From: Fromdahl, Michele L CIV USARMY CENWO (USA)

To: <a href="mailto:curtis-oman@ios.doi.gov">curtis-oman@ios.doi.gov</a>

Subject: keystone route map (UNCLASSIFIED)

Date: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 8:45:00 AM

Attachments: reute map but CE.pdf

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

#### Good Morning,

It was good to touch base with you again. I verified with my supervisor that there were no issues sharing the route map with you so I have attached it here.

I also double checked as to whether or not the BOR has overlays with USACE and they do not. So we were correct in our assessment that the map you had was incorrect.

#### Michele Fromdahl

Natural Resources Manager US Army Corps of Engineers Fort Peck Project Fort Peck, MT 59223 406-526-3411 ext 4274 Cell - 406-750-4076

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED



X:\Drawings\50388X KEYSTONE XL\7000\_7999\XL Maps\7168 Missouri River Crossing Figures\XL-30-P-7168-AERIAL.mxd

From: Fromdahl, Michele L CIV USARMY CENWO (USA)

To: <u>curtis oman@ios.doi.gov</u>

**Subject:** contact information for Fort Peck Corps of Engineers

**Date:** Wednesday, October 10, 2018 8:40:00 AM

Attachments: Hunting hape as 2018.pdf

#### Hello,

My contact information is below. If there are any questions or issues or any information I can provide please contact me and I will assist any way that I can. Feel free to share my contact information with any or all of the others who were in attendance yesterday.


I have attached our hunting map that shows the specific area to the east of the Fort Peck spillway that we have closed to all public access.

#### Michele Fromdahl

Natural Resources Manager US Army Corps of Engineers Fort Peck Project Fort Peck, MT 59223 406-526-3411 ext 4274 Cell - 406-750-4076

## Fort Peck Hunting Map East Side of Missouri River

Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks U.S. Army Corps of Engineers U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service



From: Oman, Curtis

To: Fromdahl, Michele L CIV USARMY CENWO (USA)

**Subject:** [Non-DoD Source] Re: [EXTERNAL] keystone route map (UNCLASSIFIED)

**Date:** Wednesday, October 31, 2018 9:50:42 AM

#### Michele,

Likewise, a pleasure catching up as well.

Thanks for the map and verifying the overlay concern. Two very helpful tools in planning.

#### Take care

On Wed, Oct 31, 2018 at 8:14 AM Fromdahl, Michele L CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Michele.L.Fromdahl@usace.army.mil > wrote:

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

#### Good Morning,

It was good to touch base with you again. I verified with my supervisor that there were no issues sharing the route map with you so I have attached it here.

I also double checked as to whether or not the BOR has overlays with USACE and they do not. So we were correct in our assessment that the map you had was incorrect.

#### Michele Fromdahl

Natural Resources Manager US Army Corps of Engineers Fort Peck Project Fort Peck, MT 59223 406-526-3411 ext 4274 Cell - 406-750-4076

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

From: <u>Curtis Oman</u>

To: Fromdahl, Michele L CIV USARMY CENWO (USA)

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Re: [EXTERNAL] contact information for Fort Peck Corps of Engineers

**Date:** Wednesday, October 10, 2018 10:35:31 AM

#### Michele,

A pleasure meeting you yesterday and thanks for the information. Likely next week I'll reach out to talk more about the project and planning efforts.

Take care

#### Curtis Oman

Department of the Interior | Office of Law Enforcement & Security 208.860,2450

> On Oct 10, 2018, at 7:40 AM, Fromdahl, Michele L CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

<Michele.L.Fromdahl@usace.army.mil> wrote:

>

> Hello,

>

> My contact information is below. If there are any questions or issues or any information I can provide please contact me and I will assist any way that I can. Feel free to share my contact information with any or all of the others who were in attendance yesterday.

>

> I have attached our hunting map that shows the specific area to the east of the Fort Peck spillway that we have closed to all public access.

>

> Michele Fromdahl

>

- > Natural Resources Manager
- > US Army Corps of Engineers
- > Fort Peck Project
- > Fort Peck, MT 59223
- > 406-526-3411 ext 4274
- > Cell 406-750-4076

>

\_\_\_\_\_

> < Hunting Map East 2018.pdf>

 From:
 McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

 To:
 Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Cc: Cossette, Brent J CIV USARMY CENWO (US); Fromdahl, Michele L CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

**Subject:** RE: Reminder Email.

**Date:** Tuesday, June 13, 2017 2:34:52 PM

Larry, with Real Estate and ERGO compliance next week no one from Fort Peck will be available to attend. I agree the lack of Keystone being mentioned was intentional.

Darin

----Original Message----

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Tuesday, June 13, 2017 10:47 AM

To: McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Darin.J.Mcmurry@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Cossette, Brent J CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Brent.J.Cossette@usace.army.mil>; Fromdahl, Michele L

CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Michele.L.Fromdahl@usace.army.mil>

Subject: RE: Reminder Email.

Darin,

Appreciate the heads up. Since you won't be able to attend will Michele or someone else take your place? If not I will check with folks here to see if we should participate. Interesting that it doesn't mention Keystone in the agenda. Probably done on purpose?

Thanks,

Larry

----Original Message-----

From: McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Tuesday, June 13, 2017 9:23 AM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Cossette, Brent J CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Brent.J.Cossette@usace.army.mil>; Fromdahl, Michele L

CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Michele.L.Fromdahl@usace.army.mil>

Subject: FW: Reminder Email.

Larry, for situational awareness, see email below on meeting June 20 at Miles City, MT with multiple law enforcement agencies to discuss/coordinate in preparation for Keystone Construction and how to respond to potential protest situations.

We have Real Estate and ERGO inspections next week and at this time I do not plan to attend this meeting.

#### Darin

----Original Message----

From: Gates, Jeffrey [mailto:JGates@mt.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 12, 2017 5:47 PM

To: 1ST Sgt Larry Ganieany <a href="mailto:square">square (a lietz @transcanada.com">square (a lietz @transcanada.com</a>; Albert Mendoza <a href="mailto:square">square (a lietz @transcanada.com</a>; Albert Mendoza <a href="mailto:square">square (a lietz @transcanada.com</a>; Albert Mendoza <a href="mailto:square">square (a lietz @transcanada.com</a>; Bill Ellis <a

<bcolbert@lccountymt.gov>; Bruce Price <bprice@rosebudcountymt.com>; Bryan Sakahara

<bsakahar@blm.gov>; Bud Peterson <custercountydes@midrivers.com>; Butler, Tom <tobutler@mt.gov>; Chuck

Lee <chuckleemt@hotmail.com>; Col. Michael Moreni <michael.k.moreni.mil@mail.mil>; Cory Reum

<creum@rooseveltcounty.org>; Dale Butori Dep. DES FC <fallonweed@midrivers.com>; Dale Waters Off Duty Services <a href="mailto:sdrutyservices.com">darby Harrington <a href="mailto:sdrutys USARMY CENWO (US) < Darin.J.Mcmurry@usace.army.mil>; Harris, Dave < DHarris@mcso.mt.gov>; Dean Mahlum <dmahlum@rmin.riss.net>; Debra Gilbert <dgilbert@richland.org>; Bruno, Delila <dbruno@mt.gov>; Don Greenwood <a href="mailto:dtgreenwood@transcanada.com">dtgreenwood@transcanada.com</a>; Duncan Hedges <a href="mailto:sheriff@prairiecounty.org">helbert,</a>; Hilbert, Edward <ehilbert@mt.gov>; Erin Ketchum <mcondes@midrivers.com>; Faycosh, Jeff <jfaycosh@mt.gov>; Frank Datta <wibauxdes@gmail.com>; Freeman, Zachary <ZFreeman@mt.gov>; Georgia Bruski <ccdes42@gmail.com>; Stinar, Glen <GStinar@mt.gov>; Huestis, Glenn <ghuestis@blainecounty-mt.gov>; Greg Speer <desphillipsgov@yahoo.com>; Gruener, Mark <MGruener@mt.gov>; Hildenstab, Jason <JHildenstab@mt.gov>; Honzel, Burke <BHonzel@mt.gov>; Jared Ford <richard.j.ford@cbp.dhs.gov>; Gates, Jeffrey <JGates@mt.gov>; Nedens, Jeff <inedens@mt.gov>; Jerry Prete FSTS East <gerald.prete@montana.edu>; Jim Germann <jimrgermann@gmail.com>; John Dynneson <jdynneson@richland.org>; John Hrubes <jthrubes@gmail.com>; John Pisk <j.pisk@prairiecounty.org>; Strandell, John <jstrandell@mt.gov>; Jones, Craig <crajones@mt.gov>; Joshua St. Denis Custom Border <Joshua.m.stdenis@cbp.dhs.gov>; Katie Mills <katiem245@gmail.com>; Kerry Wenrich ( <kelly.wenrich@tsa.dhs.gov>; Lori Harbaugh <lharbaug@blm.gov>; Rothe, Marschal <MRothe@mt.gov>; Mary Jo Gehnert <gehnertmj@dawsoncountymontana.com>; Michael Nagina <michael nagina@transcanada.com>; Michael Rankin (USAMT) <Michael.Rankin@usdoj.gov>; Michael Slyder <michelle.slyder@chsinc.com>; Mike Linder <mlinder@co.yellowstone.mt.gov>; Nadine Brown <nadine.brown@ic.fbi.gov>; Neil Kittelmann <ccso@midrivers.com>; Nicolette G. Rose (FBI) <Nicolette.Rose@ic.fbi.gov>; Nil Eisele <nicholas.eisele@leo.gov>; Petty, Noal <NoalPetty@mt.gov>; Skyberg, Paul <PSkyberg@mcso.mt.gov>; Pileski, Chris <cpileski@mt.gov>; Rachel Court <rachel court@tester.senate.gov>; Randy Middlebrook Infastructure Protection DHS <randy.middlebrook@dhs.gov>; Richard Seiler <rseiler@valleycountymt.gov>; Rigo Cepeda - US Border Patrol <ri>goberto.cepeda@cbp.dhs.gov>; Rob Gilbert <svfd@midrivers.com>; Burnison, Bob <bbr/>bburnison@richland.org>; Canen, Ross <recanen@hotmail.com>; Rumley, Andrew <ARumley@mt.gov>; Moran, Scott <sheriff@phillipscounty.mt.gov>; Grimes, Shane <SGrimes@mt.gov>; Shelly-Ann Frederic Trans Canada Stakholder Advisor <shelly-ann frederic@transcanada.com>; Strait, James <jstrait@mt.gov>; Tim Therrien <trenton.harbaugh@leo.gov>; Vernon Buerkle <vbuerkle@valleycountymt.gov> Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Reminder Email. A quick reminder that we are having a planning meeting on June 20th, 1000 – 1500 hours in Miles City, MT. The meeting will be held at the Miles City Town and Country Club, 4th and Eagle Street.

A lunch is being prepared by the country club, everyone will have to pay their own meal ticket.

The Department of Justice is running and facilitating this meeting. An agenda is attached.

I am assisting Chief Strandell with the logistical and administrative support of the meeting.

Respectfully,

Jeff Gates

Montana Disaster and Emergency Services,

Field Officer, Dist. IV



 From:
 Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

 To:
 Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US)

 Cc:
 Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

**Subject:** FW: Reminder Email.

**Date:** Thursday, June 15, 2017 1:46:31 PM

Attachments: image image

Planning Free of rightandock

Sir,

FYI, I received this email from Larry asking if I think someone from my office should attend this. It is a MT fusion center meeting that will have DOJ, DHS, FPS and other LE type present. John actually went to a NE NG Joint center meeting a few weeks ago reference this same topic, but he went in uniform as part of his new MSG position with the Nebraska National Guard G-2 office.

My opinion would be that if we expect any protest on Corps property in MT that this may be beneficial for someone to attend. It would probably cost about 1.2k for TDY

This also fits with what we were talking about with DHS Greg Hollingstead, that my office should be integrated into all of these state fusion centers and part of their regular meetings.

Thoughts?

V/r Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Thursday, June 15, 2017 1:07 PM

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Roger.Roby@usace.army.mil>

Subject: FW: Reminder Email.

Roger,

Looks like there will be a meeting, run by DOJ, including law enforcement personnel next week to discuss Keystone XL. Any benefit to having you or someone else attend?

Thanks,

Larry

----Original Message-----

From: McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Tuesday, June 13, 2017 9:23 AM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Cossette, Brent J CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

<Brent.J.Cossette@usace.army.mil>; Fromdahl, Michele L CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

<Michele.L.Fromdahl@usace.army.mil>

Subject: FW: Reminder Email.

Larry, for situational awareness, see email below on meeting June 20 at Miles City, MT with multiple law enforcement agencies to discuss/coordinate in preparation for Keystone Construction and how to respond to potential protest situations.

We have Real Estate and ERGO inspections next week and at this time I do not plan to attend this meeting.

#### Darin

----Original Message----From: Gates, Jeffrey [mailto:JGates@mt.gov] Sent: Monday, June 12, 2017 5:47 PM To: 1ST Sgt Larry Ganieany <a href="mailto:square-right: 1ST Sgt Larry Ganieany.ganieany.mil@mail.mil">square-right: Alan Lietz</a> <alan lietz@transcanada.com>; Albert Mendoza <alberrt.mendoza@hq.dhs.gov>; Aye, Scott <SAye@mt.gov>; Bill Ellis <B.Ellis@co.custer.mt.us>; Bill Michaelis <a href="mailto:bmichaelis@co.yellowstone.mt.gov">bmichaelis@co.yellowstone.mt.gov</a>; Brad Mitchell <mitch bs@hotmail.com>; Brent Colbert <bcolbert@lccountymt.gov>; Bruce Price <bprice@rosebudcountymt.com>; Bryan Sakahara <bsakahar@blm.gov>; Bud Peterson <custercountydes@midrivers.com>; Butler, Tom <tobutler@mt.gov>; Chuck Lee <chuckleemt@hotmail.com>; Col. Michael Moreni <michael.k.moreni.mil@mail.mil>; Cory Reum <creum@rooseveltcounty.org>; Dale Butori Dep. DES FC <fallonweed@midrivers.com>; Dale Waters Off Duty Services <dwaters@offdutyservices.com>; Darby Harrington <zsheriff@midrivers.com>; McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Darin.J.Mcmurry@usace.army.mil>; Harris, Dave <DHarris@mcso.mt.gov>; Dean Mahlum <dmahlum@rmin.riss.net>; Debra Gilbert <dgilbert@richland.org>; Bruno, Delila <dbruno@mt.gov>; Don Greenwood <a href="mailto:dt-greenwood@transcanada.com">dt-greenwood@transcanada.com</a>; Duncan Hedges <sheriff@prairiecounty.org>; Hilbert, Edward <ehilbert@mt.gov>; Erin Ketchum <mcondes@midrivers.com>; Faycosh, Jeff <jfaycosh@mt.gov>; Frank Datta <wibauxdes@gmail.com>; Freeman, Zachary <ZFreeman@mt.gov>; Georgia Bruski <ccdes42@gmail.com>; Stinar, Glen <GStinar@mt.gov>; Huestis, Glenn <ghuestis@blainecounty-mt.gov>; Greg Speer <desphillipsgov@yahoo.com>; Gruener, Mark <MGruener@mt.gov>; Hildenstab, Jason <JHildenstab@mt.gov>; Honzel, Burke <BHonzel@mt.gov>; Jared Ford <richard.j.ford@cbp.dhs.gov>; Gates, Jeffrey <JGates@mt.gov>; Nedens, Jeff <jnedens@mt.gov>; Jerry Prete FSTS East <gerald.prete@montana.edu>; Jim Germann <jimrgermann@gmail.com>; John Dynneson <a href="mailto:jdynneson@richland.org">jdynneson@richland.org</a>; John Hrubes <a href="mailto:jthrubes@gmail.com">jthrubes@gmail.com</a>; John Pisk <j.pisk@prairiecounty.org>; Strandell, John <jstrandell@mt.gov>; Jones, Craig <crajones@mt.gov>; Joshua St. Denis Custom Border <Joshua.m.stdenis@cbp.dhs.gov>; Katie Mills <katiem245@gmail.com>; Kerry Wenrich ( <kelly.wenrich@tsa.dhs.gov>; Lori Harbaugh <lharbaug@blm.gov>; Rothe, Marschal <MRothe@mt.gov>; Mary Jo Gehnert <gehnertmj@dawsoncountymontana.com>; Michael Nagina <michael nagina@transcanada.com>; Michael Rankin (USAMT) <Michael.Rankin@usdoj.gov>; Michelle Slyder <michelle.slyder@chsinc.com>; Mike Linder <mlinder@co.yellowstone.mt.gov>; Nadine Brown <nadine.brown@ic.fbi.gov>; Neil Kittelmann <ccso@midrivers.com>; Nicolette

G. Rose (FBI) <Nicolette.Rose@ic.fbi.gov>; Nil Eisele <nicholas.eisele@leo.gov>; Petty, Noal <NoalPetty@mt.gov>; Skyberg, Paul <PSkyberg@mcso.mt.gov>; Pileski, Chris <cpileski@mt.gov>; Rachel Court <rachel\_court@tester.senate.gov>; Randy Middlebrook Infastructure Protection DHS <randy.middlebrook@dhs.gov>; Richard Seiler <rseiler@valleycountymt.gov>; Rigo Cepeda - US Border Patrol <rigoberto.cepeda@cbp.dhs.gov>; Rob Gilbert <svfd@midrivers.com>; Burnison, Bob <bburnison@richland.org>; Canen, Ross <recanen@hotmail.com>; Rumley, Andrew <ARumley@mt.gov>; Moran, Scott <sheriff@phillipscounty.mt.gov>; Grimes, Shane <SGrimes@mt.gov>; Shelly-Ann Frederic Trans Canada Stakholder Advisor <shelly-ann\_frederic@transcanada.com>; Strait, James <jstrait@mt.gov>; Tim Therrien <pcso.therrien@prairiecounty.org>; Tony Harbaugh <thereof the strait of the strait o

A quick reminder that we are having a planning meeting on June 20th, 1000 - 1500 hours in Miles City, MT. The meeting will be held at the Miles City Town and Country Club, 4th and Eagle Street.

A lunch is being prepared by the country club, everyone will have to pay their own meal ticket.

The Department of Justice is running and facilitating this meeting. An agenda is attached.

I am assisting Chief Strandell with the logistical and administrative support of the meeting.

Respectfully,

Jeff Gates

Montana Disaster and Emergency Services,

Field Officer, Dist. IV

3785 US Hwy. 87 South

Roundup, MT 59072

406-323-8800 Office

406-671-9733 Cell

JGates@mt.gov < mailto: JGates@mt.gov >

Written communication to or from state employees regarding state and local business is public record and available to the public and media upon request. Your email may be subject to public disclosure.

## Planning Meeting Agenda

June 20, 2017 Miles City MT, Golf Course, 1000-1500

Welc	ome and Intro
Prese	entations:
	North Dakota
	FBI
	MATIC
	DES
Worl	Group reports:
	LEO
	DES/EOC
	Intelligence
	Training
Othe	r matters to discuss:

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

**Subject:** Keystone XL

**Date:** Monday, July 17, 2017 1:25:00 PM

#### Roger,

Previously we had talked about you or someone from your office attending an agency meeting in Montana to discuss security options for the Keystone XL project. Never heard back on whether you were approved to attend, but heard though the grapevine that someone from Omaha attended. Is that true? If so, could you provide a debrief of what was discussed and any follow-up actions? HQ appears to be interested in security discussions and is looking for an update.

Thanks,

 From:
 Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

 To:
 Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

 Cc:
 Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US)

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

**Date:** Monday, July 17, 2017 2:24:23 PM

Hi Larry,

I did attend and back briefed MAJ Startzell on some of the topics and discussion points.

The main point for this meeting was a briefing from the ND Morton County sheriff Kyle Kirchmeier and Mandan police chief, Chief Ziegler on lessons learned. (The Morton County Sheriff really dislikes the Corps of Engineers and federal LE responses, that was a big talking point he shared with this MT LE group.

The biggest take away I got was to meet several POCs and exchange contact information with the Montana state and federal government representatives that were present.

Including the MT disaster and emergency services field director.

State of MT Dept. of Justice, criminal justice agent

McCone County Sheriff (Ft. Peck Sheriff, who most likely will be the responding office if we have any protests on USACE property)

US Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Land Management Office of Law Enforcement, Special Agent in Charge. This federal office has 10 armed LE officers in MT and as the agency land owner of most of the locations the pipeline will cross. This agent was asked to brief what their plan was in case of protests. The answer was to work with local LE to deny access to federal property and that they take their direction form the US Attorney's office. I also met with the FBI field analyst assigned to this area and plan to follow up with her for Ft. Peck critical assets site specifics.

Follow up actions, the state of MT is watching very closely on what the state of Nebraska decides to do with approval or denial of the pipeline. As for now that is about all that was discussed or planned for any future actions. Their next follow up action is to plan another meeting sometime this Fall.

Who at HQ is asking about security updates?

Roger

V/r Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message----

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:25 PM

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Roger.Roby@usace.army.mil>

Subject: Keystone XL

Roger,

Previously we had talked about you or someone from your office attending an agency meeting in Montana to discuss security options for the Keystone XL project. Never heard back on whether you were approved to attend, but heard though the grapevine that someone from Omaha attended. Is that true? If so, could you provide a debrief of what was discussed and any follow-up actions? HQ appears to be interested in security discussions and is looking for an update.
Thanks,
Larry

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Cc: Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US)

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

**Date:** Monday, July 17, 2017 3:28:00 PM

#### Roger,

Appreciate the feedback and would ask that you keep us up-to-date on any future developments/meetings. Were there any handouts? Would like to get a run down on the lessons learned that the ND officers shared.

The request came from MG Jackson, through Steve Kopecky at the NWD RIT. The Corps has a monthly meeting with the BLM and Department of State and the security discussion came up during that meeting. MG Jackson represents the Corps and Steve provides support. After the meeting Steve asked me if we knew about the meeting that was held in Montana on security. I shared that we were informed, looked into sending someone, but I did not know if they were able to attend. I told him that I would follow-up and let him know.

I'll plan on sharing this and any additional information you provide in my next update.

Thanks,

Larry

----Original Message----

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 2:24 PM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US) <James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil>

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

Hi Larry,

I did attend and back briefed MAJ Startzell on some of the topics and discussion points.

The main point for this meeting was a briefing from the ND Morton County sheriff Kyle Kirchmeier and Mandan police chief, Chief Ziegler on lessons learned. (The Morton County Sheriff really dislikes the Corps of Engineers and federal LE responses, that was a big talking point he shared with this MT LE group.

The biggest take away I got was to meet several POCs and exchange contact information with the Montana state and federal government representatives that were present.

Including the MT disaster and emergency services field director.

State of MT Dept. of Justice, criminal justice agent

McCone County Sheriff (Ft. Peck Sheriff, who most likely will be the responding office if we have any protests on USACE property)

US Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Land Management Office of Law Enforcement, Special Agent in Charge. This federal office has 10 armed LE officers in MT and as the agency land owner of most of the locations the pipeline will cross. This agent was asked to brief what their plan was in case of protests. The answer was to work with local LE to deny access to federal property and that they take their direction form the US Attorney's office.

I also met with the FBI field analyst assigned to this area and plan to follow up with her for Ft. Peck critical assets site specifics.

Follow up actions, the state of MT is watching very closely on what the state of Nebraska decides to do with approval or denial of the pipeline. As for now that is about all that was discussed or planned for any future actions. Their next follow up action is to plan another meeting sometime this Fall.

Who at HQ is asking about security updates?

Roger

V/r Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:25 PM

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Roger.Roby@usace.army.mil>

Subject: Keystone XL

Roger,

Previously we had talked about you or someone from your office attending an agency meeting in Montana to discuss security options for the Keystone XL project. Never heard back on whether you were approved to attend, but heard though the grapevine that someone from Omaha attended. Is that true? If so, could you provide a debrief of what was discussed and any follow-up actions? HQ appears to be interested in security discussions and is looking for an update.

Thanks,

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

**Date:** Monday, July 17, 2017 3:39:53 PM

Larry,

No there were no handouts and it appeared his briefing slides were not being shared. His briefing talking points law enforcement specific tactics that would not apply to us.

The biggest tactic he described that they failed at was to initially deny access to the property by protestors and keep them as far away for the contested locations as possible by any means.

V/r Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message----

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 3:29 PM

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Roger.Roby@usace.army.mil> Cc: Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US) <James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil>

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

Roger,

Appreciate the feedback and would ask that you keep us up-to-date on any future developments/meetings. Were there any handouts? Would like to get a run down on the lessons learned that the ND officers shared.

The request came from MG Jackson, through Steve Kopecky at the NWD RIT. The Corps has a monthly meeting with the BLM and Department of State and the security discussion came up during that meeting. MG Jackson represents the Corps and Steve provides support. After the meeting Steve asked me if we knew about the meeting that was held in Montana on security. I shared that we were informed, looked into sending someone, but I did not know if they were able to attend. I told him that I would follow-up and let him know.

I'll plan on sharing this and any additional information you provide in my next update.

Thanks,

Larry

----Original Message----

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 2:24 PM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US) <James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil>

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

Hi Larry,

I did attend and back briefed MAJ Startzell on some of the topics and discussion points.

The main point for this meeting was a briefing from the ND Morton County sheriff Kyle Kirchmeier and Mandan police chief, Chief Ziegler on lessons learned. (The Morton County Sheriff really dislikes the Corps of Engineers and federal LE responses, that was a big talking point he shared with this MT LE group.

The biggest take away I got was to meet several POCs and exchange contact information with the Montana state and federal government representatives that were present.

Including the MT disaster and emergency services field director.

State of MT Dept. of Justice, criminal justice agent

McCone County Sheriff (Ft. Peck Sheriff, who most likely will be the responding office if we have any protests on USACE property)

US Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Land Management Office of Law Enforcement, Special Agent in Charge. This federal office has 10 armed LE officers in MT and as the agency land owner of most of the locations the pipeline will cross. This agent was asked to brief what their plan was in case of protests. The answer was to work with local LE to deny access to federal property and that they take their direction form the US Attorney's office. I also met with the FBI field analyst assigned to this area and plan to follow up with her for Ft. Peck critical assets site specifics.

Follow up actions, the state of MT is watching very closely on what the state of Nebraska decides to do with approval or denial of the pipeline. As for now that is about all that was discussed or planned for any future actions. Their next follow up action is to plan another meeting sometime this Fall.

Who at HQ is asking about security updates?

Roger

V/r Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:25 PM

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Roger.Roby@usace.army.mil>

Subject: Keystone XL

Roger,

Previously we had talked about you or someone from your office attending an agency meeting in Montana to discuss security options for the Keystone XL project. Never heard back on whether you were approved to attend, but heard though the grapevine that someone from Omaha attended. Is that true? If so, could you provide a debrief of what was discussed and any follow-up actions? HQ appears to be interested in security discussions and is looking for an update.

Thanks,

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US); McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Cc: Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US); Fink, Keith J CIV USARMY CENWO (US); Chieply, Martha S CIV

**USARMY CENWO (US)** 

**Subject:** RE: Keystone XL

**Date:** Monday, November 20, 2017 10:25:54 AM

Hi Larry, Darin

I wanted to follow up with you and Operations on the upcoming 2nd meeting with the MT disaster and Emergency Services. Reference the Keystone XL on 29 Nov 17, Miles City MT. They also expect to receive a presentation form Trans-Canada.

I see in the news this morning that NE has approved the pipeline but with a different route through NE. does this affect the route through Corps property in MT?

Will anyone form Operations and or Ft. Peck be attending this planning meeting?

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/11/20/us/nebraska-pipeline-keystone-xl.html

Thanks Roger

V/r

Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 2:24 PM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Startzell, James T NWO (James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil) < James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil>

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

Hi Larry,

I did attend and back briefed MAJ Startzell on some of the topics and discussion points.

The main point for this meeting was a briefing from the ND Morton County sheriff Kyle Kirchmeier and Mandan police chief, Chief Ziegler on lessons learned. (The Morton County Sheriff really dislikes the Corps of Engineers and federal LE responses, that was a big talking point he shared with this MT LE group.

The biggest take away I got was to meet several POCs and exchange contact information with the Montana state and federal government representatives that were present.

Including the MT disaster and emergency services field director.

State of MT Dept. of Justice, criminal justice agent

McCone County Sheriff (Ft. Peck Sheriff, who most likely will be the responding office if we have any protests on USACE property)

US Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Land Management Office of Law Enforcement, Special Agent in Charge. This federal office has 10 armed LE officers in MT and as the agency land owner of most of the locations the pipeline will cross. This agent was asked to brief what their plan was in case of protests. The answer was to work with local LE to deny access to federal property and that they take their direction form the US Attorney's office. I also met with the FBI field analyst assigned to this area and plan to follow up with her for Ft. Peck critical assets site specifics.

Follow up actions, the state of MT is watching very closely on what the state of Nebraska decides to do with approval or denial of the pipeline. As for now that is about all that was discussed or planned for any future actions. Their next follow up action is to plan another meeting sometime this Fall.

Who at HQ is asking about security updates?

Roger

V/r Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:25 PM

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Roger.Roby@usace.army.mil>

Subject: Keystone XL

Roger,

Previously we had talked about you or someone from your office attending an agency meeting in Montana to discuss security options for the Keystone XL project. Never heard back on whether you were approved to attend, but heard though the grapevine that someone from Omaha attended. Is that true? If so, could you provide a debrief of what was discussed and any follow-up actions? HQ appears to be interested in security discussions and is looking for an update.

Thanks,

From: McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US); Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Cc: Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US); Fink, Keith J CIV USARMY CENWO (US); Chieply, Martha S CIV

**USARMY CENWO (US)** 

**Subject:** RE: Keystone XL

**Date:** Monday, November 20, 2017 10:55:41 AM

Roger,

I plan on attending the meeting in Miles City, MT on 29 November 2017.

Darin J. McMurry Operations Project Manager US Army Corps of Engineers Fort Peck Project PO Box 208 Fort Peck, MT 59223

406/526-3411, Ext. 4275

----Original Message----

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, November 20, 2017 9:25 AM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>; McMurry, Darin J CIV

USARMY CENWO (US) < Darin.J.Mcmurry@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US) <James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil>; Fink, Keith J CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Keith.J.Fink@usace.army.mil>; Chieply, Martha S CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

<Martha.S.Chieply@usace.army.mil>

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

Hi Larry, Darin

I wanted to follow up with you and Operations on the upcoming 2nd meeting with the MT disaster and Emergency Services. Reference the Keystone XL on 29 Nov 17, Miles City MT. They also expect to receive a presentation form Trans-Canada.

I see in the news this morning that NE has approved the pipeline but with a different route through NE. does this affect the route through Corps property in MT?

Will anyone form Operations and or Ft. Peck be attending this planning meeting?

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/11/20/us/nebraska-pipeline-keystone-xl.html

Thanks Roger

V/r

Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

#### https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 2:24 PM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Startzell, James T NWO (James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil) < James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil>

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

Hi Larry,

I did attend and back briefed MAJ Startzell on some of the topics and discussion points.

The main point for this meeting was a briefing from the ND Morton County sheriff Kyle Kirchmeier and Mandan police chief, Chief Ziegler on lessons learned. (The Morton County Sheriff really dislikes the Corps of Engineers and federal LE responses, that was a big talking point he shared with this MT LE group.

The biggest take away I got was to meet several POCs and exchange contact information with the Montana state and federal government representatives that were present.

Including the MT disaster and emergency services field director.

State of MT Dept. of Justice, criminal justice agent

McCone County Sheriff (Ft. Peck Sheriff, who most likely will be the responding office if we have any protests on USACE property)

US Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Land Management Office of Law Enforcement, Special Agent in Charge. This federal office has 10 armed LE officers in MT and as the agency land owner of most of the locations the pipeline will cross. This agent was asked to brief what their plan was in case of protests. The answer was to work with local LE to deny access to federal property and that they take their direction form the US Attorney's office.

I also met with the FBI field analyst assigned to this area and plan to follow up with her for Ft. Peck critical assets site specifics.

Follow up actions, the state of MT is watching very closely on what the state of Nebraska decides to do with approval or denial of the pipeline. As for now that is about all that was discussed or planned for any future actions. Their next follow up action is to plan another meeting sometime this Fall.

Who at HQ is asking about security updates?

Roger

V/r Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:25 PM

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Roger.Roby@usace.army.mil>

Subject: Keystone XL

#### Roger,

Previously we had talked about you or someone from your office attending an agency meeting in Montana to discuss security options for the Keystone XL project. Never heard back on whether you were approved to attend, but heard though the grapevine that someone from Omaha attended. Is that true? If so, could you provide a debrief of what was discussed and any follow-up actions? HQ appears to be interested in security discussions and is looking for an update.

Thanks,

From: Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US)

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US); Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US); McMurry, Darin J CIV

**USARMY CENWO (US)** 

Cc: Fink, Keith J CIV USARMY CENWO (US); Chieply, Martha S CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

**Subject:** RE: Keystone XL

**Date:** Monday, November 20, 2017 11:01:39 AM

Roger, good catch on the meeting. As far as the pipeline route goes I doubt that the MT portion would change.

----Original Message-----

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, November 20, 2017 10:25 AM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>; McMurry, Darin J CIV

USARMY CENWO (US) < Darin.J.Mcmurry@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US) <James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil>; Fink, Keith J CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Keith.J.Fink@usace.army.mil>; Chieply, Martha S CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

<Martha.S.Chieply@usace.army.mil>

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

Hi Larry, Darin

I wanted to follow up with you and Operations on the upcoming 2nd meeting with the MT disaster and Emergency Services. Reference the Keystone XL on 29 Nov 17, Miles City MT. They also expect to receive a presentation form Trans-Canada.

I see in the news this morning that NE has approved the pipeline but with a different route through NE. does this affect the route through Corps property in MT?

Will anyone form Operations and or Ft. Peck be attending this planning meeting?

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/11/20/us/nebraska-pipeline-keystone-xl.html

Thanks Roger

V/r

Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 2:24 PM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Startzell, James T NWO (James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil) < James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil>

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

Hi Larry,

I did attend and back briefed MAJ Startzell on some of the topics and discussion points.

The main point for this meeting was a briefing from the ND Morton County sheriff Kyle Kirchmeier and Mandan police chief, Chief Ziegler on lessons learned. (The Morton County Sheriff really dislikes the Corps of Engineers and federal LE responses, that was a big talking point he shared with this MT LE group.

The biggest take away I got was to meet several POCs and exchange contact information with the Montana state and federal government representatives that were present.

Including the MT disaster and emergency services field director.

State of MT Dept. of Justice, criminal justice agent

McCone County Sheriff (Ft. Peck Sheriff, who most likely will be the responding office if we have any protests on USACE property)

US Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Land Management Office of Law Enforcement, Special Agent in Charge. This federal office has 10 armed LE officers in MT and as the agency land owner of most of the locations the pipeline will cross. This agent was asked to brief what their plan was in case of protests. The answer was to work with local LE to deny access to federal property and that they take their direction form the US Attorney's office. I also met with the FBI field analyst assigned to this area and plan to follow up with her for Ft. Peck critical assets site specifics.

Follow up actions, the state of MT is watching very closely on what the state of Nebraska decides to do with approval or denial of the pipeline. As for now that is about all that was discussed or planned for any future actions. Their next follow up action is to plan another meeting sometime this Fall.

Who at HQ is asking about security updates?

Roger

V/r Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message----

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:25 PM

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Roger.Roby@usace.army.mil>

Subject: Keystone XL

Roger,

Previously we had talked about you or someone from your office attending an agency meeting in Montana to discuss security options for the Keystone XL project. Never heard back on whether you were approved to attend, but heard though the grapevine that someone from Omaha attended. Is that true? If so, could you provide a debrief of what was discussed and any follow-up actions? HQ appears to be interested in security discussions and is looking for an update.

Thanks.

### Pipeline Planning Meeting Agenda

February 16, 2017 Miles City MT 1030

Welcome and Intro

Trans-Canada

MT DEQ

ND Lessons learned discussion

Group Discussion on:

Needs:

How to get additional Resources:

How do you order?

How Pays?

Financial Assistance from State / FED?

Incident Action Plan:

Training Needs:

**CDP Classes** 

Field Force Operations, Field Force Command

Field Force Extrication

Incident Command / EOC ICP interface / EOC, EM duties

Social Media

Equipment:

Needs

Cache for 50 Officers?

Funding sources?

- pre-stase jersey barriers
for Possible closure.

- mt Tribes Sisked onto

PA. will have monitors on site.

2014/15
- Pinch Points - mr + yr crossings
Hyway 2/milk crossings

**USA ACE 00098** 

# Emergency Operation Center Resources to stand up for prolonged event? Equipment needed?


Wants:


Where do we go from here?


Committee meeting?

What is the outcome of these meetings?

Next meeting?







11:10		10:30	10:15 - 10:30							9:20	0 00	0.00	2.50 all	8.20 25	
Heightened Public Interest	Project Status Info/Data Needs & Mitigation Requirements	III. Agency Updates (continued)	BREAK	<ul> <li>Clear Water Act - 404</li> <li>Nationwide Permit</li> <li>GOVT-GOVT Consultation</li> <li>Programmatic Agreement</li> <li>Mitigation &amp; Monitoring</li> <li>Requirements</li> </ul>		Revisions      Endangered Species Act	<ul><li>FEIS/SEIS</li><li>Land Use Planning; RMP</li></ul>	Target Topics:	Project Status Info/Data Needs & Mitigation Requirements Discussed	III. Agency Updates	Updated Data, GIS, POD	Presidential Memo and Roles & Responsibilities with Project	I. Welcome & Introductions	lopic	Meeting with Co
Bryan Sakahara				MT Dept. of Natural Resources	MT Dept. of Environmental Quality	Fish & Wildlife Service	Army Corps of Engineer	Bureau of Land Mgmt.	State Department		Jim White/Jon Schmidt		Jon Raby/All	ser	KEYSTONE XL PIPELINE PROJEC with TransCanada, State Department and Cooperating Montana State Office, Main Conference Room Conference Line: 866-778-5356; Access 5661070# February 22, 2017 8:30 am - 12 noon
Situational Awareness									Info/Data Needs & Mitigation Requirements	-Feeron of Granigoo Hillory II I Office	Update Federal Agencies on any updates or changes in KXI Project	Review of Memorandum Directives Roles & Responsibilities Identified	Introductions	Expected Outcome	KEYSTONE XL PIPELINE PROJECT  Meeting with TransCanada, State Department and Cooperating Agencies  Montana State Office, Main Conference Room  Conference Line: 866-778-5356; Access 5661070#  February 22, 2017  8:30 am - 12 noon
				MT DEQ Certificate	Programmatic Agreement	Biological Opinion	BLM Resource Mgmt. Plan Revisions	FEIS / Supplemental EIS	Suggested Previews:				Review Presidential Memo	Pre-work	

BLM - Jim/Diane  Jim/Diane with Group  Jim/Diane with Group				Adiourn	12 pm noon
BLM - Jim/Diane  Jim/Diane with Group  Identify Actions Needed/Responsible		Party			
BLM - Jim/Diane ROD and Review Needs		Identify Actions Needed/Responsible	Jim/Diane with Group	Next Steps / Action Items	11:50
BLM - Jim/Diane ROD and Review Needs				Decision (ROD) and Review	
State Dept. Officerstationing into weeds for drawing		ROD and Review Needs	BLM - Jim/Diane	Complete drafting Record of	
Otata Danie de l'indorphonding Info Clonde for druffing	Assess Freimiliary Drait NOO	Understanding Info Needs for drafting	State Dept.	Compilation of Analyses to	11:30

### Keystone XL Pipeline Meeting BLM Montana State Office – February 22, 2017 Attendee List (or participation by phone)

BLM Eastern Montana/Dakotas District

Diane Friez

Beth Klempel

Mark Jacobsen

Todd Yeager Doug Melton Wendy Warren Dalice Landers

**BLM North Central Montana District** 

Mark Albers

Josh Sorlie

Pat Gunderson

Kathy Tribby

BLM Montana/Dakotas State Office

Jon Raby

John Carlson

**Amy Waring** 

Don Judice

Ruth Miller

Tanya Skurski

Kim Prill

Cecil Wervin

**DOI Solicitors Office** 

Karen Dunnigan Veronica Larvie

**BLM Washington Office** 

Jim Stobaugh

Department of State (via conference line)

Mary Hassell

Jill Riley

US Army Corp of Engineers

Larry Janis

Robert Cole

Martha Chieply

Michele Fromdahl (via conference line)
Darin McMurry (via conference line)

Kathy Judas

USFWS

Jodi Bush

TransCanada

Jim White Jon Schmidt Sandra Barnett

Montana Department of Environmental Quality (via conference line)

Craig Jones James Street Kristi Ponozzo

Montana Department of Natural Resources

Carolyn Sime

From: Gates, Jeffrey

To:

1ST Sgt Larry Ganieany; Alan Lietz; Albert Mendoza; Aye, Scott; Bill Ellis; Bill Michaelis; Brad Mitchell; Brent Colbert; Bruce Price; Bryan Sakahara; Bud Peterson; Chuck Lee; Col. Michael Moreni; Cory Reum; Dale Butori Dep. DES FC; Darby Harrington; McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (USA); Harris, Dave; Dean Mahlum; Debra Gilbert; Bruno, Delila; Don Greenwood; Duncan Hedges; Hilbert, Edward; Erin Ketchum; Faycosh, Jeff; Frank Datta; Freeman, Zachary; Georgia Bruski; Stinar, Glen; Huestis, Glenn; Greg Speer; Gruener, Mark; Hildenstab, Jason; Honzel, Burke; Jared Ford; Gates, Jeffrey; Nedens, Jeff; Jerry Prete FSTS East; Jim Germann; John Dynneson; John Hrubes; John Pisk; Strandell, John; Jones, Craig; Joshua St. Denis Custom Border; Katie Mills; Lori Harbaugh; Rothe, Marschal; Mary Jo Gehnert; Michael Nagina; Michael Rankin (USAMT); Michelle Slyder; Mike Linder; Nadine Brown; Neil Kittelmann; Nicolette G. Rose (FBI); Nil Eisele; Petty, Noal; Skyberg, Paul; Pileski, Chris; Rachel Court; Randy Middlebrook Infastructure Protection DHS; Richard Seiler; Layng, Rick; Rigo Cepeda - US Border Patrol ; Rob Gilbert; Burnison, Bob; Canen, Ross; Rumley, Andrew; Moran, Scott; Grimes, Shane; Shelly-Ann Frederic Trans Canada Stakholder Advisor; Strait, James; Tim Therrien; Tony

Harbaugh; Trenton Harbaugh; Vernon Buerkle

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] FW: Pipeline Protest Story About Ft. Peck (Rolling Stone Magazine)

Date: Friday, April 21, 2017 9:11:42 AM

Attachments: Keystone XL Roming and Article 42017.pdf

Jeff Gates

MT DES, Field Officer, Dist. IV

Please open the attached story from a recent Rolling Stone magazine.

Please pass this on to anyone who may be interested in possible Protest activities regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline.

Chuck Lee





Video

Music Politics

TV Movies

Sports Gaming

**RS Country** 

More

**TRENDING** 



See 'Colbert Report' Return for Tearful Goodbye to Bill...



Does 4/20 Still Matter?



Harry Styles: Singer Opens Up About Famous Flings,...



# **Montana Tribes Fight Keystone XL Pipeline, Revived by Trump**

Tribal members are trying to negotiate with TransCanada to reroute the pipeline downstream of their water supply



Fort Peck protesters gathered to demand the rerouting of the Keystone XL pipeline. Tressa Welch

By Hunter Pauli

1 day ago

Members of the Sioux and Assiniboine tribes of the Fort Peck Indian Reservation in Northeast Montana are trying to negotiate with energy company TransCanada to reroute the Keystone XL pipeline downstream of their drinking water source after past oil drilling destroyed their reservation's aquifer.

#### RELATED

# Trump Reverses Obama Orders on Keystone XL, Dakota Access Pipelines



President also cuts regulatory, environmental review processes for infrastructure projects

The Fort Peck reservation is bordered on the south by the Missouri River, with its tribal seat of Poplar and larger city of Wolf Point sitting just off the banks. A quarter-mile west of the reservation's southwest corner, where the Milk River meets the Missouri, is where TransCanada has acquired state and federal permits for Alberta tar sands oil to ford the river through the Keystone XL pipeline.

Tribal members say the pipeline's proposed route is endangered by an old dam spillway and threatens their only source of fresh water from an intake plant 40 miles downstream to the east in Wolf Point, which state and local agencies didn't take into account when issuing permits.

The water intake plant and the network it feeds only came online recently, and supplies drinking and irrigation water to 30,000 people on the two-million-acre reservation and in surrounding communities. The need for what's known as the Fort Peck Dry Prairie Regional Water System stems from oil companies dumping millions of gallons of wastewater on the reservation for decades, poisoning the groundwater.

Margaret Abbott moved to the Fort Peck reservation in the Sixties and dug a well for a country home.

"We had fairly decent water up until about the Nineties," says Abbott, now 73.

That's when Abbott and her neighbors started noticing changes. Their gardens died. The tap water got salty. When Abbott went to retrieve her pasturing horses, she noticed standing water in subzero temperatures.

Abbott and her neighbors lived next to the East Poplar Oil Field, a petroleum patch adjacent the Bakken shale fields first drilled by the Murphy Oil Corporation in the Fifties and tapped again in the Eighties. Pumping oil out of the ground pumps up even more water, and the oil below Fort Peck is saltier than the ocean.

In a 28-page article published in 2013, journalist Kelly Conde details how Murphy dumped 42 million gallons of wastewater brine into unlined pits between 1952 and 1955, and the further polluting that followed.

Other wastewater was injected into underground wells, as is required today – which, combined with abandoned waste wells, progressively leaked into the groundwater as a benzene-rich saline plume, ruining the surrounding aquifer.

Benzene is a carcinogen, and Abbott has beaten breast cancer twice. Plenty of her neighbors are survivors as well, but their lawyers said pinning a cancer cluster on the oil company's water pollution was too difficult.

The tribe went to Congress, which in 2000 passed the Fort Peck Rural Water System Act to ensure safe water for Fort Peck and the surrounding counties through an eventual \$300 million water pipeline from the Missouri River.

Some tribal members drank bottled water for decades. Abbott and a dozen other families sued the oil companies in federal court, reaching a settlement in 2002 for a water pipeline to connect affected homes to Poplar's water supply. Abbott says the pipeline broke all the time.

In 2004, construction was completed on the water-intake facility on the Missouri, and by 2009 the plume had reached Poplar. In 2012, Poplar received its first water from the system, and most of the Fort Peck Dry Prairie Rural Water Supply System was up and running across the reservation and neighboring counties by 2015.

"It's been great; we haven't had a break. It's just amazing. So we were breathing a sigh of relief about that, and then Keystone got approved," Abbott says.

Abbott isn't confident Keystone can be stopped at this point, with the new Trump administration gung-ho about it and the petrodollars behind it.

"Oh, what the hell, just do it to the Indians: I'm afraid that's just a lot of people's attitudes," Abbott says.

One of the people trying to stop, reroute or delay the pipeline is Fort Peck tribal civil rights attorney and Rural Water Supply System board member Bob McAnally.

McAnally calls the pipeline a "false need" and says promises of jobs, tax revenue, environmental safety and even President Trump's campaign pledge to build Keystone XL from American steel are malarkey.

"It's been a trail of lies, not only from the administration to us the tribes, but from the pipeline itself," he says. None of this surprises McAnally, and while he believes fighting Keystone XL may ultimately be futile, his people nonetheless have a spiritual obligation to protect the Missouri, something he wants Montana politicians to understand and value in negotiations.

"Being the first tribe in the United States that they encounter, we feel that it is our duty, in fact our mandate, to put up a legal resistance," McAnally says.

TransCanada pulled out of a March 16th meeting with the tribal executive board after protesters picketed the tribe's headquarters, but company representatives later spoke with the tribal leaders, members of the water board and their attorneys over the phone. McAnally said they presented their case to the TransCanada representatives, but soon realized the pipeline company had only sent a PR staffer and his assistant.



Tressa Welch

Another meeting has been tentatively planned with TransCanada, though McAnally says the details haven't been worked out yet. The tribes plan on presenting the company with three possible alternate routes for Keystone XL that variously go through or around the reservation but all cross the Missouri downstream of the waterintake plant.

When asked about the status of the Fort Peck tribes' proposed alternate pipeline routes, TransCanada spokesman Matthew John did not answer, stating that TransCanada is "committed to ongoing engagement with Tribal Nations" as the project moves forward, and that the Montana Department of Environmental Quality

(MDEQ) signed off on its permits in 2012.

McAnally says TransCanada has told the tribes the oil project will be perfectly safe – something they've heard before – yet couldn't give them any information on what would happen regarding cleaning up leaks.

"And they're supposed to be the ones with the amazing pipeline," he says.

McAnally says tar sands spilling into the Missouri River would be catastrophic to Fort Peck's environment and economy, destroying decades of work on their agricultural irrigation system.

"We'd have to rebuild the entire plant," he says.

McAnally says the water board's position is that the final environmental impact statement TransCanada gave the U.S. Department of State in 2014 is "completely faulty."

Much like the environmental impact statement accepted by MDEQ in 2012, TransCanada's permit application doesn't address Fort Peck's water at all, primarily concerning itself with the effect on migratory bird habitats and fisheries.

"It's faulty because they barely mentioned our water plant. They failed to recognize the danger," McAnally says.

A coalition of the Northern Plains Resource Council, the Sierra Club and other environmental groups sued TransCanada in Great Falls federal court in March to block Keystone XL on the basis of an inadequate environmental impact study. McAnally says the Fort Peck tribes have been invited to join the lawsuit, but they have not yet decided if they will.

Speaking through a spokesperson, MDEQ Director Tom Livers says the threat to Fort Peck and northeast Montana's water system "were not identified as an issue during scoping, in public comments or in the Tribal consultation process."

Livers says the surface and groundwater analysis impact zone was only a mile out, far from the 40 miles between the pipeline's proposed route and the water-intake plant.

In 2011 and 2015, oil pipeline spills on tributaries of the Missouri in Eastern Montana downstream of Fort Peck led the tribal executive board to pass a resolution opposing Keystone XL for the damage it could do to their water system.

"Given DEQ's recent experience with pipeline spills and public water supplies, if we were starting this analysis today, we would likely specifically consider potential impacts to the system intake in the event of a pipeline spill, despite the intake's distance from the proposed crossing," Livers says.

"Similarly, given heightened awareness of pipelines and water systems, it's likely these issues would surface today in scoping, public comment and/or tribal consultation," he says.

Livers says MDEQ's experience with the 2011 spill did lead to the department's new requirement of directional drilling and additional shutoff valves at pipeline river crossings like the one planned adjacent Fort Peck.

On the other side of Keystone XL's proposed Missouri River crossing and aimed directly at the future pipeline sits the spillway for the six-trillion-gallon reservoir contained by the 77-year-old Fort Peck Dam.



The Fort Peck spillway in 1946 AP

Record flooding in 2011 damaged the dam and prompted a controlled release of 50,000 cubic feet of water per second from the floodway for over a month, which damaged the spillway gates and eroded areas downstream.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers projected \$225 million to repair and bolster the dam and the spillway but could only afford \$46 million.

While the tribal government seeks to prevent construction of Keystone XL on its current route through the courts, water protectors embittered by their loss at Standing Rock are organizing on the Fort Peck Reservation to oppose the pipeline in the streets.

The family home of one of Abbott's students sits on a natural well north of Wolf Point not yet polluted by the migrating saline plume and unconnected to the rural water network. Tressa Welch says that although her family could hook up to the water network, they won't, as the water's integrity has been compromised by Keystone XL.

"I'm against the pipeline because it threatens my way of life, and if you threaten my way of life, you threaten me," the 26-year-old says.

Welch was part of the demonstrations on the Standing Rock Indian

Reservation against the Dakota Access Pipeline, which was rerouted to just

above the reservation after residents of the 92-percent white city of Bismarck felt their water was threatened when the pipe crossed the Missouri upstream of them.

Now Welch is one of the organizers of Fort Peck Oyate, the tribal group of a few dozen protesters whose peaceful action scared off TransCanada negotiators from the executive board meeting using an old Dakota Access protest sign repurposed to read "KILL THE BLACK SNAKE STOP THE KXL PIPELINE." (McAnally speculates TransCanada wasn't actually scared off, but simply wasn't prepared and took the protest as an excuse not to meet.)

The group – which only decided on their name on April 11th – organized a prayer walk across the 40 miles of U.S. Route 2 paralleling the Missouri to Keystone XL's proposed river crossing. Welch's two-year-old daughter was the youngest marcher.

Fort Peck Oyate's next march is planned for April 22nd, and will bisect the reservation from the Canadian border in the north to the Missouri's banks. Welch says anyone willing to peacefully participate should march.

As negotiations with TransCanada are ongoing and possible construction still a ways off, Welch says the group's goal for the time being is to raise awareness in the tribal community to the threat the pipeline poses.

"A lot of people are uninformed here," Welch says.

Though the executive board tells its constituents it opposes construction of the pipeline, its meetings with TransCanada have been closed, which Welch says makes the board's sincerity hard to believe.

Welch doesn't want the pipeline rerouted downstream of the intake plant – she wants construction terminated, as any river crossing threatens communities downstream.

"I'm against the Black Snake entirely," she says.

### **More News**

- Standing Rock Protestors Celebrate as Dakota Pipeline Easement Denied
- How the Keystone Veto Dashes Canada's 'Superpower' Dreams
- Watch Shailene Woodley's Standing Rock Plea to President Obama
- Bill McKibben Discusses Obama's Keystone Rejection
- Lamb of God's Randy Blythe: My Trip to Standing Rock

All Stories »

Comments

Tanian David Turma Variations VI Oil Furtherment Chanding David

McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (USA) From:

To: "Gates, Jeffrey" Subject: RE: Information for IAP

Wednesday, August 2, 2017 4:05:00 PM Date:

Attachments: State Fed In Connation.docx

Jeff, I have provided information on attached spreadsheet for US Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Peck Project. If you are looking for something different or additional info let me know.

Darin J. McMurry Operations Project Manager US Army Corps of Engineers Fort Peck Project PO Box 208 Fort Peck, MT 59223

406/526-3411, Ext. 4275

----Original Message----

From: Gates, Jeffrey [mailto:JGates@mt.gov] Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 4:15 PM

To: Alan Lietz <alan lietz@transcanada.com>; Albert Mendoza <alberrt.mendoza@hq.dhs.gov>; Aye, Scott Mitchell <mitch bs@hotmail.com>; Brent Colbert <bcolbert@lccountymt.gov>; Bruce Price <custercountydes@midrivers.com>; Butler, Tom <tobutler@mt.gov>; Chuck Lee <chuckleemt@hotmail.com>; Col. Michael Moreni <michael.k.moreni.mil@mail.mil>; Cory Reum <creum@rooseveltcounty.org>; Dale Butori Dep. DES FC <fallonweed@midrivers.com>; Dale Waters Off Duty Services <dwaters@offdutyservices.com>; Darby Harrington <zsheriff@midrivers.com>; McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Darin.J.Mcmurry@usace.army.mil>; Harris, Dave <DHarris@mcso.mt.gov>; Dean Mahlum <dmahlum@rmin.riss.net>; Debra Gilbert <dgilbert@richland.org>; Don Greenwood <dt greenwood@transcanada.com>; Duncan Hedges <sheriff@prairiecounty.org>; Hilbert, Edward <ehilbert@mt.gov>; Erin Ketchum <mcondes@midrivers.com>; Faycosh, Jeff <jfaycosh@mt.gov>; Frank Datta <wibauxdes@gmail.com>; Freeman, Zachary <ZFreeman@mt.gov>; Georgia Bruski <ccdes42@gmail.com>; Stinar, Glen <GStinar@mt.gov>; Huestis, Glenn <ghuestis@blainecounty-mt.gov>; gordonrothe1@gmail.com; Greg Speer <desphillipsgov@yahoo.com>; Gruener, Mark <MGruener@mt.gov>; Hildenstab, Jason <JHildenstab@mt.gov>; Jared Ford <richard.j.ford@cbp.dhs.gov>; Gates, Jeffrey <JGates@mt.gov>; Nedens, Jeff <jnedens@mt.gov>; Jerry Prete FSTS East <gerald.prete@montana.edu>; Jim Germann <jimrgermann@gmail.com>; John Dynneson <jdynneson@richland.org>; John Hrubes <jthrubes@gmail.com>; John Pisk <j.pisk@prairiecounty.org>; Strandell, John <jstrandell@mt.gov>; Jones, Craig <crajones@mt.gov>;

Joshua St. Denis Custom Border <Joshua.m.stdenis@cbp.dhs.gov>; Katie Mills <katiem245@gmail.com>; Kerry Wenrich ( <kelly.wenrich@tsa.dhs.gov>; Lori Harbaugh <lharbaug@blm.gov>; Mary Jo Gehnert <gehnertmj@dawsoncountymontana.com>; Michael Nagina <michael nagina@transcanada.com>; Michael Rankin

(USAMT) < Michael.Rankin@usdoj.gov>; Michelle Slyder < michelle.slyder@chsinc.com>; Mike Linder

<mli><mli>der@co.yellowstone.mt.gov>; Nadine Brown <nadine.brown@ic.fbi.gov>; Neil Kittelmann

<ccso@midrivers.com>; Nicolette G. Rose (FBI) <Nicolette.Rose@ic.fbi.gov>; Nil Eisele

<nicholas.eisele@leo.gov>; Petty, Noal <NoalPetty@mt.gov>; Skyberg, Paul <PSkyberg@mcso.mt.gov>; Pileski, Chris <cpileski@mt.gov>; Rachel Court <rachel court@tester.senate.gov>; Randy Middlebrook Infastructure

Protection DHS <randy.middlebrook@dhs.gov>; Richard Seiler <rseiler@valleycountymt.gov>; Rigo Cepeda - US Border Patrol <rigoberto.cepeda@cbp.dhs.gov>; Rob Gilbert <svfd@midrivers.com>; Burnison, Bob

<br/>

Scott <sheriff@phillipscounty.mt.gov>; Grimes, Shane <SGrimes@mt.gov>; Shane Hidenstab ( <shildenstab@lccountymt.gov>; Shelly-Ann Frederic Trans Canada Stakholder Advisor <shellyann frederic@transcanada.com>; Strait, James <istrait@mt.gov>; Tim Therrien <trenton.harbaugh@leo.gov>; Vernon Buerkle <vbuerkle@valleycountymt.gov> Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Information for IAP Hello All, I am starting (between fires) to compile the information to include in the IAP/OPSO that we are developing with Anne from the MATIC. If you would please submit from every county the information requested. Also federal and state partners could you please include your information so I can get the division break outs for all jurisdictions completed. I have attached a spread sheet that you can compile this information in and send back to me. There are two versions on for Counties and then one for state/Feds. Please pick on person from within each shareholder to send this so I don't get multiple copies and something gets missed. Respectfully, Jeff Gates Montana Disaster and Emergency Services, Field Officer, Dist. IV 3785 US Hwy. 87 South Roundup, MT 59072 406-323-8800 Office 406-671-9733 Cell

JGates@mt.gov < mailto: JGates@mt.gov >

Written communication to or from state employees regarding state and local business is public record and available to the public and media upon request. Your email may be subject to public disclosure.

Contact		Name	Work	(	Cell	Other	Alternate Contact
State /Fadanal							
State / Federal			General	Governme	nt/Administ	tration	
Dept heads			General	Governine	in Auminis		
US Army Corps of Eng Fort Peck Project PO Box 208 Fort Peck, MT 59223		Darin McMurro Operations Project Manager	406/526- 3411; Ext 4275		5/301- 59		Michele Fromdahl, Ext 4274 406/750-4076 Cell
				DISPA	ATCH		
MHP				DISPA	КІСП		
TVIIII							
				LAW ENFO	PCEMENT		
HWY Patrol Sgt		John smith		LAVV EINFO	RCEIVIEINI	T	
7777 7 447 97 9 94							
			Sta	ate / Federa	al Equipmen	<u>l</u> it	
<b>Unit Number</b> 901 Graders	Type G2	<b>Model</b> John Deer	<b>HP</b> 160+		Capability 16 ft Moe board		Station/Location Notes
http://www.fema.gov	//pdf/em	nergency/nims/5				ont	
Unit/ Car Number	Туре	Stationed	Law Enforcement Equipment  Special Equipment / Capabilities				
23-8	SUV	Town	Has Cage,				

The Angul Manager 

To Angul Man Large March 1997 (1997) MAN SEASON

From Son (Sankhory 10 monophors and Nelson Worker 6.11T acrosc).

From Son (Sankhory 10 monophors and Nelson Worker 6.11T acrosc).

From Son (Sankhory 10 monophors and Nelson Worker).

From Son (Sankhory 10 monophors and Nelson Worker).

From Son (Sankhory 10 monophors and Nelson Manual Sankhory 10 monophors and Nelson Manual Sankhory 10 monophors (Sankhory 10 monophors 10 monopho Original Manage
From Resing, Travas ACTV USARMY CENWO (US) "Tavasa Attaingijoune away miliSant: Thanday, November 16, 2017 405 PM
To: Stockfore, Teal RCTV USARMY CENWO (US); Thomas, Kimbolly S.CTV CPMS (US)
Sulpar, INCC CEN, Eveptons Papilisa OS Spill "Northantents South Dakton - Current Stantion Report - 16 Nov 17
Sulpar, INCC CEN, Eveptons Papilisa OS Spill "Northantents South Dakton - Current Stantion Report - 16 Nov 17 Eyes and to update your HSN passent, place slick Holosofteps intel the greatestable four temperatures PlaceLife in the control of the greatest product of the control of the greatest product Kayasan-Pipalian-Oli-Spill—Nordasanan-Soud-Olishar—Cassan-Sizadian-Eppatr—He-Nin-13 gd -dap/links-gradeinep-am/8018ah2
 Spill-Alishar-Oli SPIT-ANNESIAN NYATINAN SPIRAD SPIRA

From: McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (USA)

To: Tillinger, Todd N CIV USARMY CENWO (US); Schroeder, Christina L CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Cc: Joyce, Sage L CIV USARMY CENWO (US); Fromdahl, Michele L CIV USARMY CENWO (USA)

Subject: Last Weeks Meeting With MT DES and Law Enforcement at Miles City Regarding Keystone Pipeline

**Date:** Wednesday, December 6, 2017 2:53:00 PM

#### Todd and Christina,

Nothing specific or new to the Keystone Project came out of the meeting last week at Miles City. However, I learned that CHS, which owns CENEX and their refineries in Billings and Laurel, has a pipeline for refined fuels currently running from Billings to Minot, ND and beyond that they are replacing.

This new pipeline is a refined fuels pipeline that will go north from Sidney, MT cross under the Missouri River near River Mile 1590, upstream of the MT/ND Border based on information they presented, and then turn east to Minot. They are planning to construct in Spring/Summer of 2018. They are working on permits but indicated they had not applied for Regulatory Permit yet.

I thought it was interesting that I had not heard about this pipeline, to include good or bad press.

Darin J. McMurry Operations Project Manager US Army Corps of Engineers Fort Peck Project PO Box 208 Fort Peck, MT 59223

406/526-3411, Ext. 4275

Below find email forwarded from Jeff Gates, MT State DES Coordinator, regarding Keystone Pipeline. I discussed with him and indications are that Keystone will be built based on the 500K barrel commitment they have. I have been discussing with local LE, County DES, and Jeff coordination efforts if protests occur like DAPL. These discussing have included means to close access to the area east of spillway where keystone will cross USACE.

I don't loow if Tyler and Deb have been involved in any discussion on supporting reposene to protest activity specific to Keystone but Jeff asked me to share this information and his contact information (helow) with Tyler as the local PWS LEO. I wanted to make sure all three of you were looped in and therefore you could discuss who clies may need-wast to perinciple, in any further discussion, and at least to add to be small list information in a Commercial to the product of the perinciple of t

Montana Disaster and Emergency Services

Eastern District Field Officer

3785 US Hwy. 87 South

Roundup, MT 59072

406-323-8800 Office

406-671-9733 Cell

JGates@mt.gov <mailto:JGates@

----Original Message---From: Gates, Jeffrey [mailto:Kintes@mt.gox]
Sent: Thensidy, Jamusy 18, 2018 2-56 PM
To: McMurry, Darin J CTV USARMY CENWO (US) «Darin, J.Memury@usace.army.nil:
Subject; [EXTERNAL] TransCanslac Confirms Commercial Support for Keystone XI.

See if this works as I took the FW: off the subject line.

Forwarding this information, I apologize if you get this more than once

Please see release below. Things are beginning to move.

TransCanada Confirms Commercial Support for Keystone XL

working collaboratively with landowners to acquire easements

Calign., Aberta - January 18, 2018 - TransCanada Corporation (TSK, NYSE: TRP) (TransCanada) today amounteed it has necessfully concluded the Keystone XI. open season, securing approximately 500,000 barrels per day of firm, 20 year commitments, positioning the proposed posject to proceed. Interest in the project remains strategies of the proposed posject to proceed a posject to proceed and the proposed posject to proceed a posject to proceed and the proposed posject to proceed and the proposed

Today's amnouncement builds on the November 20, 2017 decision by the Nebraska Public Service Commission to approve the Keystone XL route through the state. The approved route was based on a comprehensive review of the evidence submitted by all parties in the hearing process as well as state agencies to ensure it has a minimal impact to the public and to Nebraska's natural resources.

"We thank President Denald Trump and his administration for their continued support and appreciate the ongoing efforts of Nebraska Governor Pete Ricketts, the Nebraska legislative and congressional delegation, Omaha Federation of Labor, Nebraska State AFL-CIO, our customers and various stakeholders to advance this project.

TransCranda is continuing outer-to-fi the communicies where the pipeline will be constructed and is working collaboratively with landowners in an open and transparent way to obtain the necessary essements for the approved roste. Construction preparation has commenced and will increase as the permitting process advances throughout 2018, with primary construction expected to begin in 2019.

With more than 65 years' experience, TransCanada is a leader in the responsible development «BlockedDittps://mof) actificits, protection cortlook, com?"

"uti-lutps://ki/ki/27/22/www.rmcennada.com/22/fcm/22/younnitements/22/fedata—(Ph/CD197/C)strandedfib40mg gov%; CC646/55/61/28-61/64/66/65/65/51/08/91/66/97/07/64/98/D6/84/80/07/94/98/D6/84/80/27/09/4-98/D6/84/80/

This publication contains certain information that is forward-looking and is subject to important risks and uncertainties (such statements are usually accompanied by worth such as "mais-ipate", "espect", "Pelicy", "mpy", "will," "should," "estimate", "intend" or other similar words). Forward-looking statements in this document are intended to provide Trans. Immals usually as a companied to the provide Trans. Immals usually as a financial confloot. All forward-looking statements relieve Trans. T

Terry Cunha / Matt John 403.920.7859 or 800.608.7859

TransCanada Investor & Analyst Enquiries

David Moneta / Stuart Kampel

403.920.7911 or 800.361.6522

External Affairs & Community Relations
Poter\_JankonkiigTraneCannels com "malko Pieter\_JankonkiigTraneCannels com "malkone Pieter\_JankonkiiigTraneCannels com "malkone Pieter\_JankonkiiigTraneCannels com "malkone Pieter\_

Peter Jaskoski

We respect your right to choose which electronic messages you receive. To stop receiving this message and similar communications from TransCanada PipeLines Limited please reply to this message with the subject "UNSURSCRIBE". This electronic message and any attached documents are intended only for the named addressee(s). This communication from TransCanada may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure and it must not be disclosed, copied, forwarded or distributed without authorization. If you have received this message is enter, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message. Thank you, Nous respections voter droit de choisir quests message electroniques vous desires received. Pour ne plus received ce message et les communications similative, de la part de TransCanada PipeLines Limited, vuiller rispondre à cer message in inscrivant dans I 'object s' SE DÉSINSCRIBE. Ce message electroniques et tons les documents joints sort destine exclusivement and joint contractions and intervient dans I 'object s' SE DÉSINSCRIBE. Ce message electronique et trons les documents joints sort destine exclusivement and joint contractions and intervient dans I 'object s' SE DÉSINSCRIBE. Ce message electronique et trons les documents joints sort destine exclusivement and joint and present and the proposal excellentation of the communications of distributes and automations. Since a present and the proposal excellentation of the communications of distributes and automation. Since a present and the proposal excellentation of the communication of the destination of the proposal excellentation of the pro

WSAR: Operations: (Keith Fink, 402-995-2435) Week Ending: 20 JAN

FORT PECK PROJECT (Darin McMurry 406-526-3411, Ext. 4275):

- ACTION 1: SUPPORT NATIONAL SECURITY: NSTR
- ACTION 2: DELIVER THE PROGRAM: NSTR
- ACTION 3: REDUCE DISASTER RISKS: To comply with requirements of FPCON B and correct security inspection deficiencies, the Fort Peck Administration Building doors are now locked at lling access to the building.
- ACTION 4: PREPARE FOR TOMORROW:

  will enter on duty 06 Feb 2017.

  has completed Trainee IV requirem waiting opportunity to be promoted to Journeyman Mechanic.
- **KEY STRATEGIC ENGAGEMENTS:** Operations/Construction Meeting Omaha Feb 1-2 MRRP Draft EIS Public Meeting, Fort Peck, Feb 7 Annual Project Safety Training: Feb 7 9
- GOOD NEWS: NSTR
- FYSA: Media Reports indicting potential future protests in the Fort Peck Area related to Keystone Pipeline. Current proposed route for Keystone Pipeline crosses Missouri River approximately one mile downstream of the Peck Project Spillway. Possible protests being planned for MRRP meeting at Fort Peck on February 7.

WSAR: Operations: (Keith Fink, 402-995-2435) Week Ending: 10 Nov 17

PECK PROJECT (Darin McMurry 406-526-3411, Ext. 4275):

- ACTION 1: SUPPORT NATIONAL SECURITY: NSTR
- ACTION 2: DELIVER THE PROGRAM: Contract work continues to progress on Unit 2 Rehab (Voith) with ongoing re-assembly of turbine and rotor. Current schedule shows completion of this contract work at end of December 2017, however work will likely extend into Feb 2018. Emergency Gate Control Replacement contractor (Mountain Movers) completed Performance Verification Test (PVT) on Gates 7 & 8, continue to work on installation of new motor and brake system for Gate 3 with PVT now targeted for the week of November 13. Wire Rope Contractor, ALLTECH, is on site to initiate replacement of wire rope for emergency gates. Installation of Motor Control Centers by CEI is nearing completion, work will likely be Substantially Complete by 10 November, ahead of schedule. Siemens delivered, and set in temporary locations, four new switchyard transformers. Siemens currently assembling transformers for connection to temporary power and testing. Recent weather conditions has slowed work and out of spec conduit and conductors on the transformers upon delivery are being worked through contractor and contracting. Project Staff Completed Environmental Condition of Property (ECP) for the Keystone Pipeline Right of Way on USACE lands.
- ACTION 3: REDUCE DISASTER RISKS:
- Civil Engineer, and Civil Engineer Technician, Thomas, VI on 06 No

  4: PREPARE FOR TOMORROW: Engineering Equipment Operator Candidate will enter on duty 13 November. Will onal reters Conference in Spokane, WA 7.

  Resource Specialist will attend O&M Contract PROSPECT ber 13-17.
- KEY STRATEGIC ENGAGEMENTS: Fort Peck Project hosted UASCE/WAPA meeting October 31 thru Nov 3 to discuss Hydro Master Plan/Customer Funded Work, USACE Switchyard Transfer to WAPA, and upgrades to Fort Peck Switchyard. November 29, Darin McMurry and/or Michele Fromdahl will attend MTDES Coordination Meeting in Miles city, MT to discuss Keystone Pipeline.
- GOOD NEWS:
- FYSA:

WSAR: Operations: (Keith Fink, 402-995-2435) Week Ending: 08 DEC 2017

•

FT PECK PROJECT (Darin McMurry 406-526-3411, Ext. 4275):

**Action 1: Support National Security: NSTR** 

**Action 2: Deliver the Program:** 

- Contract work continues to progress on Unit 2 Rehab (Voith) with ongoing re-assembly of turbine and rotor. Current schedule shows completion of this contract work at end of December 2017, however work will likely extend into Feb 2018 or later.
- Emergency Gate Control Replacement contractor (Mountain Movers) have transitioned into deconstruction of drive and controls for gates 4, 5, and 6 and reassembly of new drives motors with possible PVT for these three gates the week of 18 December. Training of staff on operations of new gate controls is also scheduled for week of Dec 18.
- Wire Rope Contractor, ALLTECH, is back on site and has unspooled and removed wire rope for gate 8.
- Siemens remains on-site, including factory representatives from Bogota, Columbia, assembling
  new switchyard transformers for connection to temporary power and testing. Improperly protected
  and shipped bushings have added been shipped to bushing manufacturer ABB to dry and
  properly prepare bushing for delivery back to Fort Peck and install the week of Dec 11.

Action 3: Reduce Disaster Risks: , Civil Engineer, and , Civil Engineer Tech, currently deployed in support of Hurricane Maria Recovery.

Action 4: Prepare for Tomorrow:

KEY STRATEGIC ENGAGEMENTS:

 November 29, 2017 Darin McMurry and Roger Roby (CENWO-SL) attended Montana Department of Disaster and Emergency Services (MTDES) Coordination Meeting in Miles City, MT to discuss Keystone XL Pipeline.

**GOOD NEWS:** Project Holiday Retreat will be held in conjunction with December Safety Meeting Dec 13, 2017.

FYSA: NSTR

# Startzell, James T LTC USARMY CENWO (USA)

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) Monday, July 17, 2017 2:24 PM Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US) RE: Keystone XL
Hi Larry,	
I did attend and back briefed MAJ	Startzell on some of the topics and discussion points.
chief, Chief Ziegler on lessons lear	vas a briefing from the ND Morton County sheriff Kyle Kirchmeier and Mandan police rned. (The Morton County Sheriff really dislikes the Corps of Engineers and federal LE point he shared with this MT LE group.
The biggest take away I got was to federal government representative	o meet several POCs and exchange contact information with the Montana state and ves that were present.
property) US Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Lar has 10 armed LE officers in MT an was asked to brief what their plan federal property and that they tak	- ,
•	is watching very closely on what the state of Nebraska decides to do with approval or that is about all that was discussed or planned for any future actions. Their next following sometime this Fall.
Who at HQ is asking about securit	ry updates?
Roger	
V/r Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE	
Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845	
https://intranet.usace.army.mil/n	wd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx
Original Message	

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:25 PM

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Roger.Roby@usace.army.mil>

Subject: Keystone XL

Roger,

Previously we had talked about you or someone from your office attending an agency meeting in Montana to discuss security options for the Keystone XL project. Never heard back on whether you were approved to attend, but heard though the grapevine that someone from Omaha attended. Is that true? If so, could you provide a debrief of what was discussed and any follow-up actions? HQ appears to be interested in security discussions and is looking for an update.

Thanks,

Larry

### Startzell, James T LTC USARMY CENWO (USA)

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, November 20, 2017 10:25 AM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US); McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Cc: Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US); Fink, Keith J CIV USARMY CENWO (US);

Chieply, Martha S CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

**Subject:** RE: Keystone XL

Hi Larry, Darin

I wanted to follow up with you and Operations on the upcoming 2nd meeting with the MT disaster and Emergency Services. Reference the Keystone XL on 29 Nov 17, Miles City MT. They also expect to receive a presentation form Trans-Canada.

I see in the news this morning that NE has approved the pipeline but with a different route through NE. does this affect the route through Corps property in MT?

Will anyone form Operations and or Ft. Peck be attending this planning meeting?

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/11/20/us/nebraska-pipeline-keystone-xl.html

Thanks Roger

V/r

Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 2:24 PM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Startzell, James T NWO (James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil) < James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil>

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

Hi Larry,

I did attend and back briefed MAJ Startzell on some of the topics and discussion points.

The main point for this meeting was a briefing from the ND Morton County sheriff Kyle Kirchmeier and Mandan police chief, Chief Ziegler on lessons learned. (The Morton County Sheriff really dislikes the Corps of Engineers and federal LE responses, that was a big talking point he shared with this MT LE group.

The biggest take away I got was to meet several POCs and exchange contact information with the Montana state and federal government representatives that were present.

Including the MT disaster and emergency services field director.

State of MT Dept. of Justice, criminal justice agent

McCone County Sheriff (Ft. Peck Sheriff, who most likely will be the responding office if we have any protests on USACE property)

US Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Land Management Office of Law Enforcement, Special Agent in Charge. This federal office has 10 armed LE officers in MT and as the agency land owner of most of the locations the pipeline will cross. This agent was asked to brief what their plan was in case of protests. The answer was to work with local LE to deny access to federal property and that they take their direction form the US Attorney's office.

I also met with the FBI field analyst assigned to this area and plan to follow up with her for Ft. Peck critical assets site specifics.

Follow up actions, the state of MT is watching very closely on what the state of Nebraska decides to do with approval or denial of the pipeline. As for now that is about all that was discussed or planned for any future actions. Their next follow up action is to plan another meeting sometime this Fall.

Who at HQ is asking about security updates?

Roger

V/r Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:25 PM

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Roger.Roby@usace.army.mil>

Subject: Keystone XL

Roger,

Previously we had talked about you or someone from your office attending an agency meeting in Montana to discuss security options for the Keystone XL project. Never heard back on whether you were approved to attend, but heard though the grapevine that someone from Omaha attended. Is that true? If so, could you provide a debrief of what was discussed and any follow-up actions? HQ appears to be interested in security discussions and is looking for an update.

Thanks,

Larry

## Startzell, James T LTC USARMY CENWO (USA)

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

**Sent:** Monday, November 20, 2017 11:05 AM **To:** Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US)

**Subject:** RE: Keystone XL

Sir,

Do you have any thoughts/guidance on me attending this meeting?

V/r

Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US) Sent: Monday, November 20, 2017 11:02 AM

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Roger.Roby@usace.army.mil>; Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>; McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Darin.J.Mcmurry@usace.army.mil> Cc: Fink, Keith J CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Keith.J.Fink@usace.army.mil>; Chieply, Martha S CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

<Martha.S.Chieply@usace.army.mil>

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

Roger, good catch on the meeting. As far as the pipeline route goes I doubt that the MT portion would change.

----Original Message-----

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, November 20, 2017 10:25 AM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>; McMurry, Darin J CIV USARMY CENWO (US) <Darin.J.Mcmurry@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Startzell, James T MAJ USARMY CENWO (US) < James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil>; Fink, Keith J CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Keith.J.Fink@usace.army.mil>; Chieply, Martha S CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Martha.S.Chieply@usace.army.mil> Subject: RE: Keystone XL

Hi Larry, Darin

I wanted to follow up with you and Operations on the upcoming 2nd meeting with the MT disaster and Emergency Services. Reference the Keystone XL on 29 Nov 17, Miles City MT. They also expect to receive a presentation form Trans-Canada.

I see in the news this morning that NE has approved the pipeline but with a different route through NE. does this affect the route through Corps property in MT?

Will anyone form Operations and or Ft. Peck be attending this planning meeting?

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/11/20/us/nebraska-pipeline-keystone-xl.html

Thanks Roger

V/r Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 2:24 PM

To: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Larry.D.Janis@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Startzell, James T NWO (James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil) < James.T.Startzell@usace.army.mil>

Subject: RE: Keystone XL

Hi Larry,

I did attend and back briefed MAJ Startzell on some of the topics and discussion points.

The main point for this meeting was a briefing from the ND Morton County sheriff Kyle Kirchmeier and Mandan police chief, Chief Ziegler on lessons learned. (The Morton County Sheriff really dislikes the Corps of Engineers and federal LE responses, that was a big talking point he shared with this MT LE group.

The biggest take away I got was to meet several POCs and exchange contact information with the Montana state and federal government representatives that were present.

Including the MT disaster and emergency services field director.

State of MT Dept. of Justice, criminal justice agent

McCone County Sheriff (Ft. Peck Sheriff, who most likely will be the responding office if we have any protests on USACE property)

US Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Land Management Office of Law Enforcement, Special Agent in Charge. This federal office has 10 armed LE officers in MT and as the agency land owner of most of the locations the pipeline will cross. This agent was asked to brief what their plan was in case of protests. The answer was to work with local LE to deny access to federal property and that they take their direction form the US Attorney's office.

I also met with the FBI field analyst assigned to this area and plan to follow up with her for Ft. Peck critical assets site specifics.

Follow up actions, the state of MT is watching very closely on what the state of Nebraska decides to do with approval or denial of the pipeline. As for now that is about all that was discussed or planned for any future actions. Their next follow up action is to plan another meeting sometime this Fall.

Who at HQ is asking about security updates?

Roger

V/r Roger H. Roby Security Manager Omaha District, USACE

Work: 402-995-2936 Cell: 402-212-0845

https://intranet.usace.army.mil/nwd/nwo/SL/pages/home.aspx

----Original Message-----

From: Janis, Larry D CIV USARMY CENWO (US)

Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:25 PM

To: Roby, Roger Howard CIV USARMY CENWO (US) < Roger.Roby@usace.army.mil>

Subject: Keystone XL

Roger,

Previously we had talked about you or someone from your office attending an agency meeting in Montana to discuss security options for the Keystone XL project. Never heard back on whether you were approved to attend, but heard though the grapevine that someone from Omaha attended. Is that true? If so, could you provide a debrief of what was discussed and any follow-up actions? HQ appears to be interested in security discussions and is looking for an update.

Thanks,

Larry