1	CHAD A. READLER	
2	Acting Assistant Attorney General	
	MICHAEL C. ORMSBY	
3	United States Attorney	
4	TERRY M. HENRY	
5	Assistant Branch Director	
6	ANDDEWLI WADDEN (IND., N. 2204)	2. 40)
7	ANDREW I. WARDEN (IN Bar No. 23840-49) TIMOTHY A. JOHNSON	
8	United States Department of Justice	
9	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 20 Massachusetts Avenue NW	
10		
11	Tel: (202) 616-5084	
12	Fax: (202) 616-8470 andrew.warden@usdoj.gov	
13		
14	Attorneys for the United States of America	
15		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	EASTERN DISTRIC	Γ OF WASHINGTON
17	JAMES E. MITCHELL and	
18	JOHN JESSEN,	No. 16-MC-0036-JLQ
19	Petitioners,	
20	V.	UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
21	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	COMPEL DEPOSITIONS OF CIA
22	D dt	WITNESSES GINA HASPEL AND
23	Respondent.	"JOHN/JANE DOE"
24		Motion Hearing:
25		To Be Scheduled At Court's Discretion
26		
27		
28		
	GOVT'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO COMPEL CIA DEPOSITIONS - i	

GOVT'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO COMPEL CIA DEPOSITIONS - ii

Petitioners' (Defendants in related case No. CV-15-0286-JLQ) fourth motion to compel seeks the testimony of two purported CIA witnesses – Gina Haspel and John/Jane Doe – that Defendants allege held senior operational positions in the CIA's former detention and interrogation program. *See* ECF No. 64. The Government, however, has never officially acknowledged whether either witness had any role in the former detention and interrogation program. To confirm or deny that fact would itself disclose classified information. *See, e.g., Hunt v. CIA*, 981 F.2d 1116, 1118-19 (9th Cir. 1992). Accordingly, the Government anticipates asserting the state secrets privilege in opposition to Defendants' motion.

The legal issues raised by Defendants' fourth motion to compel are the same as those raised by Defendants' third motion to compel (ECF No. 54), which also seeks deposition testimony of another purported CIA witness (James Cotsana) who Defendants allege, but the Government has never confirmed or denied, held a senior position in former detention and interrogation program. The Court has ordered that the Government's formal assertion of privilege with respect to Defendants' third motion be due on March 8, 2017. *See* Order (ECF No. 70).

Because Defendants' third and fourth motions to compel raise the same issues of privilege with respect to the discovery in this case, the Government seeks leave to address and formally assert all of its privileges, including the state secrets privilege as appropriate, in response to both motions on March 8, 2017, in a single consolidated

response. Undersigned counsel for the Government has conferred with counsel for

Defendants regarding this proposed briefing schedule, and Defendants do not object.

Litigating the privilege issues in a consolidated fashion will be the most efficient

way for the parties and the Court to obtain expeditious resolution of these issues. The Government's submission on March 8 will address all of the outstanding disputed privilege issues in this case, including with respect to the Government's document productions and Defendants' requests to depose alleged Government witnesses. A single, consolidated brief addressing all of the privilege issues will promote the Court's efficient resolution of these issues in a single decision because the all of the relevant legal argument will be presented in one submission addressing issues common to both of Defendants' motions to compel, as opposed to multiple briefs on various issues presented over the course of a staggered, and perhaps more lengthy, schedule. Indeed, given the March 8 deadline for responding to Defendants' third motion to compel, consolidating the responses to both motions on that date will not delay resolution of this case.

As represented in the Government's opposition to Defendants' third motion to compel (ECF No. 59), and during the telephonic hearing on February 14, 2016, the Government has initiated the internal process to obtain the requisite authorization to assert the state secrets privilege in opposition to Mr. Cotsana's deposition as well as in Defendants' request for unredacted copies of CIA documents. The Government has also recently taken steps to expand the authorization process to include the two additional depositions that Defendants' seek in their fourth motion to compel. The

Government is expediting the necessary preparation and review process for assertion of the state secrets privilege as much as possible, consistent with the with the care and coordinated review at senior levels of government that policy and law require. *See Mohamed v. Jeppesen Dataplan, Inc.*, 614 F.3d 1070, 1080 (9th Cir. 2010) (en banc) (emphasizing the importance of the Government following its rigorous internal policies and procedures regarding assertion of the state secrets privilege). Accordingly, and in light of the Government's ongoing diligent efforts to fulfill its responsibilities regarding a potential assertion of the state secrets privilege in this case, the Government respectfully requests that it be permitted to file its consolidated response to Defendants' third and fourth motions to compel on March 8, 2017.

GOVT'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO COMPEL CIA DEPOSITIONS

Dated: February 22, 2017 Respectfully submitted, 1 CHAD A. READLER 2 Acting Assistant Attorney General 3 MICHAEL C. ORMSBY 4 **United States Attorney** 5 6 TERRY M. HENRY **Assistant Branch Director** 7 8 s/ Andrew I. Warden ANDREW I. WARDEN 9 TIMOTHY A. JOHNSON 10 Indiana Bar No. 23840-49 Senior Trial Counsel 11 United States Department of Justice 12 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 20 Massachusetts Avenue NW 13 Washington, D.C. 20530 Tel: (202) 616-5084 14 Fax: (202) 616-8470 15 andrew.warden@usdoj.gov 16 Attorneys for the United States of America 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 22, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Dror Ladin: Brian Paszamant:

Dladin@aclu.Org Paszamant@blankrome.Com

Hina Shamsi: Henry Schuelke, III:

Paul L Hoffman: James Smith:

Hoffpaul@aol.Com Smith-Jt@blankrome.Com

Steven Watt: Christopher Tompkins: Swatt@aclu.Org Ctompkins@bpmlaw.Com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Defendants

/s/ Andrew I. Warden

ANDREW I. WARDEN
Indiana Bar No. 23840-49
Senior Trial Counsel
United States Department of Justice
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20530

Tel: (202) 616-5084 Fax: (202) 616-8470

Attorney for the United States of America