

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

ARIZONA CONTRACTORS)
ASSOCIATION, INC., an Arizona non-)
profit corporation; ARIZONA)
EMPLOYERS FOR IMMIGRATION)
REFORM, INC., an Arizona non-profit)
corporation; CHAMBER OF)
COMMERCE OF THE UNITED)
STATES OF AMERICA, a Washington)
D.C. non-profit corporation; ARIZONA)
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, an)
Arizona non-profit corporation;)
ARIZONA HISPANIC CHAMBER OF)
COMMERCE, INC., an Arizona non-)
profit corporation; ARIZONA FARM)
BUREAU FEDERATION, an Arizona)
non-profit corporation; ARIZONA)
RESTAURANT AND HOSPITALITY)
ASSOCIATION, an Arizona non-profit)
corporation; ASSOCIATED MINORITY)
CONTRACTORS OF AMERICA, an)
Arizona non-profit limited liability)
company; ARIZONA ROOFING)
CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION, an)
Arizona non-profit corporation;)
NATIONAL ROOFING)
CONTRACTORS' ASSOCIATION, an)
Illinois not-for-profit corporation;)
WAKE UP ARIZONA! INC., an)
Arizona non-profit corporation; and)
ARIZONA LANDSCAPE)
CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION,)
INC., an Arizona non-profit corporation,)

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. CV07-02496-PHX-NVW (lead)
No. CV07-02518-PHX-NVW (member)

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

1 CRISS CANDELARIA, Apache County)
Attorney; ED RHEINHEIMER, Cochise)
2 County Attorney; TERENCE C.)
HANER, Coconino County Attorney;)
3 DAISY FLORES, Gila County Attorney;)
KENNY ANGLE, Graham County)
4 Attorney; DEREK D. RAPIER, Greenlee)
County Attorney; MARTIN BRANNAN,)
5 LaPaz County Attorney; ANDREW P.)
THOMAS, Maricopa County Attorney;)
6 MATTHEW J. SMITH, Mohave County)
Attorney; JAMES CURRIER, Navajo)
7 County Attorney; BARBARA)
LAWALL, Pima County Attorney;)
8 JAMES P. WALSH, Pinal County)
Attorney; GEORGE SILVA, Santa Cruz)
9 County Attorney; SHEILA POLK,)
Yavapai County Attorney; JON SMITH,)
10 Yuma County Attorney; TERRY)
GODDARD, Attorney General of the)
11 State of Arizona; and FIDELIS V.)
GARCIA, Director of the Arizona)
12 Registrar of Contractors,)

13 Defendants.)

14 VALLE DEL SOL, INC.; CHICANOS)
POR LA CAUSA, INC.; and SOMOS)
15 AMERICA,)

16 Plaintiffs,)

17 vs.)

18 TERRY GODDARD, in his official)
19 capacity as Attorney General of the State)
of Arizona; GALE GARRIOTT, in his)
20 official capacity as the Director of the)
Arizona Department of Revenue; and)
21 ANDREW THOMAS, in his official)
capacity as Maricopa County Attorney,)

22 Defendants.)
23

24 _____ Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The
25 issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

X Decision by Court. This action came for consideration before the Court.
The issues have been considered and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that pursuant to the Court's order filed February 7, 2008, Defendant Terry Goddard is dismissed without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Judgment is entered in favor of all remaining defendants and against plaintiffs. Plaintiffs to take nothing, and complaint and action are dismissed.

RICHARD H. WEARE
District Court Executive/Clerk

February 7, 2008

s/ Sally Turner
By: Deputy Clerk