct action that may result in my arrest, should construction begin on the Keystone XL pipeline."

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

Government officials have consequently made clear their intention to prevent a repeat of the prolonged protests against the Dakota Access Pipeline, which drew thousands of activists to the North Dakota site, sparked physical confrontation with law enforcement authorities, and captured worldwide attention. Officials have pursued numerous strategies for impeding these protests, such as asking the federal government for guidance on the possibility of prosecuting protestors under domestic terrorism laws, enacting legislation that allows a governor or sheriff to prohibit groups numbering more than 20 from gathering on public land, and fostering cooperation between federal, state,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Elise Labott & Jeremy Diamond, Trump Administration Approves Keystone XL Pipeline, CNN (Mar. 24, 2017), http://www.cnn.com/2017/03/23/politics/keystone-xl-pipeline-trump-approve/index.html,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Heather Brady, 4 Key Impacts of the Keystone XL and Dakota Access Pipelines, National Geographic (Jan. 25, 2017), https://news.nationalgeographic.com/2017/01/impact-keystone-dakota-access-pipeline-environment-global-warming-oil-health/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Stand with Standing Rock, Standing Rock Stoux Chairman Responds to Keystone Pipeline Permit Approval (Mar. 24, 2017), http://standwithstandingrock.net/standing-rock-stoux-chairman-responds-keystone-pipeline-permit-approval/4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Bold Alliance, Sign the Keystone XL Fledge of Resistance (last visited Dec. 27, 2017), https://boldalliance.webaction.org/p/dia/action3/common/public/?action\_KEY=20257; see also Michael McLaughlin, Keystone XL Protestors Won't Back Down After Trump Approval, Huffington Post (Mar. 24, 2017), https://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/keystone-xl-protesters-trump-approval\_us\_58d55333e4b02a2eaab3819e.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See, e.g., Paul Hammel, Nebraska Law Enforcement, Keystone XI. Pipeline Foes Prepare for Possible Protests, Omaha World-Herald (Apr. 11, 2017), http://www.omaha.com/news/nebraska/nebraska-law-enforcement-keystone-xl-pipeline-foes-prepare-for-possible/article\_d85522c1-73cd-541c-98f2-f9b3375e8a3c.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Timothy Gardner, U.S. Lawmakers Ask DOJ If Terrorism Law Covers Pipeline Activists, Reuters (Oct. 23, 2017), https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-pipelines-activism/u-s-lawmakers-ask-doj-if-terrorism-law-covers-pipeline-activists-idUSKBN1CS2XY.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> South Dakota Senate Bill 176 (Mar. 27, 2017), https://www.courthousenews.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/SB-176.pdf.

APR-02-2018 12:50 FROM:

and local law enforcement entities and private security contractors. <sup>10</sup> As a further threat to activists who may wish to repeat their actions at the Dakota Access Pipeline, the *Guardian* reports that Joint Terrorism Task Force agents have attempted to contact multiple individuals involved with the North Dakota anti-pipeline movement. <sup>11</sup>

Evidence of cooperation among law enforcement officials and private corporations in the area of oil pipeline protests has been widely documented. On May 27, 2017, The Intercept published internal documents of the security firm TigerSwan that revealed close cooperation between TigerSwan, state police forces, and federal law enforcement in at least five states around the Dakota Access Pipeline. 12 For example, a TigerSwan situation report on March 29, 2016 explicitly named the state and federal actors in attendance at a joint meeting the day before: "Met with the Des Moines Field Office of the FBI, with the Omaha and Sioux Fall offices joining by conference call. Also in attendance were representatives of the Joint Terrorism Task Force, Department of Homeland Security . . . Topics covered included the current threat assessment of the pipeline, the layout of current security assets and persons of interests. The FBI seemed were [sic] very receptive . . . follow-up meetings with individuals will be scheduled soon[.]" The Intercept also published communications detailing coordination "between a wide range of local, state, and federal agencies," including the revelation that the FBI participated in law enforcement operations related to the Dakota Access Pipeline protests. 14 Finally, a review of federal lobbying disclosure forms by DeSmog, a blog focused on topics related to climate change, has revealed that the National Sheriffs' Association was

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

<sup>10</sup> Allcen Brown, Nebraska Approves Keystone XL Pipeline as Opponents Face Criminalization of Protests, The Intercept (Nov. 20, 2017), https://theintercept.com/2017/11/20/nebraska-approves-keystone-xl-pipeline-as-opponents-face-criminalization-of-protests/; Lincoln Police Prepare for All Scenarios as Pipeline Protests Near, 1011 Now (Aug. 1, 2017), http://www.1011now.com/content/news/Lincoln-Police-prepare-for-all-scenarios-as-pipeline-protests-437938853.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Sam Levin, Revealed: FBI Terrorism Taskforce Investigating Standing Rock Activists, The Guardian (Feb. 10, 2017), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2017/feb/10/standing-rock-fbi-investigation-dakota-access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Alleen Brown, Will Patrish, and Alice Speri, Leaked Documents Reveal Counterterrorism Tactics Used at Standing Rock to "Defeat Pipeline Insurgencies", The Intercept (May 27, 2017), https://theintercept.com/2017/05/27/leaked-documents-reveal-security-firms-counterterrorism-tactics-at-standing-rock-to-defeat-pipeline-insurgencies/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Alleen Brown, Will Partish, and Alice Speti, TigerSwan Responded to Pipeline Vandalism by Launching Multistate Drugnet, The Intercept (Aug. 26, 2017), https://theintercept.com/2017/08/26/dapl-security-firm-tigerswan-responded-to-pipeline-vandalism-by-launching-multistate-dragnet/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Brown, Parrish & Speri, Leaked Documents Reveal Counterterrorism Tactics; see also Intel Group Email Thread, The Intercept (May 27, 2017), https://theintercept.com/document/2017/05/27/intel-group-email-thread/ (documenting FBI participation in law enforcement operations around the Dakota Access Pipeline protests).

lobbying Congress for surplus military gear and on issues related to the Dakota Access Pipeline.<sup>15</sup>

Law enforcement officials have signaled that such cooperation will assist them in responding to future protests against Keystone XL and other oil pipelines. For example, on April 11, 2017, the *Omaha Herald* reported that Morton County, North Dakota Sheriff Kyle Kirchmeier, whose department was involved in responding to the Dakota Access Pipeline protests, has been in communication with other states over how to respond to oil pipeline protestors. <sup>16</sup>

Such indications and the recent existence of cooperation on this exact issue raise important questions about federal agencies' level of collaboration with state and local governments and with private security contractors in connection with oil pipeline protest actions. These questions are especially important given the uncertainty around whether and to what extent the government was engaged in surveillance of Dakota Access Pipeline protestors. The First Amendment protects political speech from the threat of undue government scrutiny, and the extent of such scrutiny is currently unknown. 18

To provide the American public with information about federal cooperation with state and local governments and with private security contractors over possible oil pipeline protests, the ACLU seeks such information through this FOIA request.

#### II. Requested Records

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

Steven Horn & Curtis Waltman, In Heat of Dakota Access Protests, National Sheriffs' Association Lobbied for More Military Gear, DeSmog (Apr. 27, 2017), https://www.desmogblog.com/2017/04/27/dakota-access-sheriffs-lobbying-military-gear.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Paul Hammel, Nebraska Law Enforcement, Keystone XL Pipeline Foes Prepare for Possible Protests; Omaha World Herald (Apr. 11, 2017), http://www.omaha.com/news/nebraska/nebraska-law-einforcement-keystone-xl-pipeline-foes-prepare-for-possible/article\_d85522c1-73cd-541c-98£-f9b3375e8a3c.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Alyssa Newcomb, Daniel A. Medina, Emmanuelle Saliba, and Chiara A. Sottile, At Dakota Pipeline, Protestors Questions of Surveillance and 'Jamming' Linger, NBC (Oct. 31, 2016), https://www.nbcnews.com/storyline/dakota-pipeline-protests/dakota-pipeline-protesters-questions-surveillance-jamming-linger-n675866; Morgan Chalfant, ACLU Challenges Warrant to Search Facebook Page of Dakota Access Opponents, The Hill (Mar. 9, 2017), http://thehill.com/policy/cybersecurity/323131-aclu-challenges-police-effort-to-search-facebook-page-of-dakota-access.

<sup>18</sup> See Motion to Quash Search Warrant, American Civil Liberties Union, In Re Search Warrant No. 17A03639 Served On Facebook at 5 (filed Mar. 8, 2017), https://www.aclu.org/sites/default/files/field\_document/motion\_to\_quash\_-\_filed.pdf.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

With respect to the agency listed above, the ACLU seeks the release of all records 19 created since January 24, 2017, concerning:

- (1) Legal and policy analyses and recommendations related to law enforcement funding for and staffing around oil pipeline protests. Such recommendations may include, but are not limited to, declarations of a state of emergency by state and local entities in order to marshal additional funds, and requests by state or local entities for federal agencies to provide funding or personnel for counter-protest operations; and
- (2) Travel of federal employees to speaking engagements, private and public meetings, panels, and conferences on the subject of preparation for oil pipeline protests and/or cooperation with private corporations in furtherance thereof; and
- (3) Meeting agendas, pamphlets, and other distributed matter at speaking engagements, private and public meetings, panels, and conferences where federal employees are present to discuss preparation for oil pipeline protests and/or cooperation with private corporations in furtherance thereof; and
- (4) Communications between federal employees and state or local law enforcement entities or employees thereof, and between federal employees and private security companies or employees thereof, discussing cooperation in preparation for oil pipeline protests.

With respect to the form of production, see 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(B), the ACLU requests that responsive electronic records be provided electronically in their native file format, if possible. Alternatively, the ACLU requests that the records be provided electronically in a text-scarchable, static-image format (PDF), in the best image quality in the agency's possession, and that the records be provided in separate, Bates-stamped files.

#### III. Application for Expedited Processing

The ACLU requests expedited processing pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E).<sup>20</sup> There is a "compelling need" for these records, as defined in the statute, because the information requested is "urgen[tly]" needed by an organization primarily engaged in disseminating information "to inform the public concerning actual or alleged Federal Government activity." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> For the purposes of this Request, "records" are collectively defined to include, but are not limited to, final drafts of legal and policy memoranda; guidance documents; instructions; training documents; formal and informal presentations; directives; contracts or agreements; and memoranda of understanding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See also 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(c); 32 C.F.R. § 286.8(e); 6 C.F.R. § 5.5(e).

A. The ACLU is an organization primarily engaged in disseminating information in order to inform the public about actual or alleged government activity.

The ACLU is "primarily engaged in disseminating information" within the meaning of the statute. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II).<sup>21</sup> Obtaining information about government activity, analyzing that information, and widely publishing and disseminating that information to the press and public are critical and substantial components of the ACLU's work and are among its primary activities. See ACLU v. DOJ, 321 F. Supp. 2d 24, 29 n.5 (D.D.C. 2004) (finding non-profit public interest group that "gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw material into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience" to be "primarily engaged in disseminating information").<sup>22</sup>

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

The ACLU regularly publishes STAND, a print magazine that reports on and analyzes civil liberties-related current events. The magazine is disseminated to over 980,000 people. The ACLU also publishes regular updates and alerts via email to over 3.1 million subscribers (both ACLU members and non-members). These updates are additionally broadcast to over 3.8 million social media followers. The magazine as well as the email and social-media alerts often include descriptions and analysis of information obtained through FOIA requests.

The ACLU also regularly issues press releases to call attention to documents obtained through FOIA requests, as well as other breaking news,<sup>23</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See also 28 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(ii); 32 C.F.R. § 286.8(e)(1)(i)(B); 6 C.F.R. § 5.5(e)(1)(ii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Courts have found that the ACLU as well as other organizations with similar missions that engage in information-dissemination activities similar to the ACLU are "primarily engaged in disseminating information." See, e.g., Leadership Conference on Civil Rights v. Gonzales, 404 F. Supp. 2d 246, 260 (D.D.C. 2005); ACLU, 321 F. Supp. 2d at 29 n.5; Elec. Privacy Info. Ctr. v. DOD, 241 F. Supp. 2d 5, 11 (D.D.C. 2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See, e.g., Press Release, American Civil Liberties Union, U.S. Releases Drone Strike 'Playbook' in Response to ACLU Lawsuit (Aug. 6, 2016), https://www.aclu.org/news/us-releases-drone-strike-playbook-response-aclu-lawsuit; Press Release, American Civil Liberties Union, Secret Documents Describe Graphic Abuse and Admit Mistakes (June 14, 2016), https://www.aclu.org/news/cia-releases-dozens-torture-documents-response-aclu-lawsuit; Press Release, American Civil Liberties Union, U.S. Releases Tärgeted Killing Memo in Response to Long-Running ACLU Lawsuit (June 23, 2014), https://www.aclu.org/national-security/us-releases-targeted-killing-memo-response-long-running-aclu-lawsuit; Press Release, American Civil Liberties Union, Justice Department White Paper Details Rationale for Targeted Killing of Americans (Feb. 4, 2013), https://www.aclu.org/national-security/justice-department-white-paper-details-rationale-targeted-killing-americans; Press Release, American Civil Liberties Union, Documents Show FBI Mointored Bay Area Occupy Movement (Sept. 14, 2012), https://www.aclu.org/news/documents-show-fbi-monitored-bay-area-occupy-movement-insidebayareacom.

and ACLU attorneys are interviewed frequently for news stories about documents released through ACLU FOIA requests.<sup>24</sup>

Similarly, the ACLU publishes reports about government conduct and civil liberties issues based on its analysis of information derived from various sources, including information obtained from the government through FOIA requests. This material is broadly circulated to the public and widely available to everyone for no cost or, sometimes, for a small fee. ACLU national projects regularly publish and disseminate reports that include a description and analysis of government documents obtained through FOIA requests. The ACLU also regularly publishes books, "know your rights" materials, fact sheets, and educational brochures and pamphlets designed to educate the public about civil liberties issues and government policies that implicate civil rights and liberties.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

The ACLU publishes a widely read blog where original editorial content reporting on and analyzing civil rights and civil liberties news is posted daily. See https://www.aclu.org/blog. The ACLU creates and disseminates original editorial and educational content on civil rights and civil liberties news through multi-media projects, including videos, podeasts, and interactive features. See

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See, e.g., Cora Currier, TSA's Own Files Show Doubtful Science Behind Its Behavioral Screen Program, The Intercept, Feb. 8, 2017, https://theintercept.cora/2017/02/08/tsas-own-files-show-doubtful-science-behind-its-behavior-screening-program/ (quoting ACLU attorney Hugh Handeyside); Karen De Young, Newly Declassified Document Sheds Light on How President Approves Drone Strikes, Wash, Post, Aug. 6, 2016, http://wapo.st/2jy62eW (quoting former ACLU deputy legal director Jameel Jaffer); Catherine Thorbecke, What Newly Released CIA Documents Reveal About 'Torture' in Its Former Detention Program; ABC, June 15, 2016, http://abcn.ws/2jy40d3 (quoting ACLU staff attorney Dror Ladin); Nicky Woolf, US Marshals Spent \$10M on Equipment for Warrantless Stingray Device, Guardian, Mar. 17, 2016, https://www.theguardian.com/world/2016/mar/17/us-marshals-stingray-surveillance-airborne (quoting ACLU attorney Nate Wessler); David Welna, Government Suspected of Wanting CIA Torture Report to Remain Secret, NPR, Dec. 9, 2015, http://n.pr/2jy2p71 (quoting ACLU project director Hina Shamsi).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See, e.g., Hugh Handeyside, New Documents Show This TSA Program Blamed for Profiling Is Unscientific and Unreliable - But Still It Continues (Feb. 8, 2017, 11:45 AM), https://www.aclu.org/blog/spcak-freely/new-documents-show-tsa-program-blamed-profilingunscientific-and-unreliable-still; Carl Takei, ACLU-Obtained Emails Prove that the Federal Bureau of Prisons Covered Up Its Visit to the CIA's Torture Site (Nov. 22, 2016, 3:15 PM), https://www.aclu.org/blog/speak-freely/aclu-obtained-emails-prove-federal-bureau-prisonscovered-its-visit-cias-torture; Brett Max Kaufman, Details Abound in Drone 'Playbook' -Except for the Ones That Really Matter Most (Aug. 8, 2016, 5:30 PM), https://www.aclu.org/ blog/speak-freely/details-abound-drone-playbook-except-ones-really-matter-most; Nathan Freed Wessler, ACLU- Obtained Documents Reveal Breadth of Secretive Stingray Use in Florida (Feb. 22, 2015, 5:30 PM), https://www.aclu.org/blog/free-future/aclu-obtaineddocuments-reveal-breadth-secretive-stingray-use-floridg; Ashley Gorski, New NSA Documents Shine More Light into Black Box of Executive Order 12333 (Oct. 30, 2014, 3:29 PM), https://www.aclu.org/blog/new-nsa-documents-shine-more-light-black-box-executive-order-12333; ACLU, ACLU Eye on the FBI: Documents Reveal Lack of Privacy Safeguards and Guidance in Government's "Suspicious Activity Report" Systems (Oct. 29, 2013), https://www.aclu.org/sitcs/default/files/assets/eye on fbi - sars.pdf.

https://www.aclu.org/multimedia. The ACLU also publishes, analyzes, and disseminates information through its heavily visited website, www.aclu.org. The website addresses civil rights and civil liberties issues in depth, provides features on civil rights and civil liberties issues in the news, and contains many thousands of documents relating to the issues on which the ACLU is focused. The ACLU's website also serves as a clearinghouse for news about ACLU cases, as well as analysis about case developments, and an archive of case-related documents. Through these pages, and with respect to each specific civil liberties issue, the ACLU provides the public with educational material, recent news, analyses of relevant Congressional or executive branch action, government documents obtained through FOIA requests, and further in-depth analytic and educational multi-media features.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

The ACLU website includes many features on information obtained through the FOIA. For example, the ACLU's "Predator Drones FOIA" webpage, https://www.aclu.org/national-security/predator-drones-foia, contains commentary about the ACLU's FOIA request, press releases, analysis of the FOIA documents, numerous blog posts on the issue, documents related to litigation over the FOIA request, frequently asked questions about targeted killing, and links to the documents themselves. Similarly, the ACLU maintains an online "Torture Database," a compilation of over 100,000 pages of FOIA documents that allows researchers and the public to conduct sophisticated searches of FOIA documents relating to government policies on rendition, detention, and interrogation. 27

The ACLU has also published a number of charts and explanatory materials that collect, summarize, and analyze information it has obtained

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See, e.g., Nathan Freed Wessler & Dyan Cortex, FBI Releases Details of 'Zero-Day' Exploit Decisionmaking Process (June 26, 2015, 11:00 AM), https://www.achi.org/blog/freefuture/Ibi-releases-details-zero-day-exploit-decisionmaking-process; Nathan Freed Wessler, FBI Documents Reveal New Information on Baltimore Surveillance Flights (Oct. 30, 2015, 8:00 AM), https://www.aclu.org/blog/free-future/fbi-documents-reveal-new-information-baltimoresurveillance-flights; ACLU v. DOJ - FOIA Case for Records Relating to the Killing of Three U.S. Citizens, ACLU Case Page, https://www.achu.org/national-security/anwar-al-awlaki-foiarequest; ACLU v. Department of Defense, ACLU Case Page; https://www.aclu.org/cases/aclu-vdepartment-defense; Mapping the FBI: Uncovering Abusive Surveillance and Racial Profiling, ACLU Case Page, https://www.aclu.org/mappingthefbi; Bagram FOIA, ACLU Case Page https://www.aclu.org/cases/bagram-foia; CSRT FOIA, ACLU Case Page, https://www.aclu.org/ national-security/esrt-foia; ACLU v. DOJ - Lowsuit to Enforce NSA Warrantless Surveillance FOIA Request, ACLU Case Page, https://www.aclu.org/aclu-v-doj-lawsuit-enforce-nsawarrantless-surveillance-foia-request; Patriot FOIA, ACLU Case Page, https://www.aclu.org/ patriot-foia; NSL Documents Released by DOD, ACLU Case Page, https://www.aclu.org/nsldocuments-released-dod?redirect=cpredirect/32088.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> The Torture Database, ACLU, https://www.thetorturedatabase.org; see also Countering Violent Extremism FOLA Database, ACLU, https://www.aclu.org/foia-collection/cve-foia-documents; TSA Behavior Detection FOLA Database, ACLU, https://www.aclu.org/foia-collection/tsa-behavior-detection-foia-database; Targeted Killing FOLA Database, ACLU, https://www.aclu.org/foia-collection/targeted-killing-foia-database.

through the FOIA. For example, through compilation and analysis of information gathered from various sources—including information obtained from the government through FOIA requests—the ACLU created an original chart that provides the public and news media with a comprehensive summary index of Bush-era Office of Legal Counsel memos relating to interrogation, detention, rendition, and surveillance. Similarly, the ACLU produced an analysis of documents released in response to a FOIA request about the TSA's behavior detection program<sup>29</sup>; a summary of documents released in response to a FOIA request related to the FISA Amendments Act<sup>30</sup>; a chart of original statistics about the Defense Department's use of National Security Letters based on its own analysis of records obtained through FOIA requests about FBI surveillance flights over Baltimore. The property of the property is an analysis of documents obtained through FOIA requests about FBI surveillance flights over Baltimore.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

The ACLU plans to analyze, publish, and disseminate to the public the information gathered through this Request. The records requested are not sought for commercial use and the requesters plan to disseminate the information disclosed as a result of this Request to the public at no cost.

B. The records sought are urgently needed to inform the public about actual or alleged government activity.

These records are urgently needed to inform the public about actual or alleged government activity. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II).<sup>33</sup> Specifically, the requested records relate to forthcoming cooperation between federal, state, and local law enforcement entities and between federal law enforcement entities and private security companies around preparations for protests against the Keystone XL pipeline. As discussed in Part I, supra, oil pipelines, protests against them, and law enforcements responses to these protests are the subject of widespread public controversy and media attention.<sup>34</sup> The records sought relate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Index of Bush-Era OEC Memoranda Relating to Interrogation, Detention, Rendition and/or Surveillance, ACLU (Mat. 5, 2009), https://www.aclu.org/sites/default/files/pdfs/safefree/ olememos 2009 0305.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Bad Trip: Debunking the TSA's 'Behavior Detection' Program, ACLU (2017), https://www.aclu.org/sites/default/files/field\_document/dem17-tsa\_detection\_report-v02.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Summary of FISA Amendments Act FOIA Documents Released on November 29, 2010, ACLU, https://www.aclu.org/files/pdfs/natscc/faafoia20101129/20101129Summary.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Statistics on NSL's Produced by Department of Defense, ACLU, https://www.aclu.org/other/statistics-nsls-produced-dod.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Nathan Freed Wessler, FBI Documents Reveal New Information on Baltimore Surveillance Flights (Oct. 30, 2015, 8:00 AM), https://www.aclu.org/blog/free-future/fbi-documents-reveal-new-information-baltimore-surveillance-flights.

<sup>33</sup> See also 28 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(ii); 32 C.F.R. § 286.8(e)(1)(i)(B); 6 C.F.R. § 5.5(e)(1)(ii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> See supra notes 4-7 and accompanying text.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

UNION FOUNDATION

to a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in planned oil pipelines, protests against them, and law enforcement responses to these protests.

Given the foregoing, the ACLU has satisfied the requirements for expedited processing of this Request.

### IV. Application for Waiver or Limitation of Fees

The ACLU requests a waiver of document search, review, and duplication fees on the grounds that disclosure of the requested records is in the public interest and because disclosure is "likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii). The ACLU also requests a waiver of search fees on the grounds that the ACLU qualifies as a "representative of the news media" and the records are not sought for commercial use. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

A. The Request is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the ACLU.

As discussed above, credible media and other investigative accounts underscore the substantial public interest in the records sought through this Request. Given the ongoing and widespread media attention to this issue, the records sought will significantly contribute to public understanding of an issue of profound public importance. Because little specific information about cooperation between federal, state, and local law enforcement entities and between federal entities and private security companies around anticipated pipeline protests is publicly available, the records sought are certain to contribute significantly to the public's understanding of what type of efforts the federal government is undertaking in preparation for protests against the Keystone XL pipeline.

The ACLU is not filing this Request to further its commercial interest. As described above, any information disclosed by the ACLU as a result of this FOIA Request will be available to the public at no cost. Thus, a fee waiver would fulfill Congress's legislative intent in amending FOIA. See Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Rossotti, 326 F.3d 1309, 1312 (D.C. Cir. 2003) ("Congress amended FOIA to ensure that it be liberally construed in favor of waivers for noncommercial requesters." (quotation marks omitted)).

B. The ACLU is a representative of the news media and the records are not sought for commercial use.

1Ö

<sup>35</sup> See also 28 C.F.R. § 16,10(k)(2); 32 C.F.R. § 286.12(l)(1); 6 C.F.R. § 5.11(k)(1).

The ACLU also requests a warver of search fees on the grounds that the ACLU qualifies as a "representative of the news media" and the records are not sought for commercial use. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). The ACLU meets the statutory and regulatory definitions of a "representative of the news media" because it is an "entity that gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(III)<sup>37</sup>; see also Nat'l Sec. Archive v. DOD, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989) (finding that an organization that gathers information, exercises editorial discretion in selecting and organizing documents, "devises indices and finding aids," and "distributes the resulting work to the public" is a "representative of the news media" for purposes of the FOIA); Serv. Women's Action Network v. DOD, 888 F. Supp. 2d 282 (D. Conn. 2012) (requesters, including ACLU, were representatives of the news media and thus qualified for fee waivers for FOIA requests to the Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs); ACLU of Wash. v. DOJ, No. C09-0642RSL, 2011 WL 887731, at \*10 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 10, 2011) (finding that the ACLU of Washington is an entity that "gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience"); ACLU, 321 F. Supp. 2d at 30 n.5 (finding non-profit public interest group to be "primarily engaged in disseminating information"). The ACLU is therefore a "representative of the news media" for the same reasons it is "primarily engaged in the dissemination of information."

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

Furthermore, courts have found other organizations whose mission, function, publishing, and public education activities are similar in kind to the ACLU's to be "representatives of the news media" as well. See, e.g., Cause of Action v. IRS, 125 F. Supp. 3d 145 (D.C. Cir. 2015); Elec. Privacy Info. Ctr., 241 F. Supp. 2d at 10–15 (finding non-profit public interest group that disseminated an electronic newsletter and published books was a "representative of the news media" for purposes of the FOIA); Nat'l Sec. Archive, 880 F.2d at 1387; Judicial Watch, Inc. v. DOJ, 133 F. Supp. 2d 52, 53–54 (D.D.C. 2000) (finding Judicial Watch, self-described as a "public interest law firm," a news media requester). 38

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> See also 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k)(2)(ii)(B); 32 C.F.R. § 286.12 (l)(2)(ii)(b); 6 C.F.R. § 5.11(k)(2)(iii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> See also 28 C.F.R. 16.10(b)(6); 32 C.F.R. § 286.12(b)(6); 6 C.F.R. § 5.11(b)(6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Courts have found these organizations to be "representatives of the news media" even though they engage in litigation and lobbying activities beyond their dissemination of information / public education activities. See, e.g., Elec. Privacy Info. Ctr., 241 F. Supp. 2d 5; Nat'l Sec. Archive, 880 F.2d at 1387; see also Leadership Conference on Civil Rights, 404 F. Supp. 2d at 260; Judicial Watch, Inc., 133 F. Supp. 2d at 53-54.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

I affirm that the information provided supporting the request for expedited processing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(vi).

#### Respectfully,

/s/ Jacob J. Hutt.

Jacob J. Hutt
American Civil Liberties Union
Foundation
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, NY 10004
T: 212.519.7809

jhutt@aclu.org

Alex Rate Legal Director

ACLU of Montana P.O. Box 9138 Missoula, MT 59807

T: 406.224.1447

ratea@aclumontana.org

Courtney A. Bowie\*
Legal Director

ACLU of North Dakota

P.O. Box 1190

Fargo, ND 58107

T: 201.284.9500 cbowie@aclu.org

\*Admitted in MS, AL, and

MA (inactive)

Amy Miller Legal Director ACLU of Nebraska

134 S. 13th St. #1010 Lincoln, NE 68508 T: 402.476.8091 ext. 106

amiller@aclunebraska.org

Heather Smith
Executive Director
ACLU of South Dakota
P.O. Box 1170

Sioux Falls, SD 57101

T: 605.362.2661

heather smith@aclu.org

# Case 9:18-cv-00154-DWM Document 35-3 Filed 04/19/19 Page 13 of 18

U.S. Department of Justice



Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D.C. 20535

April 6, 2018

MR. JACOB HUTT AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION 125 BROAD STREET, 18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10004

> FOIPA Request No.: 1401682-000 Subject: All records regarding federal government and law enforcement cooperation concerning pipeline protests after President Trump's memorandum regarding construction of the Keystone XL Pipeline (January 24, 2017 – Present)

Dear Mr. Hutt:

you will find ched	k boxes	es receipt of your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the FBI. Below and informational paragraphs about your request, as well as specific y these statutes. Please read each one carefully.	
✓	Your request has been received at FBI Headquarters for processing.		
		quest has been received at the Resident Agency / Field Office warded to FBI Headquarters for processing.	
	The subject of your request is currently being processed and documents will be released to you upon completion.		
	Release of responsive records will be posted to the FBI's electronic FOIA Library (The Vault), http://vault.fbi.gov, and you will be contacted when the release is posted.		
~	Your request for a public interest fee waiver is under consideration, and you will be advised of the decision at a later date. If your fee waiver is not granted, you will be responsible for applicable fees per your designated requester fee category below.		
✓	For the	For the purpose of assessing any fees, we have determined:	
		As a commercial use requester, you will be charged applicable search, review, and duplication fees in accordance with 5 USC § 552 (a)(4)(A)(ii)(I).	
	<b>~</b>	As an educational institution, noncommercial scientific institution or representative of the news media requester, you will be charged applicable duplication fees in accordance with 5 USC § 552 (a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).	
		As a general (all others) requester, you will be charged applicable search and	

duplication fees in accordance with 5 USC § 552 (a)(4)(A)(ii)(III).

# Case 9:18-cv-00154-DWM Document 35-3 Filed 04/19/19 Page 14 of 18

Please check the status of your FOIPA request at <a href="www.fbi.gov/foia">www.fbi.gov/foia</a> by clicking on FOIPA Status and entering your FOIPA Request Number. Status updates are adjusted weekly. The status of newly assigned requests may not be available until the next weekly update. If the FOIPA has been closed the notice will indicate that appropriate correspondence has been mailed to the address on file.

For questions regarding our determinations, visit the <a href="www.fbi.gov/foia">www.fbi.gov/foia</a> website under "Contact Us."

The FOIPA Request number listed above has been assigned to your request.

Please use this number in all correspondence concerning your request.

You may file an appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP), United States Department of Justice, Suite 11050, 1425 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001, or you may submit an appeal through OIP's FOIA online portal by creating an account on the following web site: <a href="https://foiaonline.regulations.gov/foia/action/public/home">https://foiaonline.regulations.gov/foia/action/public/home</a>. Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted within ninety (90) days from the date of this letter in order to be considered timely. If you submit your appeal by mail, both the letter and the envelope should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal." Please cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so it may be easily identified.

You may seek dispute resolution services by contacting the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at 877-684-6448, or by emailing <a href="mailto:ogis@nara.gov">ogis@nara.gov</a>. Alternatively, you may contact the FBI's FOIA Public Liaison by emailing <a href="mailto:foipaquestions@fbi.gov">foipaquestions@fbi.gov</a>. If you submit your dispute resolution correspondence by email, the subject heading should clearly state "Dispute Resolution Services." Please also cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so it may be easily identified.

Sincerely,

David M. Hardy
Section Chief,
Record/Information
Dissemination Section
Records Management Division

# Case 9:18-cv-00154-DWM Document 35-3 Filed 04/19/19 Page 15 of 18

U.S. Department of Justice



#### Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D.C. 20535

April 6, 2018

MR. JACOB HUTT AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION 125 BROAD STREET 18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10004

> FOIPA Request No.: 1401682-000 Subject: All records regarding federal government and law enforcement cooperation concerning pipeline protests after President Trump's memorandum regarding construction of the Keystone XL Pipeline (January 24, 2017)

Dear Mr. Hutt:

Please be advised that "unusual circumstances" apply to the processing of your request. <u>See</u> 5 U.S.C. § 552 (a)(6)(B)(iii). "Unusual circumstances" include one or more of the following scenarios:

There is a need to search for and collect records from field offices and/or other offices that are separate from the FBI Record/Information Dissemination Section (RIDS).

There is a need to search for, collect, and examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records.

There is a need for consultation with another agency or two or more DOJ components.

These "unusual circumstances" will delay our ability to make a determination on your request within 20 days (excluding weekend and legal public holidays). Additionally, the payment of pertinent fees may apply to your request. See 5 U.S.C. § 552 (a)(4)(A)(viii).

The application of "unusual circumstances" is not a determination of how the FBI will respond to your substantive request, this letter provides notice that these "unusual circumstances" apply to processing and delay the determination of your request. See 5 U.S.C. §§ 552 (a)(6)(A)(viii); (a)(6)(B).

You have the opportunity to reduce the scope of your request; this will accelerate the process and could potentially place your request in a quicker processing queue. This may also reduce search and duplication costs and allow for a more timely receipt of your information. The FBI uses a multi-queue processing system to fairly assign and process new requests. Simple request queue cases (50 pages or less) usually require the least time to process.

Please advise in writing if you would like to discuss reducing the scope of your request and your willingness to pay the estimated search and duplication costs indicated above. Provide a telephone number, if one is available, where you can be reached between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Eastern Standard Time. Mail your response to: Work Process Unit; Record Information/Dissemination Section; Records Management Division; Federal Bureau of Investigation; 170 Marcel Drive; Winchester, VA 22602. You may also fax your response to: 540-868-4997, Attention: Work Process Unit.

For questions regarding our determinations, visit the <a href="www.fbi.gov/foia">www.fbi.gov/foia</a> website under "Contact Us."

The FOIPA Request number listed above has been assigned to your request. Please use this number in all correspondence concerning your request. Your patience is appreciated.

# Case 9:18-cv-00154-DWM Document 35-3 Filed 04/19/19 Page 16 of 18

You may file an appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP), United States Department of Justice, Suite 11050, 1425 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001, or you may submit an appeal through OIP's FOIAonline portal by creating an account on the following web site: <a href="https://foiaonline.regulations.gov/foia/action/public/home">https://foiaonline.regulations.gov/foia/action/public/home</a>. Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted within ninety (90) days from the date of this letter in order to be considered timely. If you submit your appeal by mail, both the letter and the envelope should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal." Please cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so that it may be easily identified.

You may seek dispute resolution services by contacting the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at 877-684-6448, or by emailing <a href="mailto:ogis@nara.gov">ogis@nara.gov</a>. Alternatively, you may contact the FBI's FOIA Public Liaison by emailing <a href="mailto:foipaquestions@fbi.gov">foipaquestions@fbi.gov</a>. If you submit your dispute resolution correspondence by email, the subject heading should clearly state "Dispute Resolution Services." Please also cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so that it may be easily identified.

Sincerely,

David M. Hardy Section Chief, Record/Information Dissemination Section Records Management Division

USA FBI 000016

# Case 9:18-cv-00154-DWM Document 35-3 Filed 04/19/19 Page 17 of 18

U.S. Department of Justice



Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D.C. 20535

April 24, 2018

MR. JACOB HUTT AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION 125 BROAD STREET, 18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10004

> FOIPA Request No.: 1401682-000 Subject: All records regarding federal government and law enforcement cooperation concerning pipeline protests after President Trump's memorandum regarding construction of the Keystone XL Pipeline (January 24, 2017)

Dear Mr. Hutt:

This is in reference to your letter to the FBI, in which you requested expedited processing for the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request. Under Department of Justice (DOJ) standards, expedited processing can only be granted in the following situations.

You have requested expedited processing according to:

28 C.F.R. §16.5 (e)(1)(i): "Circumstances in which the lack of expedited treatment could reasonably be expected to pose an imminent threat to the life or physical safety of an individual."

28 C.F.R. §16.5 (e)(1)(ii): "An urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged federal government activity, if made by a person primarily engaged in disseminating information."

28 C.F.R. §16.5 (e)(1)(iii): "The loss of substantial due process of rights."

28 C.F.R. §16.5 (e)(1)(iv): "A matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there

exist possible questions about the government's integrity which affect public confidence."

You have not provided enough information concerning the statutory requirements for expedition; therefore, your request is denied. Specifically, you have not articulated an urgency to inform the public as it relates to this subject matter.

For questions regarding our determinations, visit the <a href="www.fbi.gov/foia">www.fbi.gov/foia</a> website under "Contact Us."

The FOIPA Request number listed above has been assigned to your request. Please use this number in all correspondence concerning your request. Your patience is appreciated.

You may file an appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP), United States Department of Justice, Suite 11050, 1425 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001, or you may submit an appeal through OIP's FOIAonline portal by creating an account on the following web site: <a href="https://foiaonline.regulations.gov/foia/action/public/home">https://foiaonline.regulations.gov/foia/action/public/home</a>. Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted within ninety (90) days from the date of this letter in order to be considered timely. If you submit your appeal by mail, both the letter and the envelope should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal." Please cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so that it may be easily identified.

# Case 9:18-cv-00154-DWM Document 35-3 Filed 04/19/19 Page 18 of 18

You may seek dispute resolution services by contacting the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at 877-684-6448, or by emailing <a href="mailto:ogis@nara.gov">ogis@nara.gov</a>. Alternatively, you may contact the FBI's FOIA Public Liaison by emailing <a href="mailto:foipaquestions@fbi.gov">foipaquestions@fbi.gov</a>. If you submit your dispute resolution correspondence by email, the subject heading should clearly state "Dispute Resolution Services." Please also cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so that it may be easily identified.

Sincerely,

David M. Hardy Section Chief

Record/Information

Dissemination Section Records Management Division