

videotapes. In response, on September 10, 2008, the Government submitted a Second Declaration of John H. Durham for my review, ex parte and in camera.

In his Second Declaration, Mr. Durham describes the continuing efforts and proceedings of his criminal investigation into the destruction of certain videotaped interrogations of detainees, and some of the problems that have been encountered. Mr. Durham describes his concerns that his investigation likely would be compromised if witnesses and persons that he wishes to interrogate might find out factual details, possibly influencing their recollections. He describes similar concerns about summaries, transcripts, and memoranda of the videotapes. His concerns cause him to ask that his obligation to comply with these aspects of my August 20 Order (that is, of categories one and two of the order) be deferred until after December 24, 2008, approximately three months from now. Mr. Durham's request is consistent with his original request of six months, made in his application of April 24, 2008. Mr. Durham excludes from his request a third category described in my August 20 Order, that the Government identify any witnesses who may have viewed the videotapes or retained custody of the videotapes before their destruction. As to this third category, Mr. Durham states his belief that production of the information would not interfere with his investigation, and he does not request a stay with respect to this category. However, it is likely that the Government would claim exemptions against disclosure for much, and perhaps all, of this information.

Mr. Durham's Second Declaration makes clear that the Department of Justice's criminal investigation is proceeding diligently. I am persuaded by Mr. Durham's arguments that compliance with my August 20 Order would make his investigation more difficult. I believe also that I would be better able to rule on the exemptions that the Government is likely to claim with respect to the third category of my Order if I were to postpone the Government's obligation to


satisfy the entire production, and not merely the first two categories of production.

Mr. Durham states that the Government might ask for a further postponement, beyond December 24, 2008, if the investigations that he supervises result in criminal prosecutions. I will deal with such issues as and when they arise.

Accordingly, compliance with my Order of August 20, 2008 is deferred until December 24, 2008. Plaintiffs' motion to cite the CIA for contempt will remain on my docket. As requested by the Government, the Second Declaration of John H. Durham will be filed under seal.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: New York, New York
September 16, 2008



ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN
United States District Judge