Exhibit 4



RAISING THE STANDARD: DHS'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE AVIATION SECURITY AROUND THE GLOBE

HEARING

BEFORE THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND PROTECTIVE SECURITY

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

ONE HUNDRED FIFTEENTH CONGRESS

FIRST SESSION

SEPTEMBER 26, 2017

Serial No. 115-28

Printed for the use of the Committee on Homeland Security



Available via the World Wide Web: http://www.govinfo.gov

U.S. GOVERNMENT PUBLISHING OFFICE

28–417 PDF

WASHINGTON: 2018

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Publishing Office Internet: bookstore.gpo.gov Phone: toll free (866) 512–1800; DC area (202) 512–1800 Fax: (202) 512–2104 Mail: Stop IDCC, Washington, DC 20402–0001

COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY

MICHAEL T. MCCAUL, Texas, Chairman

LAMAR SMITH, Texas
PETER T. KING, New York
MIKE ROGERS, Alabama
JEFF DUNCAN, South Carolina
LOU BARLETTA, Pennsylvania
SCOTT PERRY, Pennsylvania
JOHN KATKO, New York
WILL HURD, Texas
MARTHA MCSALLY, Arizona
JOHN RATCLIFFE, Texas
DANIEL M. DONOVAN, JR., New York
MIKE GALLAGHER, Wisconsin
CLAY HIGGINS, Louisiana
JOHN H. RUTHERFORD, Florida
THOMAS A. GARRETT, JR., Virginia
BRIAN K. FITZPATRICK, Pennsylvania
RON ESTES, Kansas

Bennie G. Thompson, Mississippi Sheila Jackson Lee, Texas James R. Langevin, Rhode Island Cedric L. Richmond, Louisiana William R. Keating, Massachusetts Donald M. Payne, Jr., New Jersey Filemon Vela, Texas Bonnie Watson Coleman, New Jersey Kathleen M. Rice, New York J. Luis Correa, California Val Butler Demings, Florida Nanette Diaz Barragán, California

Brendan P. Shields, Staff Director Steven S. Giaier, Deputy General Counsel Michael S. Twinchek, Chief Clerk Hope Goins, Minority Staff Director

SUBCOMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND PROTECTIVE SECURITY

JOHN KATKO, New York, Chairman

MIKE ROGERS, Alabama CLAY HIGGINS, Louisiana BRIAN K. FITZPATRICK, Pennsylvania RON ESTES, Kansas MICHAEL T. McCAUL, Texas (ex officio) Bonnie Watson Coleman, New Jersey William R. Keating, Massachusetts Donald M. Payne, Jr., New Jersey Bennie G. Thompson, Mississippi (ex officio)

Krista P. Harvey, Subcommittee Staff Director

CONTENTS

	Page
STATEMENTS	
The Honorable John Katko, a Representative in Congress From the State of New York, and Chairman, Subcommittee on Transportation and Protective Security: Oral Statement Prepared Statement	$\frac{1}{3}$
The Honorable Bonnie Watson Coleman, a Representative in Congress From the State of New Jersey, and Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Transpor- tation and Protective Security: Oral Statement	4
Prepared Statement The Honorable Bennie G. Thompson, a Representative in Congress From the State of Mississippi, and Ranking Member, Committee on Homeland Security:	6
Prepared Statement	20
WITNESSES	
Mr. Craig Lynes, Director of Global Compliance, Office of Global Strategies, Transportation Security Administration, U.S. Department of Homeland Security:	
Oral Statement Joint Prepared Statement Mr. Todd C. Owen, Executive Assistant Commissioner, Office of Field Operations, Customs and Border Protection, U.S. Department of Homeland Se-	7 8
curity: Oral Statement Joint Prepared Statement Ms. Jennifer Grover, Director, Homeland Security and Justice, U.S. Govern-	13 8
ment Accountability Office: Oral Statement Prepared Statement	15 16
FOR THE RECORD	
The Honorable Bonnie Watson Coleman, a Representative in Congress From the State of New Jersey, and Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Transportation and Protective Security:	
Statement of Anthony M. Reardon, National President, National Treasury Employees Union The Honorable William R. Keating, a Representative in Congress From the	26
State of Massachusetts: Report, Secrets In The Sky	33
APPENDIX	
Questions From Ranking Member Bonnie Watson Coleman for Craig Lynes Questions From Ranking Member Bonnie Watson Coleman for Todd C.	45
Owen Questions From Ranking Member Bonnie Watson Coleman for Jennifer Grover	46 47

RAISING THE STANDARD: DHS'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE AVIATION SECURITY AROUND THE GLOBE

Tuesday, September 26, 2017

U.S. House of Representatives,
Subcommittee on Transportation and
Protective Security,
Committee on Homeland Security,
Washington, DC.

The subcommittee met, pursuant to notice, at 10:09 a.m., in room HVC-210, Capitol Visitor Center, Hon. John Katko (Chairman of the subcommittee) presiding.

Present: Representatives Katko, Higgins, Fitzpatrick, Estes, Watson Coleman, Keating, and Thompson.

Also present: Representative Jackson Lee.

Mr. Katko. The Committee on Homeland Security, Subcommittee on Transportation Protective Security will come to order. The subcommittee is meeting today to examine global aviation security standards at last-point-of-departure airports and to assess the Department of Homeland Security's current security capabilities in order to protect American aviation assets and American citizens traveling internationally.

I now recognize myself for an opening statement.

The recent failed plot to take down a passenger plane in Australia is yet another stark reminder that aviation still stands as a top target for terrorists around the world. This is the last in a series of incidents, unfortunately, some of which have been successful from the terrorists' standpoint.

This incident is alarming for many reasons, but perhaps most disturbing is how the improvised explosive device came into the hands of the suspect. According to reports, an ISIS operative sent the parts of the IED on a cargo plane from Turkey to the suspects in Australia. The suspects were able to build the IED and then attempted to conceal it in their luggage.

Fortunately, the bag was over the weight limit and unable to be brought on the plane. If not for good luck, and stupidity on the part

of the bad guys, this plot could have been successful.

The Australians called this one of the most sophisticated plots that has ever been attempted on Australian soil. Exposing not only the vulnerabilities and threats to both passenger and cargo aircraft, this plot illuminates the importance of the international community in securing aviation.

The international aviation system represents our modern, globalized world. However, with interconnected transportation systems come interconnected risks. No matter how good our domestic airport and aircraft security is, we must continue to raise the standard of global aviation security for foreign countries and last-point-of-departure airports.

It is imperative that aviation security standards are robust and that these standards are commonplace in foreign countries, especially those with last-point-of-departure airports to the United

States.

Confidence in aviation security at home begins with the assurance that our global partners are enforcing security standards abroad. Today, this subcommittee holds this hearing to better understand the scope and impact of the Department of Homeland Security's global programs aimed at securing international aviation, as well as recent efforts to enhance security at overseas airports with direct flights to the United States.

We want to hear from all of our witnesses about what we are currently doing to improve global aviation security, as well as what we could be doing better, and how Congress can work with the de-

partments to support those efforts.

I must say, I encourage you all to speak frankly and candidly, and not just have talking points and not just have glossy overarching language. We want to get down to the nitty gritty. We want to know how we can do better and what the actual vulnerabilities are.

We can't afford to ignore the potential security lessons from the Australian incident, which was thankfully unsuccessful. Just as a failed 2010 Yemen plot led to major improvements to cargo screening, we must use this as a way to assess and improve our own security and the security of our international partners.

Additionally, ISIS' role in his plot solidifies their sophistication, unfortunately, and their determination and ability to threaten every corner of the globe despite losing large parts of its so-called

caliphate in Iraq and Syria.

The Department of Homeland Security's overseas mission is now more important than ever, and it is critical that this subcommittee understands the extent of the Department's global reach, as well as how the U.S. Government is working with foreign partners and aviation stakeholders to enhance security at overseas airports.

The subcommittee supports the recent security measures that were implemented for in-bound flights to the United States. We look forward to hearing how these measures are improving our security and what else is under consideration to continue to raise the

standard of global aviation security.

Additionally, we want to hear about the efforts of other entities, such as the International Civil Aviation Organization, in setting aviation security standards world-wide. ICAO, as it is known for short, and other entities, are an essential component in proliferating security best practices and capacity building at high-risk airports around the world.

However, the sad reality is that many airports around the globe, with inadequate security, are receiving passing grades based on ICAO standards that I believe are outdated. Our Department of

Homeland Security must do all it can to raise these international standards and ensure their enforcement, and I look forward to

hearing more about that.

Throughout the 114th, and 115th Congresses, this subcommittee has worked to close security gaps at last-point-of-departure airports. This includes my legislation signed into law that directs TSA to perform comprehensive security risk assessments of all LPD airports and develop a strategy to enhance security for in-bound flights, while also authorizing TSA to donate screening equipment to foreign airports in need of advanced technology.

It also includes Chairman McCaul's Homeland Security Authorization Bill, which directs the United States to work with international partners to increase the minimum standards for aviation security world-wide, and requires foreign airports to provide U.S. inspectors with information about the screening and vetting of air-

port workers during regular security assessments.

These pieces of legislation highlight the challenges our foreign partners face in passenger and cargo screening, as well as vetting aviation workers with access to secure and sensitive areas of airports.

These efforts in Congress, together with the actions of the Department of Homeland Security abroad, will continue to bring attention to last-point-of-departure airport security and show the global aviation community that the United States is serious about these international challenges.

Regardless of how challenging they may be, however, we must always strive to lead in this critically important area because raising the aviation security standard around the globe will ultimately

keep America safe.

We all know and we all acknowledge that America is the Holy Grail for terrorism, and we must understand that by keeping standards that are in place in America and getting those standards internationally is critically important.

[The statement of Chairman Katko follows:]

STATEMENT OF CHAIRMAN JOHN KATKO

September 26, 2017

The recent failed plot to take down a passenger plane in Australia is a stark reminder that aviation still stands as a top target for terrorists around the world. This incident is alarming for many reasons, but perhaps most disturbing is how the improvised explosive device came into the hands of the suspects. According to reports, an ISIS operative sent the parts of the IED on a cargo plane from Turkey to the suspects in Australia. The suspects were able to build the IED and then attempt to conceal it in their luggage. Fortunately, the bag was over the weight limit and unable to be brought on the plane. If not for good luck, this plot could have been successful. The Australians called this one of the most sophisticated plots that has ever been attempted on Australian soil.

Exposing not only the vulnerabilities and threats to both passenger and cargo aircraft, this plot illuminates the importance of the international community in securing aviation. The international aviation system represents our modern, globalized world. However, with interconnected transportation systems comes interconnected risk. No matter how good our domestic airport and aircraft security is, we must continue to raise the standard of global aviation security for foreign countries and last-point-of-departure airports. It is imperative that aviation security standards are robust, and that these standards are commonplace in foreign countries, especially those with LPD airports. Confidence in aviation security at home begins with the assurance that our global partners are enforcing security standards abroad.

The United Nation's recent passing of Security Council Resolution 2309 called upon the international community to bolster its efforts to secure international air travel.

Within ICAO, TSA continues its work to enhance international aviation standards. Recently, TSA Administrator Pekoske spoke at the ICAO Symposium, where he emphasized the importance of advancing global aviation security.

These include fostering a culture of security, information sharing, ensuring rigorous implementation of standards, adopting innova-

tion and technology, all with thorough oversight programs.

To assist and promulgate the efforts of raising the baseline, TSA has forward-deployed TSA representatives who serve as the on-site attachés in embassies abroad. TSARs are charged with building and maintaining strong security partnerships around the world to advance TSA security policies and initiatives.

Additionally, TSA international industry representatives serve as the primary liaison to over 330 foreign and domestic airlines. They coordinate information sharing on aviation security requirements,

security policy, and incident management.

TSA Federal air marshals also cover numerous flights departing from international airports. Our mission overseas is to identify, analyze, and mitigate vulnerabilities to reduce the risk to in-bound aviation. Our assessment and inspection information allows us to identify vulnerabilities and develop mitigation plans to address them.

Capacity development is one of our primary methods for addressing vulnerabilities. Activities range from traditional classroom training, interactive workshops, technical assistance, mentoring and equipment loans and donations. In fiscal year 2016, TSA conducted 47 capacity development events world-wide.

Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today to discuss TSA's role in international aviation security. I look forward to

answering your questions.

[The joint prepared statement of Mr. Lynes and Mr. Owen follows:]

JOINT PREPARED STATEMENT OF CRAIG LYNES AND TODD C. OWEN

September 26, 2017

INTRODUCTION

Good afternoon, Chairman Katko, Ranking Member Watson Coleman, and distinguished Members of the subcommittee. Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today to discuss U.S. Customs and Border Protection's (CBP) role in cargo and passenger security as it pertains to commercial air service.

In response to 9/11 and the persisting threats from terrorists and criminals who have long viewed aviation as a leading target for attack and/or exploitation, CBP continues to adapt its security approach and strengthen its ability to detect and mitigate diverse threats through a multi-layered, risk-based system. As the lead Department of Homeland Security (DHS) agency for border security, CBP works closely with our domestic and international partners to deny terrorist exploitation of the aviation sector and to protect the Nation from a variety of dynamic threats, including those posed by passengers, cargo and commercial conveyances arriving at our ports of entry (POE).

CBP utilizes a risk-based strategy and operational approach to secure and facilitate the immense volume of international trade and travel. In fiscal year 2016, CBP officers processed more than 390 million travelers at air, land, and sea POEs, including more than 119 million air travelers. CBP officers also processed more than

27 million imported cargo containers at POEs in fiscal year 2016, equating to \$2.28 trillion in imports.

CBP's security and facilitation missions are mutually supportive: By utilizing a risk-based strategy and multi-layered security approach, CBP can focus time and resources on those suspect shipments and passengers that are high-risk. This approach separates known and unknown air travelers and allows CBP to facilitate legitimate trade and travel, which are critical to America's economic growth

By leveraging intelligence-driven analysis, innovative partnerships, and advanced technology, CBP secures and promotes the movement of legitimate cargo and travelers transiting through the aviation environment. This multi-layered approach is designed to detect, identify, and prevent potentially dangerous or inadmissible individuals or dangerous cargo from boarding planes bound for the United States and is integrated into every aspect of our rigorous travel and cargo security operations at every stage along the international trade and travel continuum.

AIR CARGO SECURITY

CBP's cargo security approach incorporates three layered elements to improve supply chain integrity, promote economic viability, and increase resilience across the entire global supply chain system.

Advance Information and Targeting

First, CBP leverages advance information about cargo, conveyances, and persons, and tailored targeting techniques to increase domain awareness and assess the risk of all components and factors in the supply chain. CBP, supported by the Transportation Security Administration (TSA), recently extended the Air Cargo Advance Screening (ACAS) pilot,2 which began after the global counterterrorism community discovered and disrupted a potential terrorist attack in October 2010 that would have occurred mid-air over the continental United States, using explosive devices concealed in cargo on-board two aircrafts destined to the United States. This incident demonstrated the significance of early advance information in identifying and disrupting terrorist attempts to exploit the global supply chain. While CBP had already been receiving some advance electronic information for air shipments prior to arrival in the United States, this incident exposed the need to collect certain advance information to enable CBP and TSA to target and mitigate high-risk shipments prior to the loading of cargo onto aircraft destined for the United States. The ACAS pilot program requests that the in-bound carrier or other eligible party electronically transmit specified advance cargo data (ACAS data) to CBP for air cargo transported onboard U.S.-bound aircraft as early as practicable, but no later than prior to loading of the cargo onto the aircraft.

This advance information requirement is a critical element of CBP's targeting efforts at the National Targeting Center (NTC) and enhances CBP's capability to identify high-risk cargo without hindering legitimate trade and commerce. The NTC, established in 2001, coordinates and supports CBP's counterterrorism activities related to the movement of cargo in all modes of transportation—sea, truck, rail, and air. Using the Automated Targeting System (ATS), the NTC proactively analyzes advance cargo information before shipments depart foreign ports. ATS incorporates the latest cargo threat intelligence and National targeting rule-sets to generate a uniform review of cargo shipments, and provides comprehensive data for the identification of high-risk shipments. ATS is a critical decision-support tool for CBP officers working at the NTC, the Advanced Targeting Units at our POEs, and foreign

Government and Private-Sector Collaboration

Second, in addition to CBP's targeting capabilities, a critical component of CBP's effort to extend our cargo security to the point of origin is our effective partnerships with private industry. CBP works with the trade community through the Customs—Trade Partnership Against Terrorism (C-TPAT) program, which is a public-private partnership program wherein members of the trade community volunteer to adopt tighter security measures throughout their international supply chains in exchange for enhanced trade facilitation, such as expedited processing. C-TPAT membership has rigorous security criteria, and requires extensive vetting and on-site validation visits of domestic and/or foreign facilities. This program has enabled CBP to leverage private sector resources to enhance supply chain security and integrity on a global level.

 $^{^1}$ Air import values account for approximately 25 percent. 2 https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2017/07/24/2017-15441/extension-of-the-air-cargo-advance-screening-acas-pilot-program.

C-TPAT membership has grown from just seven companies in 2001 to more than 11,000 companies today, accounting for more than 54 percent (by value) of goods imported into the United States. The C-TPAT program continues to expand and evolve as CBP works with foreign partners to establish bi-lateral mutual recognition of respective C-TPAT-like programs. Mutual Recognition as a concept is reflected in the World Customs Organization's Framework of Standards to Secure and Facilitate Global Trade, a strategy designed with the support of the United States, which enables Customs Administrations to work together to improve their capabilities to detect high-risk consignments and expedite the movement of legitimate cargo. These arrangements create a unified and sustainable security posture that can assist in security. CBP currently has signed Mutual Recognition Arrangements with New Zealand, the European Union, South Korea, Japan, Jordan, Canada, Taiwan, Israel, Mexico, Singapore, and the Dominican Republic and is continuing to work toward similar recognition with China, Brazil, Peru, Uruguay, and India.

Advanced Detection Equipment and Technology

Finally, CBP maintains robust inspection regimes at our POEs, including the use of non-intrusive inspection (NII) equipment, canines, and radiation detection technologies. NII technology enables CBP to detect materials that pose potential nuclear or radiological threats, and other materials, including illicit narcotics such as heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and illicit prescription drugs. Technologies deployed to our Nation's land, sea, and air POEs include large-scale X-ray and Gamma-ray imaging systems, as well as a variety of portable and handheld technologies. NII technologies are force multipliers that enable us to screen or examine a larger portion of the stream of commercial traffic while facilitating the flow of legitimate cargo. Canine operations are also an invaluable component of CBP's cargo security operations. CBP canine teams work at international mail facilities to examine millions of foreign mail shipments coming into the United States from all parts of the world.

eign mail shipments coming into the United States from all parts of the world.

These interrelated elements are part of a comprehensive cargo security strategy that enables CBP to identify and address the potential use of containerized cargo to transport dangerous materials, before they arrive at our Nation's border.

AIR PASSENGER SECURITY

On a typical day, CBP welcomes to the United States more than 300,000 international travelers at our Nation's airports. One of the initial layers of defense in securing international air travel is preventing dangerous persons from obtaining visas, travel authorizations, and boarding passes. Before boarding a flight destined for the United States, most foreign nationals ³ must obtain a nonimmigrant or immigrant visa—issued by a U.S. embassy or consulate—or, if they are eligible to travel under the Visa Waiver Program (VWP), they must apply and be approved for a travel authorization through the Electronic System for Travel Authorization (ESTA).

Pre-departure Safeguards

Through ESTA, CBP conducts enhanced vetting of VWP applicants in advance of travel to the United States, to assess whether they are eligible to travel under the VWP, or whether they could pose a risk to the United States or the public at large. All ESTA applications are screened against security and law enforcement databases, and CBP automatically refuses authorization to individuals who are found to be ineligible to travel to the United States under the VWP. Similarly, current and valid ESTAs may be revoked if concerns arise through recurrent vetting.

In response to increasing concerns regarding foreign fighters and other terrorist threats, DHS continues to strengthen the security of VWP including by implementing enhancements to ESTA. Recent enhancements include a series of additional questions VWP travelers must answer on the ESTA application, including other names and citizenships; parents' names; contact and employment information; city of birth; travel history in select countries. These improvements are designed to provide an additional layer of security for the VWP and increase our ability to distinguish between lawful applicants and individuals of concern.

Any traveler who requires a nonimmigrant visa to travel to the United States must apply to the Department of State (DOS) under specific visa categories depending on the purpose of their travel, including those as visitors for business, pleasure, study, and employment-based purposes. Prior to the issuance of a visa, the DOS

³ Exceptions would be citizens of countries under other visa exempt authority, such as Canada. Citizens of countries under visa exempt authority entering the U.S. via air are subjected to CBP's vetting and inspection processes prior to departure. In the land environment, they are subjected to CBP processing upon arrival at a U.S. POE.

screens every visa applicant's biographic data against the DOS Consular Lookout and Support System, and provides data to the inter-agency community via the streamlined DOS Security Advisory Opinion (SAO) process to alert consular officers to the existence of Terrorist Screening Database (TSDB) files or records related to potential visa ineligibilities. DOS also checks the applicant's biometric data (i.e., fingerprints and facial images) against other U.S. Government databases for records indicating potential security, criminal, and immigration violations.

In an effort to augment visa security operations, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) Visa Security Program (VSP) personnel are co-located with CBP personnel at the NTC to conduct thorough and collaborative analysis and in-depth investigations of high-risk visa applicants. The VSP is focused on identifying terrorists and criminal suspects and preventing them from exploiting the visa process,

while the NTC provides tactical targeting and analytical research in support of preventing terrorist and terrorist weapons from entering the United States.

Furthermore, ICE, CBP, and DOS have implemented an automated visa application screening process that significantly expands DHS's ability to identify serious threats to National security and public safety. The program enables synchronized reviews of information across these agencies and allows for a unified DHS response and recommendation regarding a visa applicant's eligibility to receive a visa. This process also serves as a precursor to and works in conjunction with the current DOS SAO and Advisory Opinion (AO) programs. The collaborative program leverages the three agencies' expertise, authorities, and technologies to screen pre-adjudicated (approved) visa applications and enhance the U.S. Government's anti-terrorism efforts.

Once travel is booked, CBP's NTC gathers information, assesses risk, and con-

ducts pre-departure vetting for all international flights departing for the United States by commercial air. CBP leverages all available advance passenger data 4—including Passenger Name Record (PNR) and Advance Passenger Information System (APIS) data, previous crossing information, intelligence, and law enforcement information, as well as open-source information in its counterterrorism efforts at the NTC-to make risk-based operational decisions before a passenger boards an aircraft, continuing until the traveler is admitted to the United States.

CBP's pre-departure vetting efforts work in concert with TSA's Secure Flight program, which vets passengers flying to, from, over, and within the United States

against the No-Fly and Selectee portions of the TSDB.

Overseas Enforcement Programs and Partnerships

Supported by these targeting efforts, CBP uses overseas enforcement capabilities and partnerships to extend our zone of security. Working in conjunction with the NTC, CBP's overseas programs—Preclearance, Immigration Advisory and Joint Security Programs (IAP/JSP), and Regional Carrier Liaison Groups (RCLGs)—provide the ability to address those risks or prevent the movement of identified threats to-

ward the United States at the earliest possible point.

Preclearance provides for the complete inspection, including determination of an alien's admissibility to the United States for all travelers before passengers ever board a U.S.-bound flight from a foreign location at which preclearance is estab-lished. Through preclearance, CBP is able to work with foreign law enforcement officials and commercial carriers to prevent the boarding of potentially high-risk travelers, leveraging law enforcement authorities consistent with the governing agreements, as opposed to serving a purely advisory role. Preclearance also provides unique facilitation benefits, generally allowing precleared passengers to proceed to their final destination without further CBP processing, as if they had arrived on a domestic flight. It is important to note, however, that CBP always retains the authority to conduct further inspection or engage in enforcement action of a precleared flight upon its arrival in the United States. CBP currently has 15 Preclearance locations in six countries: Dublin and Shannon in Ireland; Aruba; Freeport and Nassau in The Bahamas; Bermuda; Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates; and Calgary, Toronto, Edmonton, Halifax, Montreal, Ottawa, Vancouver, and Winnipeg in Canada.

⁴ When a traveler purchases a ticket for travel to the United States on a commercial air carrier, a PNR may be generated in the airline's reservation system. PNR data contains information on itinerary, co-travelers, changes to the reservation, and payment information. CBP receives passenger data from commercial air carriers at various intervals up to 96 hours prior to departure and concluding at the scheduled departure time. Further, APIS regulations require that commercial air carriers transmit all passenger and crew manifest information before departure, prior to securing the aircraft doors. CBP vets APIS information, which includes passenger biographic data and travel document information, on all international flights to and from the United States against the TSDB, criminal history information, records of lost or stolen passports, public health records, and prior immigration or customs violations and visa refusals.

Building upon the success of existing Preclearance operations, CBP intends to expand the program to new locations. DHS prioritization for expansion includes technical site visits to interested airports, during which each airport is carefully evaluations. ated based on the current and future capacity to host CBP Preclearance operations and aviation security screening meeting TSA standards, as well as an analysis of the potential facilitation and homeland security benefits. CBP is currently negotiating with several countries interested in establishing Preclearance operations, and recently concluded agreements to cover Stockholm, Sweden (signed November 4, 2016) and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic (signed December 1, 2016).

Through IAP, CBP officers in plain clothes are posted at major gateway airports in Western Europe, with a presence in Asia and the Middle East including: Amsterdam, Frankfurt, London Heathrow, London Gatwick, Manchester, Madrid, Paris, Tokyo, and Doha. Building on the IAP concept, CBP launched the JSP, partnering with host country law enforcement to identify high-risk travelers. JSP officers are posted in Mexico City, Panama City, and San Salvador.

Finally, Regional Carrier Liaison Groups (RCLG) were developed to provide coverage of non-IAP airports and support Preclearance airports. CBP coordinates with the RCLGs to prevent terrorists and other inadmissible aliens from boarding U.S.

the RCLGs to prevent terrorists and other inadmissible aliens from boarding U.S.-bound commercial aircraft. The RCLGs, which are located in Honolulu, Miami, and New York, are staffed by CBP officers and utilize established relationships with the commercial airlines to prevent passengers who may pose a security threat, who present fraudulent documents, or those who are otherwise inadmissible from boarding flights to the United States.

CBP's use of advance information and targeting are key elements of CBP's multilayered security strategy to address concerns long before they reach the physical border of the United States. It is important to note that upon arrival in the United States, all persons, regardless of citizenship, are subject to inspection by CBP officers. CBP officers review entry documents, collect biometrics,⁵ query CBP and other law enforcement databases with both biographic and biometric information, and interview each traveler to confirm identity, determine the purpose and intent of their travel, and whether any further inspection is necessary based on, among other things. Noticenal convicts of their confirmation and interview expressions are provided to the confirmation of their confirmation. things, National security, admissibility, customs, or agriculture concerns.

During arrival processing, CBP officers remove from circulation counterfeit, fraudulent, and altered travel documents, as well as lost or stolen travel documents presented for use by an individual other than the rightful holder. In fiscal year 2016, CBP intercepted 7,689 fraudulent documents. CBP's Fraudulent Document Analysis Unit maintains a central fraudulent document repository and provides analysis, intelligence, alerts, and training back to the field. Furthermore, through the Carrier Liaison Program, CBP officers provide interactive training to commercial air carrier participants to improve the air carrier's ability to detect and disrupt improperly doc-

umented passengers. Since the program's inception in 2005, CLP has provided training to more than 36,341 airline industry personnel.

Furthermore, CBP Tactical Terrorism Response Teams (TTRT) of CBP officers who are specially trained in counterterrorism response are deployed at the 46 largest POEs—including 22 POEs added in calendar year 2017 to conduct advanced interview training. TTRT officers are responsible for the examination of travelers identified within the TSDB as well as other travelers, their associates, or co-travelers who arrive at POE and are suspected of having a nexus to terrorist activity. TTRT officers work closely with NTC analysts to exploit information derived from targeting and inspection to mitigate any possible threat. During fiscal year 2017, through September 2017, more than 1,400 individuals were denied entry to the United States as a result of TTRT efforts and information discovered during the secondary inspection at POEs.

CONCLUSION

CBP will continue to work with our Federal and international partners—as well as commercial carriers and the trade community—to ensure the security and facilitation of the immense volume of international air travelers and cargo. We will continue to collaborate to strengthen on-going efforts to secure the aviation sector

⁵Generally speaking, biometrics are collected from aliens arriving at U.S. airports, except for: (i) Certain Canadian citizens temporarily visiting the United States; (ii) children under the age of 14; (iii) persons over the age of 79; and (iv) aliens admitted on A-1, A-2, C-3 (except for attendants, servants, or personal employees of accredited officials), G-1, G-2, G-3, G-4, NATO-1, NATO-2, NATO-3, NATO-4, NATO-5, or NATO-6 visas; and (v) certain Taiwan officials who hold E-1 visas or members of their immediate family who hold E-1 visas.

against terrorists and other threats, and promote safe and efficient international travel and trade to the United States.

Chairman Katko, Ranking Member Watson Coleman, and Members of the subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I look forward to answering your questions.

Mr. Katko. Thank you, Mr. Lynes. Every time I hear someone mention Pan Am 103 I think of one of my best friends for the last 20 years who lost his sister on that flight from Oswego State. I also think of the 35 students from Syracuse University who died on that flight and that were in my district.

There is a big monument up on the university where I went to law school commemorating that terrible day, and it is what drives me and drives all of us, I think, to make sure something like that

never happens again.

But we must face reality that that is always a possibility and that is always a goal of the bad guys, so we have to be ever vigilant in what we do here on our committee to make sure that we are doing everything we can to hold people's feet to the fire in the international community.

We appreciate you being here today, and we thank you for your

testimony, Mr. Lynes.

Our second witness is Mr. Todd Owen. Mr. Owen became the assistant commissioner of the office of field operations in February. He currently oversees over 29,000 employees including more than 24,00 CBP officers and CBP agricultural specialists.

Previously, Mr. Owen served as the director of field operations for the Los Angeles field office, where he had responsibility and oversight for all CBP operations in the greater Los Angeles area.

Prior to arriving in Los Angeles, Mr. Owen served as executive director of Cargo and Conveyance Security in Washington, DC, and as a director of the Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism, also known as—I can't even pronounce it—C-TPAT.

The Chair now recognizes Mr. Owen for his opening statement, and I must admit that is one of the more interesting acronyms I

have seen in Washington, so welcome.

Now before you start, Mr. Thompson is here. He is the Ranking Minority Member on the Homeland Security Committee, and when we get done with your testimony, if you would like, Mr. Thompson, we would be happy to allow you to make a statement.

Mr. THOMPSON. OK.

Mr. Katko. OK.

Please go ahead, Mr. Owen.

STATEMENT OF TODD C. OWEN, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT COM-MISSIONER, OFFICE OF FIELD OPERATIONS, CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND **SECURITY**

Mr. OWEN. OK. Good morning, Chairman Katko, Ranking Member Watson Coleman, distinguished Members of the subcommittee. Thank you for the opportunity to appear today to discuss U.S. Customs and Border Protection's role in cargo and passenger security as it pertains to the international aviation sector. As the unified border security agency of the United States, CBP is charged with securing our borders and preventing the introduction of terrorists and terrorist weapons into our country.