## Exhibit J

(Doc. No. 18)

		•	(b)(3) CIAACI		
			(b)(3) NatSecA	\ct	
	•	. ,			
	•	•			
	•				
	•		22 January 20	03	
	·	•			
	MEMORANDUM FOR:			•	
	,	(b)(1)			
		(b)(3) CIAAct			,
		(b)(3) NatSecAct			
		(b)(6)			
		(6)(0)			
	•. •		(*		
	FROM:				
		┌(b)(3) CIAAct┐ ُ			
	OFFICE:			•	
	0115 1507	CONCEDNO OVER D	TIMOED NITEDDOCAT	TON DUAN FOR MACU	ını
	<b>SUBJECT</b> : (b)(3) CIAAct	CONCERNS OVER KI	EVISED IN LEKKOGA	TON PLAN FOR NASH	irti
	REFERENCE(b)(6)		. that I drafted which	I don't expect to go	
	nerences // /	anywhere but I want			
T		any where but I want	it entered for the rec	(b)(5)	
				(b)(6)	
	,			(~)(~)	
		The state of the s			
		s.			
	Originator Previo	us Reviewer(s)C	Current Reviewer	Future Reviewer(s)	
b)(3) CIA	\Act		<b>□</b>		
b)(6)		) (D)(	1)   '	(b)(1)	1
0)(0)		(b)(	3) CIAAct——	(b)(3) CIA	\Act <sup>-</sup>
		(b)(	3) NatSecAct	(b)(3) Nat	SecA
	S-B-C-K-E-T	(b)(		(b)(6)	
		(=)(		\-\(\sigma_{-}\)\(\sigma_{-}\)	
	STAFF		•		
		•			
		• .			
		•			
					•
,					
	TO: IMMEDIATE (b)(	1)			
г	1 (~ /(	3) NatSecAct			
	(0)(	o) Natoconol			
	•	•		•	
			•		
		-orcort	<del>//X1_</del>	•	
	1	OF OHER	rrs 1		

## Case 1:15-cv-09317-AKH Document 66-10 Filed 01/06/17 Page 3 of 3

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541511

·					
FROM: (b)(1) (b)(3) C	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct				
(b)(3) Na	atSecAct				
SUBJECT: CONCERNS OVER REVISED INTERROGATION PLA	N FOR NASHIRI				
(b)(1)——————————————————————————————————					
REF: (b)(3) NatSecAct					
TEXT:					
1. ACTION REQUIRED: NONE, FYI.					
2. APPRECIATE REF DETAILED INTERROGATION PLA	N FOR NASHIRI,				
HOWEVER, WE HAVE SERIOUS RESERVATIONS WITH THE C	•				
ENHANCED TECHNIQUES WITH NASHIRI (SUBJECT) AND I	TS LONG TERM				
IMPACT ON HIM. SUBJECT HAS BEEN HELD FOR THREE	MONTHS IN VERY				
DIFFICULT CONDITIONS, BOTH PHYSICALLY AND MENTAL	LY. IT IS THE				
ASSESSMENT OF PRIOR INTERROGATORS THAT NASHIRI H	AS BEEN MAINLY				
TRUTHFUL AND IS NOT WITHHOLDING SIGNIFICANT INFO	•				
CONTINUE TO USE ENHANCED TECHNIQUE WITHOUT CLEAR	INDICATIONS THAT				

CONCLUSION THAT WHETHER HE COOPERATES OR NOT, HE WILL CONTINUALLY

BE SUBJECTED TO ENHANCED TECHNIQUES, THEREFORE, WHAT IS THE

(b)(1)
(b)(3) NatSecActicentive for continued cooperation. Also, Both c/ctc/rg and hvt

CEASE COOPERATION ON ANY LEVEL. SUBJECT MAY COME TO THE

(b)(3) NatSecAct withholding important info is excessive and may cause him to

INTERROGATOR WHO DEPARTED IN JANUARY, BELIEVE

CONTINUED ENHANCED METHODS MAY PUSH SUBJECT OVER THE EDGE

(b)(3) NatSecActychologically.

(b)(1)

(b)(6)

3. ANOTHER AREA OF CONCERN IS THE USE OF THE PSYCHOLOGIST AS AN INTERROGATOR. THE ROLE OF THE OPS PSYCHOLOGIST IS TO BE A DETACHED OBSERVER AND SERVE AS A CHECK ON THE INTERROGATOR TO PREVENT THE INTERROGATOR FROM ANY UNINTENTIONAL EXCESS OF

SECRET//X1